

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/10/1954. The letter discusses the author's interest in the subject of the journal and the possibility of publishing a paper on the topic.

2. The second part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/15/1954. The editor expresses interest in the author's work and suggests that the author submit a paper for consideration.

3. The third part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/20/1954. The author responds to the editor's letter and agrees to submit a paper for consideration.

4. The fourth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/25/1954. The editor informs the author that the paper has been accepted for publication.

5. The fifth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/30/1954. The author thanks the editor for accepting the paper and expresses hope that the paper will be published in the journal.

6. The sixth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/5/1954. The editor informs the author that the paper has been published in the journal.

7. The seventh part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 11/10/1954. The author thanks the editor for publishing the paper and expresses appreciation for the editor's interest in the author's work.

8. The eighth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/15/1954. The editor expresses appreciation for the author's contribution to the journal and suggests that the author continue to submit papers for consideration.

9. The ninth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 11/20/1954. The author thanks the editor for the editor's interest in the author's work and expresses hope that the author will continue to contribute to the journal.

3. If the bill's sponsor insists that an officiant be "on call," and that ceremonies be performed on site, we may wish to point out that many counties do not perform "walk-in" ceremonies, but rather **require appointments**. Weddings are often disruptive to the Clerk's office (and often other office) functions. The Post Office and some counties require appointments for passports (often because of staffing considerations). It seems reasonable to require appointments for weddings, or to designate certain days/times when ceremonies can be solemnized on site.
4. Another option would make the wedding ceremony optional, but that idea seems not to have much traction right now.

One of the sponsor's arguments is that "government has a monopoly on marriage.." This isn't completely accurate. Yes, government has a monopoly on the marriage licensing process, but definitely NOT on the marriage ceremony business. This bill, as currently written, forces Clerks into the marriage ceremony business.

It is important to keep our terminology consistent. I recommend that we refer to heterosexual marriages as "traditional marriages" and same-sex marriages as "same-sex marriages." This is widely accepted terminology.

FYI – this bill seems to be on a fast track, and is viewed as a companion bill to SB296, another anti-discrimination / religious rights bill. The Eagle Forum and Sutherland Institute fully support it. Equality Utah, Alliance for a Better Utah, and the ACLU want it amended more before moving forward, but seem hopeful that it can also become acceptable to them. Here's the link to the bill: <http://le.utah.gov/~2015/bills/static/SB0297.html>

Please share your thoughts. If you'd prefer to call, please do so.

marriage license has been issued. A lot of the time people want me to marry them on weekends and away from the office. Is this something that we can establish in our policies? Is it considered unreasonable to be asked to be available on weekends? If we refuse to do marriages on weekends and away from the office are we going to be in trouble? Do any of you perform marriages on weekends and away from the office?

Ryan Torgerson
Wayne County Clerk/Auditor

On Fri, Mar 6, 2015 at 8:59 PM, Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

SB297 came out Thursday, passed through Committee on Friday, and will be discussed in the Senate early next week. It addresses anti-discrimination, allowing for some religious exemptions. It also impacts our offices.

This email summarizes how SB297 impacts Clerks, and suggests some possible amendments. PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR INPUT!

Here's how it impacts County Clerks:

- County Clerks will be required to provide couples with access to a marriage officiant.
- Clerks could not force an employee to solemnize a marriage, if doing so would go against that employee's sincere religious or deeply held beliefs.
- Other government officials who have authority to solemnize marriages would be required to either 1) agree to solemnize all marriages, regardless of whether they are traditional or same-sex, OR 2) agree not to solemnize any marriages, except for family members. "All in or all out."

The bill's intent is: if a couple wants to get married, and cannot find someone to officiate, the Clerk must provide them with access to an officiant. That officiant could be the Clerk, an employee, or any individual who is authorized to solemnize marriages (i.e., pastor, spiritual leader, judge, mayor, etc.). The officiant must be

Adam

On Mar 9, 2015, at 3:31 PM, Bryan Thompson <BryanT@utahcounty.gov> wrote:

Adam,

1) Because we do not preform or solemnize any marriages in Utah County as the County Clerk's Office (we only issue the licenses), the question I have is, will this bill make it so our Office will now have to provide a reasonable accommodation for same-sex marriages that were are not currently providing for traditional marriages? Or, as long as we are providing a list of individuals that are willing to preform/ solemnize any type of marriage are we covered?

2) Also, if we can just provide a list, what if any given day or weekend no one on the list is available due to scheduling conflicts, vacation, or sickness, etc. are we still responsible to come up with other alternatives. I guess what I'm asking is, under this new bill to what extent will be required to identify someone to preform a marriage? I totally recognize my responsibility to as a County Clerk to issue a marriage license, but what is our additional scope of responsibility going to be? To see that a marriage is preformed? Preforming marriage ceremonies is a traditional service that many Clerks have provided, but under current code we are given the option.

As has been mentioned by others, our offices carry a lot of responsibilities, especially with the majority of us as Clerk/ Auditors, and the trend toward putting more responsibilities on our offices (transparency reporting, ever changing GASB reporting requirements, initiatives and referendum signature verification, and signature requirements for candidate ballot inclusion under SB54, to name a few). I just don't want us to come out on the other side of this finding out that there are increased expectations, when I personally feel everything is working just fine, and I 'm not aware of any complaints or problems that need to be addressed.

Bryan

Everyone,

I appreciate the feedback on this legislation. I have been in discussions on the language today and there are some additional amendments. I recognize there are some uncertainties about it so let me tell you this much:

1. This bill will go forward and will (almost certainly) pass this session;
2. It is intended to create a requirement that the State provide a mechanism to enable all legal marriages to be solemnized through public processes;
3. The clerks are a key provision of the process they want developed;
4. This bill is an attempt to clearly provide protection to religious institutions that do not want to perform marriage that are legal under civil law (which is, after all, a constitutional issue)
5. It is not intended to require an elected official to conduct all marriages.

Sorry for the loooong email!

Thanks,

R.

