

# Ohio Secretary of State

Ohio Secretary of State  
DRE Security Assessment

**Volume 1  
Computerized Voting Systems  
Security Assessment:  
Summary of Findings and Recommendations**

**21 November 2003**



*InfoSENTRY Services, Inc.*  
[www.infosentry.com](http://www.infosentry.com)  
919.838.8570

This document contains CONFIDENTIAL and PROPRIETARY information. It is not for distribution without the express consent and permission of the Ohio Secretary of State.

**RECOMMENDATION ES&S-09.1:** ES&S should prepare, within the next 6-12 months, an enterprise-wide business continuity plan for all critical business functions involved with the design, development, manufacture, sales, and support of the products it has proposed for use in Ohio.

**RECOMMENDATION ES&S-09.2:** After establishing the business continuity plan, ES&S should carry out a formal desktop recovery exercise for its corporate headquarters and development staff.

**FINDING ES&S-10:** ES&S's offshore manufacturing facilities in Asia have achieved ISO-9000-family quality process certifications, but the firm's other facilities for software development and customer support have not received such quality certifications.

**RECOMMENDATION ES&S-10.1:** In addition to obtaining ISO-9000-family certifications for its software development processes and facilities, ES&S should undertake a project to achieve CMMI Level 2 status in order to improve its overall software maturity capabilities.

**FINDING ES&S-11:** ES&S is developing the ability to prepare a "voter verifiable paper audit trail" if Federal or State of Ohio standards require that functionality.

#### ***Summary of Findings and Recommendations for Hart InterCivic***

**FINDING Hart-01:** Hart InterCivic maintains close contact with testing and certification authorities, clearing test exceptions and issues and moving new versions of products through certification process.

**FINDING Hart-02:** Hart InterCivic has considerable information system planning documentation and well-documented security planning processes, but they are not in a format that is consistent with integrated security planning documentation such as that detailed in Ohio's Information Security Framework or other international security planning documentation standards.

**RECOMMENDATION Hart-02.1:** Hart InterCivic should pull together its existing security management planning documentation into a format that is established in Ohio's Information Security Framework or another industry-recognized structures for information security management plans.

**FINDING Hart-03:** Hart InterCivic applies basic configuration management and change control techniques to its application development processes, but needs additional documentation and standardization of those processes.

**RECOMMENDATION Hart-03.1:** Hart InterCivic should devise and implement very strict, unified configuration management and change control procedures to all of its application development steps and assemble its documentation on those procedures into a cohesive, documented hardware, network, and software configuration management plan within the next 3 – 6 months.

**FINDING Hart-04:** Hart InterCivic maintains numerous information systems security policy and procedures documents, organized well according to requirements for submittal to ISO auditors and incorporation in an information system security plan.

**FINDING Hart-05:** Hart InterCivic has hired external consulting firms to prepare detailed network assessment and security risk assessments on its full information systems infrastructure and products.

**FINDING Hart-06:** Hart InterCivic has had no regular security audit of its critical business functions and information systems infrastructure.

**RECOMMENDATION Hart-06.1:** Hart InterCivic should have a Certified Information System Auditor or a professional certified as an information security auditor conduct an audit of its IS systems and operations within the next 6 – 12 months.

**FINDING Hart-07:** Hart InterCivic has provided information security training to its Operations and Information System (IS) Director, with more training scheduled in December.

**FINDING Hart-08:** Hart InterCivic has an on-going, documented information security awareness program and has provided an online security awareness course to all employees, including senior managers.



**FINDING Hart-09:** Hart InterCivic has recently developed a business continuity plan, but has tested only portions of that plan.

**RECOMMENDATION Hart-09.1:** After editing and revising its existing business continuity plan to add some missing details, Hart InterCivic should carry out a formal desktop recovery exercise covering at least its corporate headquarters and development staff.

**FINDING Hart-10:** Hart InterCivic and its contract-manufacturing partners have achieved ISO-9001 quality process certifications.

**RECOMMENDATION Hart-10.1:** In addition to its ISO-9000-family certifications for its software development processes and facilities, Hart InterCivic should undertake a project to achieve CMMI Level 2 status in order to improve its overall software maturity capabilities.

**FINDING Hart-11:** Hart InterCivic is in the planning stages for an ability to prepare a "voter verifiable paper audit trail" if Federal or State of Ohio standards require that functionality.

### ***Summary of Findings and Recommendations for Sequoia Voting Systems***

**FINDING Sequoia-01:** Sequoia maintains close contact with testing and Federal and state certification authorities, clearing test exceptions and issues and moving new versions of products through certification process.

**FINDING Sequoia-02:** Sequoia has considerable information system planning documentation and well-documented security planning processes as they move to comply with De LaRue security standards. However, the documentation is not in a format that is consistent with integrated security planning documentation such as that detailed in Ohio's Information Security Framework or other international security planning documentation standards.

**RECOMMENDATION Sequoia-02.1:** Sequoia should adopt the format that is established in Ohio's Information Security Framework or another industry-recognized structure for information security management plans as it moves to meet the parent firm's security guidelines.