--

Ricky D. Hatch, CPA

Weber County Clerk/Auditor

Office – 801.399.8613

Mobile – 801.668.0909

--

Sandy Neill .
Sanpete County Clerk

- Other government officials who have authority to solemnize marriages would be required to either 1) agree to solemnize all marriages, regardless of whether they are traditional or same-sex, OR 2) agree not to solemnize any marriages, except for family members. "All in or all out."

The bill's intent is: if a couple wants to get married, and cannot find someone to officiate, the Clerk must provide them with access to an officiant. That officiant could be the Clerk, an employee, or any individual who is authorized to solemnize marriages (i.e., pastor, spiritual leader, judge, mayor, etc.). The officiant must be "reasonably available," which was described by the bill's sponsor as "on call."

The main issue of concern to us is whether Clerks should be forced into the marriage ceremony business. State code allows Clerks to choose whether or not to solemnize marriages. This bill forces Clerks to either solemnize marriages or to designate someone to solemnize marriages. I doubt any Clerk wants to be forced to solemnize marriages, even if they choose to solemnize - this choice should remain with the Clerk. However, the bill appears to provide Clerks with the ability to provide access to an officiant without being forced to be an officiant, and that is through the "designee" language.

The bill's sponsor said he is willing to work with Clerks to address our concerns. The bill says that the Clerk must establish a "policy to ensure that the Clerk or the Clerk's designee is reasonably available to solemnize."

Here are some possible amendments that might satisfy all parties:

1. **The Clerk provides a contact list of "designated officiants" to applicants.** These officiants must agree to comply with state code governing marriages, and to be "reasonably available" to solemnize marriages. The couple can then contact their desired designated officiant, and schedule the ceremony directly with them.. The Clerk may choose to be on the list, or to put an employee on it, or both, or neither. I know Utah & Weber Counties (and probably a few others) already provide a list of judges who solemnize. This option may involve some extra work to keep the list current.
2. **The Clerk designates someone as an officiant for a day, with specific authority only to perform the wedding that is being requested.** Massachusetts currently does this. Note, the designated officiant is only designated, not deputized. The process of designating would likely be providing a preprinted designation form with the officiant's name. It does not require personal action by the Clerk. Couples could bring their own officiant, who can be

performed? Performing marriage ceremonies is a traditional service that many Clerks have provided, but under current code we are given the option.

As has been mentioned by others, our offices carry a lot of responsibilities, especially with the majority of us as Clerk/ Auditors, and the trend toward putting more responsibilities on our offices (transparency reporting, ever changing GASB reporting requirements, initiatives and referendum signature verification, and signature requirements for candidate ballot inclusion under SB54, to name a few). I just don't want us to come out on the other side of this finding out that there are increased expectations, when I personally feel everything is working just fine, and I 'm not aware of any complaints or problems that need to be addressed.

Bryan

Everyone,

I appreciate the feedback on this legislation. I have been in discussions on the language today and there are some additional amendments. I recognize there are some uncertainties about it so let me tell you this much:

1. This bill will go forward and will (almost certainly) pass this session;
2. It is intended to create a requirement that the State provide a mechanism to enable all legal marriages to be solemnized through public processes;
3. The clerks are a key provision of the process they want developed;
4. This bill is an attempt to clearly provide protection to religious institutions that do not want to perform marriage that are legal under civil law (which is, after all, a constitutional issue)
5. It is not intended to require an elected official to conduct all marriages.

That being said, I think the bill will provide a new requirement for Clerks but also will provide a lot of room to determine what will be done in any county. The fact is that the policy that will be created can be defined by local realities so long as they fit within the intent of the law. Meaning, if you have some people designated in your county who will perform all legal marriages then you can set some limitations (of the type Sandy refers to). What you cannot do, is to refuse to perform marriages or fail to have someone available to perform a legal marriage under reasonable circumstances.

The question is, can we do this? I have said we can find a way to do it.

The bill is still being amended. My goal is to give you as much room to move as possible while enabling the goal of the bill which is to create a civil mechanism for marriages that are legal.

I will keep you up to date as things develop.

Adam

On Mar 9, 2015, at 2:03 PM, Sanpete County Clerk <sancclerk@gmail.com> wrote:

Our office performs marriages on weekends and away from the office if it fits our schedule. We charge a minimum of \$50 for the ceremony when it is during off hours or away from the office. Some locations in the county (up in the mountains) take more

Stephen Moore tried to call your office, but no one answered. He should have been in time to be processed.

We are going to let him complete his filing.

Thank you,

<image007.png> **Sherrie Swensen**

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370

<image008.png>

<image009.jpg>

[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

██████████ had tried to establish his account at 4:55 pm. ██████████ had filled in the information to create the User Acct., but it would not accept it. Carson Adams, our technical staff person who was assisting candidates with this, tried to help him with it, but when it didn't work he tried on another computer and then on a third computer. By 5:02 ██████████ was finally able to create an entity, but it was never authorized so he couldn't continue and complete his Conflict of Interest Disclosure? Carson called your office the first time at 5:02 pm when ██████████ had already finished and was waiting for the authorization email. Carson also called at least 5 times after and received no answer. Stephen Moore called your office (538-1041) at 5:15, but that was after ██████████ had been sitting here and still waiting for the authorization so he could complete his Conflict of Interest Disclosure.

We went ahead and allowed ██████████ to file for office. Will you please authorize his ██████████ Conflict of Interest Disclosure so he can finish it?

Carson said that there were issues with this throughout the filing period. Apparently, Carson and Mark Pemberton had talked to Seth and I don't know who else about it.

Thank you,

<image002.png> Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370

<image004.png>

<image006.jpg>

[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

On Thu, Mar 19, 2020 at 7:34 PM Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org> wrote:

Hi [REDACTED],

Attached are the instructions. If you have any problems, you may either come into the office tomorrow or call Carson Adams (385-468-7407) and he will assist you.

For your information, is the email conversation with the Lt. Governor's Office.

Best wishes,



Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370



[Website](#)

[SLCO Clerk](#)

From: Sherrie Swensen

Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2020 6:57 PM

To: 'Justin Lee' <justinlee@utah.gov>

Cc: Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>; Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>; Charlotte Kuhn <CKuhn@slco.org>; Stephen Moore <SMoore@slco.org>

Subject: RE: Computer glitch for candidate to complete Conflict of Interest Disclosure

COUNTY PROPOSITION #1

Question: AN OPINION QUESTION TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS SUCH AS ROADS, SIDEWALKS, TRAILS, MAINTENANCE, BUS AND RAIL SERVICE, AND SAFETY FEATURES

Shall Salt Lake County, Utah, be authorized to impose a quarter-of-one-percent (0.25% or the equivalent of 1 cent for every \$4 spent) sales and use tax for the specific purpose of transportation improvements such as roads, trails, sidewalks, maintenance, bus and rail service, and traffic and pedestrian safety features, with the revenues divided among the county, cities and towns, and the public transit provider within the County?

- FOR THE TAX
 AGAINST THE TAX

Argument for the tax:

We all want our children and grandchildren to enjoy a wonderful quality of life now and in the future. Utah's cities and counties face a growing population, deteriorating roads, and local budgets that fail to keep up with mounting maintenance costs. The need is visible on our streets and sidewalks. Our transportation choices are limited by gaps in transit service and incomplete bike paths and trails. The result: longer commutes, more wear and tear on our cars, hampered economic prosperity, and a diminished quality of life.

With new funding through Proposition 1 (a sales tax for local transportation), local elected leaders can prepare for population growth, take better care of our roadways and sidewalks, and promote economic prosperity in our community.

Prop 1 will provide a substantial piece of the local transportation puzzle. For just one cent of every four dollars spent—excluding food purchases—we can maintain our local roads, keep our economy moving, improve our air quality, and reduce our traffic so that we spend more time with our families and less time in our cars.

The cost of doing nothing is far higher for the average Utah family than the local option sales tax. Prop 1 would cost the average person \$40 a year, but our current road disrepair costs the average driver over \$600 more a year in added fuel use, tire wear, and extra car maintenance. In addition, for every dollar we invest now in infrastructure, we save \$6 in repair and \$10 in reconstruction work. We know that roads cost more taxpayer dollars when they are not maintained. Things like slurry seals, chip seals and overlays, that help keep roads in good shape cost money, but are far less expensive than going without maintenance and having to rebuild a road years later. Choosing to maintain our roads today is the financially responsible and fiscally conservative approach for families.

Prop 1 is dedicated exclusively to local transportation. Prop 1 is not for the construction of major highways and rail lines, nor will it fund the overhead of large agencies. Prop 1 will fund things that are close to home – the transportation options you use and need the most. It will fill a nasty pothole on your local street, finish a sidewalk between your house and your children's school, or add to bus service, trails, and bike paths so you have more transportation choices.

More than 110 cities and towns across Utah passed resolutions supporting Prop 1. The business community solidly supports the measure because business leaders know that investing in transportation today will save taxpayer dollars tomorrow. This is a historic moment to join our local government officials and the business community in support of Prop 1.

Voting YES will save Utah families money, protect the local businesses, improve our air quality, and preserve our quality of life.

Jenny Wilson, Salt Lake County, Council Member at Large
Representative Johnny Anderson, District 34
Mayor Tom Dolan, Sandy City
Lane Beattie, President and CEO Salt Lake Chamber
Ron Jibson, President and CEO of Questar Corp.

Rebuttal against the tax:

Proposition 1 proponents argue that raising our sales taxes would improve our daily lives. The best way for our lives to be improved is to keep the majority of the proceeds of our own labors, not to be taxed.

According to the Utah Taxpayers Association, we currently pay on average \$3304 a year in taxes in exchange for the services that we are told this tax increase will pay for; roads, local budgets and maintenance. Before we should even consider a tax increase, we must verify that our government has done a thorough audit and has cut back on all waste and unaccountable spending. Waste is intrinsic in any budget of this size and must be constantly checked and corrected.

The proponents of Proposition 1 make mention that the money will go towards bus service but fail to mention that 40% of this sales tax increase is slated to go to the Utah Transit Authority. The Utah Transit Authority has a history of fraud, waste and abuse with our tax dollars. From lavish trips and bonuses for top executives to \$10 million parking lots that are never built, the Utah Transit Authority has not earned the public trust and should not be given more funding.

Government doesn't need more money—it needs to better prioritize where it spends the taxes we already pay. Please vote no on Proposition 1.

Evelyn Everton
Sandy Resident - State Director Americans for Prosperity - UT

Argument against the tax:

Say NO to Proposition 1

If approved, the combined state and local sales tax in Salt Lake County will increase from 6.85% to 7.1% -- a change that will increase taxes, on average, by \$50 per person or \$200 for an average family of four. This could mean the difference between a week or more worth of groceries for most families.

Utah families have had enough new taxes this year as it is. The local option sales tax would be the third tax increase Utah residents have faced this year. In March, the legislature voted to approve a 5-cent-per-gallon increase in the gas tax, which now means that Utah drivers will pay over 48-cents-per-gallon just in taxes every time they fill up the tank. The state legislature also raised homeowner's property taxes in the valley an average of \$50 per home.

Considered separately, these tax increases may not sound like much, but for lower-income and middle-class families, every dollar counts and higher local sales taxes would only make their financial struggles worse.

Supporters say that the proposed tax increase is, in part, for road and infrastructure improvement. But 40% of the tax increase -- almost \$20 million dollars worth -- will actually be diverted to help fund the Utah Transit Authority. The Utah Transit Authority already receives \$139.2 million in Salt Lake County alone.

UTA executives receive six figure salaries and tens of thousands of dollars in bonuses and perks. The agency's CEO traveled out of state on agency-funded trips 42 times in 28 months, traveling internationally nearly as often as the President of the United States.

It's about stewardship. If the UTA can afford to pay such high salaries and award such benefits, then they probably can also afford to better shepherd the resources taxpayers have given them.

The Utah Transit Authority doesn't need another \$20 million in extra revenue. Instead, the agency should be looking toward reducing executive pay, cutting down on travel costs, and reducing inefficiencies wherever they find them.

Finally, this sales tax will fall hardest on those who have little or nothing left at the end of the month. According to the Utah Taxpayers Association, the average Utahn already pays \$720 in sales taxes every year, and \$3,304 in combined state and local taxes. The local option tax would add a few cents to most purchases, which quickly adds up.

If the sales tax increase is passed, Utahns would pay a quarter of a cent more for all purchases. In Salt Lake County, this increased sales tax would scrape \$50 million out of our checkbooks, according to the state's own analysis.

Government doesn't need more money—it needs to better prioritize where it spends the taxes we already pay. Please vote NO on Proposition 1.

Evelyn Everton
Sandy Resident - State Director Americans for Prosperity - UT

Rebuttal for the tax:

Salt Lake County is a trusted steward of public funds. Investment in our community is prioritized so that funds are used wisely and efficiently. This fiscally conservative approach has paid significant dividends to residents. Our economy is thriving and employment is strong.

But the population of Salt Lake County -- and the State of Utah -- is projected to double in the next few decades. The current mechanisms for meeting growing transportation needs are insufficient. We can't let traffic keep building and air pollution worsen. We need to invest in transportation to keep people moving, keep the economy strong and strengthen our quality of life.

At the same time, we have to prudently take care of our current roads rather than let them fall into disrepair. When that happens, the cost to taxpayers of deferred maintenance is much higher: \$1 invested to preserve our roads saves residents \$10-\$25 in costly repair or replacement later.

For the transit portion of Proposition 1, UTA has committed to utilize the new funds to improve and enhance transit service, with complete accountability and clear reporting of how funds are spent. There will be more bus service, more places, more often. This is a significant benefit to Utah's families who rely on affordable transportation choices.

Proposition 1 is funding for your local communities and nothing is more local than the condition of local roads, sidewalks and trails. We encourage you, the voter, to make an informed decision regarding Proposition 1.

Jenny Wilson, Salt Lake County, Council Member at Large
Representative Johnny Anderson, District 34
Mayor Tom Dolan, Sandy City
Lane Beattie, President and CEO Salt Lake Chamber
Ron Jibson, President and CEO of Questar Corp.

The arguments for or against a ballot proposition are the opinions of the authors.

(Date of membership)



PLEDGE OF FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES

(Utah Code §20A-9-206)

There are basic principles of decency, honesty, and fair play which every candidate for public office in the State of Utah has a moral obligation to observe and uphold, in order that, after vigorously contested but fairly conducted campaigns, our citizens may exercise their right to a free election, and that the will of the people may be fully and clearly expressed on the issues.

THEREFORE:

I SHALL conduct my campaign openly and publicly, discussing the issues as I see them, presenting my record and policies with sincerity and frankness, and criticizing, without fear or favor, the record and policies of my opponents that I believe merit criticism.

I SHALL NOT use, nor shall I permit the use of, scurrilous attacks on any candidate or the candidate's immediate family. I shall not participate in or nor shall I permit the use of defamation, libel, or slander against any candidate or the candidate's immediate family. I shall not participate in nor shall I permit the use of, any other criticism of any candidate or the candidate's immediate family that I do not believe to be truthful, provable, and relevant to my campaign.

I SHALL NOT use, nor shall I permit the use of, any practice that tends to corrupt or undermine our American system of free elections, or that hinders or prevents the free expression of the will of the voters, including practices intended to hinder or prevent any eligible person from registering to vote or voting.

I SHALL NOT coerce election help or campaign contributions for myself or for any other candidate from my employees or volunteers.

I SHALL immediately and publicly repudiate support deriving from any individual or group which resorts, on behalf of my candidacy or in opposition to that of an opponent, to methods in violation of the letter or spirit of this pledge. I shall accept responsibility to take firm action against any subordinate who violates any provision of this pledge or the laws governing elections.

I SHALL defend and uphold the right of every qualified American voter to full and equal participation in the electoral process.

I, the undersigned, candidate for election to public office in the State of Utah, hereby voluntarily endorse, subscribe to, and solemnly pledge myself to conduct my campaign in accordance with the above principles and practices.

Name: MONICA ZOLTANSKI Office: _____

Signature: _____ Date: 8/31/18

*This is a voluntary pledge. Candidates are not required to sign this pledge of fair campaign practices.

*This document is considered a public record and will be retained for public inspection until 30 days following the election.

7A	Name of the filer's spouse and any other adult residing in the filer's household who is not related by blood or marriage, as applicable N/A
7B	For the filer's spouse, the name and address of each current employer and each employer during the preceding year N/A
7C	Brief description of the employment and occupation of each adult who resides in the filer's household and is not related to the filer by blood or marriage N/A

8A	(Optional) Description of any other matter or interest that the filer believes may constitute a conflict of interest NONE.
----	---

I believe this form is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.



Signature of Filer

8/31/18
Date

**2018 LEGISLATIVE OFFICE CANDIDATE
FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE OR CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

for

MONICA ZOLTANSKI
Print name

for the office and district of _____

1A	Name(s) and address(es) of each current employer(s) and each of the filer's employers during the preceding year SELF EMPLOYED
1B	Brief description of the employment, including the filer's occupation and, as applicable, job title OWNER, LIBERTY PARK EMPORIUM ANTIQUE SHOP 1465 S. 700 EAST, SLC, UT 84105
2A	Name of any entity in which the filer is an owner or officer, or was an owner or officer during the preceding year DIMPLE DELL PRESERVATION COMMUNITY, SANDY, UTAH
2B	Brief description of the type of business or activity conducted by the entity in section 2A of this form NON-PROFIT DEDICATED TO THE PRESERVATION OF DIMPLE DELL PARK & ITS NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
2C	Filer's position in the entity described in section 2A of this form FOUNDER & PRESIDENT
3A	Name of each individual from whom, or entity from which, the filer has received \$5,000 or more in income during the preceding year* ESTATE OF EDWARD ZOLTANSKI
3B	Brief description of the type of business or activity conducted by the individual or entity described in section 3A of this form Deceased Father's Estate

*Section 3A: In making the disclosure described in section 3A of this form, a filer who provides goods or services to multiple customers or clients as part of a business or a licensed profession is only required to provide the information described in section 3 of this form in relation to the entity or practice through which the filer provides the goods or services and is not required to provide the information described in section 3 of this form in relation to the filer's individual customers or clients.

SSA
JB

**2018 WRITE-IN
UTAH STATE LEGISLATURE
DECLARATION OF CANDIDACY**

of

MONICA ZOLTANSKI

(print name)

for the office of UTAH SENATE for the 9th district.

State of Utah }
County of Salt Lake } ss.

I, MONICA ZOLTANSKI, declare my intention of becoming a write-in candidate for the political group designated as _____ for the office of _____

STATE SENATE DISTRICT 9. I do solemnly swear that I can qualify to hold that office both legally and constitutionally if selected, and that I reside at 10963 BAY MEADOW CIRCLE

Street, in the city of SANDY, county of SALT LAKE, state of UTAH, zip code 84092, phone 385-449-1070

and that as a candidate at the next election I will not knowingly violate any election or campaign law; that, if filing via a designated agent, I will be out of the state of Utah during the entire candidate filing period; I will file all campaign financial disclosure reports as required by law; and I understand that failure to do so may result in my disqualification as a candidate for this office. The mailing address that I designate for receiving official election notices is:


10963 BAY MEADOW CIR


SANDY UT 84092

VOIEZOLTANSKI@GMAIL.COM
Email Address

Twitter Handle (optional)

ZOLTANSKI4UTAH.COM
Website


Signature of Candidate (must be notarized or signed in the presence of the filing officer)


Notary Public (or other officer qualified to administer oaths)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8-31-18
(month/day/year)

RECEIVED IN OFFICE

AUG 31 2018

SALT LAKE
COUNTY CLERK
(Date Received)

(Seal)

Lieutenant Governor 2018

200-1-100
100-1-100
100-1-100

Please review:

2020FL0317_007 - 2020FL0317 (Protected) version 7 - Election Amendments

1. This draft should have all of the changes we agreed on, except:

We discussed possibly combining some language relating to ballots for a presidential primary with language for other ballots, because there may have been some duplication of language. I had difficulty determining which language you were saying should be combined. We need to talk about this further if you want me to make any changes to that.

2. I added sections as necessary due to needed cross-reference and terminology changes.



Thomas R. Vaughn

Associate General Counsel
Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel
Utah State Legislature
O: (801) 538-1032

Notice: The attached draft legislation is a protected record under the Government Records Access and Management Act, Title 63G, Chapter 2, Utah Code Annotated, and under the Utah Legislature Policies and Procedures for Handling Records Requests. The legislative sponsor intends this draft legislation to remain protected. Release of this draft legislation or disclosure of its content carries criminal penalties.

This draft legislation is provided to you for your review and comment. You may not distribute or share this draft legislation with any other person without the explicit permission of the legislative sponsor.

If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please contact the sender by reply email, delete this email, and destroy all copies of this message.

We want to make sure the clerks can still mail out ballots up to seven days before the election, so I don't think the 14 days helps out with that problem. The counties can probably weigh in better than I can on that though.

Justin Lee

Director of Elections

Office of the Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

801.538.1129

justinlee@utah.gov

On Mon, Nov 18, 2019 at 2:13 PM Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov> wrote:

Should we change it to "no sooner than 21 days before election day and no later than 14 days before election day?"

Would this be the only change? We need to get this bill out to committee members for review as soon as possible.



Thomas R. Vaughn

Associate General Counsel
Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel
Utah State Legislature
O: (801) 538-1032

From: Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>

Sent: Monday, November 18, 2019 1:43 PM

To: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Cc: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>; Suzanne Harrison <sharrison@le.utah.gov>; Hatch, Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; ptueller@slco.org; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>; Brian Bean <bbean@le.utah.gov>; Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>

Subject: Re: Bill draft for your review

Michelle Blue

From: Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 4:18 PM
To: Sherrie Swensen
Cc: mjthomas@utah.gov; Justin Lee (justinlee@utah.gov); Adam Miller
Subject: Re: Candidate Certification for CD3 General Election

Hi Sherrie,

The abbreviation for United Utah Party is "UUP." Let us know if you have other questions.

- Derek

On Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 4:12 PM, Sherrie Swensen <[SSwensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)> wrote:

Will you please provide us with a three letter abbreviation for the United Utah Party? Attached is a sample of a 2016 ballot so you can see an example of the abbreviations for the other parties and how they are used on the ballot.

Thank you,



Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

[385-468-7370](tel:385-468-7370)



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

Good afternoon everyone,

We would like to get on a quick conference call with all of the drive-up voting counties and discuss the best way to message drive-up locations to voters, particularly on vote.utah.gov.

Would you be available for a call tomorrow, June 4th, at 1:00 pm?

Here are the call-in details:

Phone #: 1-877-820-7831

Code: 122966

Thanks,

Derek

--
Deputy Director of Elections
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1746



Pam Tueller

Election Director

Salt Lake County Clerk

ptueller@slco.org

385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

Deputy Director of Elections
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1746

--

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Weber County Clerk/Auditor
Office: 801.399.8613
Mobile: 801.668.0909

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington D.C)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

Meeting ID: 824 2601 8849

Passcode: 010012

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Clerk/Auditor

Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA

E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: [801.399.8613](tel:801.399.8613) | M: [801.668.0909](tel:801.668.0909)

#WinninginWeber

We're planning on May 27th too, with the caveat that I'm trying hard to get approval to do an on-line tax sale this year. I've met with a couple of online tax sale vendor reps, and I have been really impressed with what they have to offer. Thanks to Dan for the advice and the referrals!

Sincerely,

Scott Tingley, CIA, CGAP
Salt Lake County Auditor



Scott Tingley, CIA, CGAP
County Auditor

Office of the Salt Lake County Auditor
2001 S State Street, Suite N3-300
Salt Lake City, UT 84190
stingley@slco.org
T 385-468-7185 F 866-498-4955

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication and any attachments thereto may contain private, privileged and/or confidential information and are for the sole use of the intended recipient, including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 U.S.C. § 2510 - 2521), et seq. and other authority. Any unauthorized altering, printing, copying, dissemination, or disclosure of this information is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately reply to the sender and so advise, and immediately destroy and permanently delete the communication.

From: Michael Howard <mhoward@summitcounty.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 10:00 AM

To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Mike Wilkins <mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov>

Cc: alainal@juabcounty.com; bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov; bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us; bpeart@richcountyut.org; braymond@daggettcounty.org; BrendaT@emery.utah.gov; bryan@uacnet.org; camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov; ckoch@co.davis.ut.us; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; cyingling@utah.gov; dataprow@manti.com;

dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org; djessen@ironcounty.net; Intern,Elections <electionintern@co.weber.ut.us>; gingermcmullin@beaver.utah.gov; jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org; jevans@duchesne.utah.gov;

JGranger@wasatch.utah.gov; jihansen@utah.gov; justin.anderson@cachecounty.org; justinlee@utah.gov;

jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; kgleave@piute.utah.gov; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov; Kellie Robinson

<krobinson@summitcounty.org>; Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>; lori.perez@carbon.utah.gov;

lshafer@utah.gov; Taylor,Lynn <ltaylor@co.weber.ut.us>; markm@utah.gov; mcrook@wasatch.utah.gov;

melanie.abplanalp@washco.utah.gov; mgillette@tooeleco.org; mjackson@co.davis.ut.us; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us;

myoung@boxeldercounty.org; Robin Judd <rjudd@summitcounty.org>; rwheeler@utah.gov; ryan@wayne.utah.gov;

scwall@sevier.utah.gov; seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov; slafitte@morgan-county.net; slyon@sanpetecountyutah.gov;

Stephen Moore <SMoore@slco.org>; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>; Scott

Tingley <STingley@slco.org>; Stuart Tsai <STsai@slco.org>; swilkerson@morgan-county.net; tduncan@uintah.utah.gov;

tlake@morgan-county.net; tmcullin@beaver.utah.gov; wmcknight@wasatch.utah.gov; Lindsey Parkinson

<lparkinson87@gmail.com>; qhall@grandcountyutah.net; Shaneal Bess <sbess@ironcounty.net>; Cowley,Ryan

<rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>

Subject: RE: Good idea for the future

Great idea!

Also, I know we're thick in legislative review, but curious as to when everyone is scheduling their tax sales?

Michael Howard
Summit County Auditor
P.O. Box 128
Coalville, UT 84017
P: 435.336.3254
E: mhoward@summitcounty.org



On Thu, Feb 11, 2021 at 10:00 AM Michael Howard <mhoward@summitcounty.org> wrote:

Great idea!

Also, I know we're thick in legislative review, but curious as to when everyone is scheduling their tax sales?

Michael Howard

Summit County Auditor

P.O. Box 128

Coalville, UT 84017

P: 435.336.3254

E: mhoward@summitcounty.org



From: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>

Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 4:08 PM

To: Mike Wilkins <mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov>

Cc: alainal@juabcounty.com; bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov; bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us; bpeart@richcountyut.org; braymond@daggettcounty.org; BrendaT@emery.utah.gov; bryan@uacnet.org; camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov; ckoch@co.davis.ut.us; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; cyngling@utah.gov; datapro@manti.com; dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org; djessen@ironcounty.net; Intern,Elections <electionintern@co.weber.ut.us>; gingermcmullin@beaver.utah.gov; jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org; jevans@duchesne.utah.gov; JGranger@wasatch.utah.gov; ijhansen@utah.gov; justin.anderson@cachecounty.org; justinlee@utah.gov; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; kgleave@piute.utah.gov; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov; Kellie Robinson <krobinson@summitcounty.org>; lkchapman@slco.org; lori.perez@carbon.utah.gov; lshafer@utah.gov; Taylor,Lynn <ltaylor@co.weber.ut.us>; markm@utah.gov; mcrook@wasatch.utah.gov; melanie.abplanalp@washco.utah.gov; mgillette@tooeleco.org; Michael Howard <mhoward@summitcounty.org>; mjackson@co.davis.ut.us; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us; myoung@boxeldercounty.org; Robin Judd <rjudd@summitcounty.org>; rwheeler@utah.gov; ryan@wayne.utah.gov; scwall@sevier.utah.gov; seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov; slafitte@morgan-county.net; slyon@sanpetecountyutah.gov; smoore@slco.org; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov; SSWENSEN@SLCO.ORG; stingley@slco.org; stsai@slco.org; swilkerson@morgan-county.net; tduncan@uintah.utah.gov; tlake@morgan-county.net; tmcmullin@beaver.utah.gov; wmcknight@wasatch.utah.gov; Lindsey Parkinson <Imparkinson87@gmail.com>; ghall@grandcountyutah.net; Shaneal Bess <sbess@ironcounty.net>; Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>

Subject: Good idea for the future

On Fri, Mar 5, 2021 at 8:49 AM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

This is a nice, short post from Utah Policy that defines some of the terms used in the legislative session. This goes along nicely with our desire to have a Legislation 101 discussion.

<https://utahpolicy.com/index.php/features/today-at-utah-policy/26526-a-glossary-of-terms-you-might-hear-today>

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Clerk/Auditor

Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA

E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: [801.399.8613](tel:801.399.8613) | M: [801.668.0909](tel:801.668.0909)

#WinninginWeber



or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by reply E-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

On Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 6:15 PM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

Attached is the final list of bills, including which bills passed and which didn't. Here are some general stats:

Total # of bills we tracked: 56

Total # of bills we supported: 20

Total # of bills we opposed: 12

Total # of bills we were neutral/no position: 24

Key stat to cite when talking to legislators: **We only opposed 20% of bills that we tracked.**

Total wins: 29 (85%)

Total losses: 5 (15%)

85% of the bills we supported ended up passing, 15% did not pass (HB203, HB104, SB235).

17% of the bills we opposed ended up passing (HB243, SB65), 83% did not pass.

Thank you everyone for your hard work this session!

R.

--

Carpe Diem

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Clerk/Auditor

Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA

Hi there,

I know I have asked this in the past (in a frantic plea), but I am wondering if you would be willing to share with me your contact of who prints your ballot envelopes. I do not want to use SeaChange anymore, if at all possible. Our current outgoing envelope is 6 1/8" x 9 3/4" and the return envelope is 5 3/4" x 9". I would like to stay with that size, if at all possible, but am willing to go with the smaller envelope if necessary.

Thanks :)

Marki Rowley

Millard County Clerk

765 S Hwy 99, Ste. 6 Fillmore, UT 84631

435-743-6223

435-864-2440

*** Confidentiality Notice ***

This message, including any attachments, may contain confidential and/or privileged information and is intended to be reviewed only by the individual(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail and its attachment, if any, or the information contained herein, is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system, destroy the material in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://urlprotection-sjl.global.sonicwall.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202101061711330098377&URLID=4&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=0F6C70D8521315CE0D96A960A4D19A2A&TT=1609953095363&ESN=tPRP6NYXeI5YWqsklTA%2FJYWFjdojWoL8PvnMhdq%2Fsqo%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fgroups.google.com%2Fa%2Futah.gov%2Fd%2Fmsgid%2Fvsug%2F1610055288033.6851%2540co.millard.ut.us&HK=92E9EED005FCFB62A03D7C7C2A147EA46DBA911938E103FE39B36F2227C846C4.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://urlprotection-sjl.global.sonicwall.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202101061711330098377&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=E95014C2063511747D510A2FE6369401&TT=1609953095363&ESN=kmDXpVFY8C92vriixePm%2BK17KmxB%2BksMSWLxLC0TuoE%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fgroups.google.com%2Fa%2Futah.gov%2Fd%2Fmsgid%2Fvsug%2FCAM_AU5ztpC7_gtBmFJKXs%3DCfJ0j-eSGUxX2_HnaWf3QRRRR45w%2540mail.gmail.com&HK=150F8858A7F4267DFE683B850648A6D801C083B9AE16C59DFD8490B9EAC0A391.

R.

--
Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: [801.399.8613](tel:801.399.8613) | M: [801.668.0909](tel:801.668.0909)
#WinninginWeber

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 3:14 PM
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]disability language

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

We could replace the current disability language in the bill with something like:

- (iii) An election officer shall:
 - (A) provide a method of accessible voting to a voter with a disability who is not able to vote by mail; and
 - (B) include, on the election officer's website and with each ballot mailed, instructions regarding how a voter described in Subsection (6)(a)(iii)(A) may vote.

<image002.jpg>

801-399-8036

Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd
Ogden, UT 84401
www.weberelections.com

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

To: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>;
alainal@juabcounty.com <alainal@juabcounty.com>; bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov
<bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov>; bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>;
bpeart@richcountyut.org <bpeart@richcountyut.org>; braymond@daggettcounty.org
<braymond@daggettcounty.org>; BrendaT@emery.utah.gov <BrendaT@emery.utah.gov>;
bryan@uacnet.org <bryan@uacnet.org>; camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov
<camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov>; ckoch@co.davis.ut.us <ckoch@co.davis.ut.us>;
clerkkj@kane.utah.gov <clerkkj@kane.utah.gov>; cyingling@utah.gov <cyingling@utah.gov>;
datapro@manti.com <datapro@manti.com>; dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org
<dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org>; Dan Jessen <djessen@ironcounty.net>; Intern,Elections
<electionintern@co.weber.ut.us>; ginger McMullin@beaver.utah.gov
<gingermcmullin@beaver.utah.gov>; jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org <jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org>;
jevans@duchesne.utah.gov <jevans@duchesne.utah.gov>; JGranger@wasatch.utah.gov
<JGranger@wasatch.utah.gov>; jjhansen@utah.gov <jjhansen@utah.gov>;
justin.anderson@cachecounty.org <justin.anderson@cachecounty.org>; justinlee@utah.gov
<justinlee@utah.gov>; kgleave@piute.utah.gov <kgleave@piute.utah.gov>;
kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov <kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov>; krobinson@summitcounty.org
<krobinson@summitcounty.org>; lkchapman@slco.org <lkchapman@slco.org>;
lori.perez@carbon.utah.gov <lori.perez@carbon.utah.gov>; lshafer@utah.gov <lshafer@utah.gov>;
Taylor,Lynn <ltaylor@co.weber.ut.us>; markm@utah.gov <markm@utah.gov>;
mcrook@wasatch.utah.gov <mcrook@wasatch.utah.gov>; melanie.abplanalp@washco.utah.gov
<melanie.abplanalp@washco.utah.gov>; Marilyn Gillette <mgillette@tooeleco.org>;
mhoward@summitcounty.org <mhoward@summitcounty.org>; mjackson@co.davis.ut.us
<mjackson@co.davis.ut.us>; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us <mrowley@co.millard.ut.us>;
mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov <mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov>; myoung@boxeldercounty.org
<myoung@boxeldercounty.org>; rjudd@summitcounty.org <rjudd@summitcounty.org>;
rwheeler@utah.gov <rwheeler@utah.gov>; ryan@wayne.utah.gov <ryan@wayne.utah.gov>;
scwall@sevier.utah.gov <scwall@sevier.utah.gov>; seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov
<seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov>; slafitte@morgan-county.net <slafitte@morgan-county.net>;
slyon@sanpetecountyutah.gov <slyon@sanpetecountyutah.gov>; smoore@slco.org
<smoore@slco.org>; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov <sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov>;
SSWENSEN@SLCO.ORG <SSWENSEN@SLCO.ORG>; stingley@slco.org <stingley@slco.org>;
stsai@slco.org <stsai@slco.org>; swilkerson@morgan-county.net <swilkerson@morgan-county.net>;
tduncan@uintah.utah.gov <tduncan@uintah.utah.gov>; tlake@morgan-county.net <tlake@morgan-
county.net>; tmcullin@beaver.utah.gov <tmcullin@beaver.utah.gov>;
wmcknight@wasatch.utah.gov <wmcknight@wasatch.utah.gov>; Lindsey Parkinson
<lmparkinson87@gmail.com>; qhall@grandcountyutah.net <qhall@grandcountyutah.net>; Shaneal
Bess <sbess@ironcounty.net>; Alison McCoy <amccoy@tooeleco.org>

Subject: Re: Party Affiliation Graphic

Thanks so much!

The Monty Python infographic has already been shared far and wide 😊

Jon Whittaker
Iron County Clerk
68 South 100 East
PO Box 429
Parowan, UT 84761-0429

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utah.gov

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://urlprotection-sjl.global.sonicwall.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202001211712380002524&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.4.2749&IV=1F01AE9EB1D4970B0034E039B564E1EE&TT=1579626759648&ESN=NOY0ILkSRH5pUxfj1uUxOwKge2kHYLBAWM27TS76E3Y%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fgroups.google.com%2Fa%2Futah.gov%2Fd%2Fmsgid%2Fvsug%2FCAM_AU5wAvMeQvgJgjrqXHPEP46NnxGDwY-Zow33LfCbMRrB5qA%2540mail.gmail.com&HK=6FD06A5BB8C7B22B1C91DB3DA7288593FC9D22E905CA101AAAEFD28BE2A578F2.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

To view this discussion on the web visit
<https://groups.google.com/a/utah.gov/d/msgid/vsug/56cb6510122f4361b0541e0671cb5258%40co.weber.ut.us>.

Michael W. Wilkins
Uintah County Clerk-Auditor
147 East Main
Vernal, UT 84078
435-781-5362

"Take Care of your employees and they will take care of your business. It's as simple as that."
Richard Branson

On Thu, Feb 4, 2021 at 10:53 PM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

Rep. Teuscher has changed the affiliation deadline from Jan. 1st to March 31st (in even years), which is fairly close to the deadline that many of our group said would get them to neutral (we currently oppose). Curtis and I are meeting with the Representative Friday at 11 AM, and we would like to know the group's position on this specific change before the meeting.

Please complete this one-question survey (one response per county, please) before 10 AM so we have time to see what the group's feelings are with this new proposal.

https://es.sonicurlprotection-sjl.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202102051458240101646&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=30FEB2E5B633CF538362EA3CC011765E&TT=1612537105371&ESN=ijAmMQL0AIHKKjhgpJrzxyOqd1uZ%2BCTUYkLj0UI6Fjw%3D&K V=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.surveymonkey.com%2Fr%2FGWYGLRG&HK=84BE8D5A0AD321CB9CD817C72B10B30D7066FDDCA3431B186C23D196B6483C7E

The representative has proactively reached out to us multiple times, even though Leadership assured him that there are enough votes to pass the bill regardless of our input, so I'd like to show him that we're responsive to his efforts to work with us. He seems to be interested in elections, and could become a good advocate, or at least a listening legislator, and we definitely appreciate those kinds of legislators!

Thanks!
R.

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly a header or title.

Faint, illegible text in the upper right quadrant, possibly a signature or date.

- Circuit Breaker – If you are over 66 years of age, or a widow(er), and your household income for 2017 was less than \$32,738
- Abatement – If you are under 66 years of age, experiencing hardship, and your household income for 2017 was less than \$32,738
- Disabled Veteran – If you have a Disability Rating from the VA of at least 10%
- If you were deployed with the military for 200 days

For more information, please call the Weber County Clerk/Auditor's Office at (801) 399-8400.

--

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
 Clerk/Auditor
 Weber County
 2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
 E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
 #WinninginWeber

From: Hatch,Ricky

Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2018 9:55 AM

To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>

Cc: Sasha Clark <sasha@thediciogroup.com>; gingermcmullin@beaver.utah.gov; tmcmullin@beaver.utah.gov; dwsmith@beaver.utah.gov; tkotter@boxeldercounty.org; myoung@boxeldercounty.org; lpurdum@boxeldercounty.org; jill.zollinger@cachecounty.org; kim.gardner@cachecounty.org; dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org; seth.oveson@carbon.utah.gov; alexis.horsley@carbon.utah.gov; braymond@daggettcounty.org; kpallesen@daggettcounty.org; nsteib@daggettcounty.org; ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov; hvoordeckers@co.davis.ut.gov; bmckenzie@daviscountyutah.gov; jevans@duchesne.utah.gov; brendat@emery.utah.gov; camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov; gcroads@gmail.com; dcarrroll@grandcountyutah.net; janasmith@grandcountyutah.net; djessen@ironcounty.net; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; christene@ironcounty.net; crobinson@ironcounty.net; alainal@juabcounty.com; jant@juabcounty.com; elections@kane.utah.gov; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; bonnieg@co.millard.ut.us; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us; slafitte@morgan-county.net; lhyde@morgan-county.net; swilkerson@morgan-county.net; kgleave@piute.utah.gov; bpeart@richcountyut.org; sswensen@slco.org; rhobbs@slco.org; stingley@slco.org; cjohnson@slco.org; rmitchell@slco.org; ptueller@slco.org; jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org; fellmeth@sanjuancounty.org; jfrancom@sanjuancounty.org; dataprow@mantico.com; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov; scwall@sevier.utah.gov; bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov; mhoward@summitcounty.org; kentjones@summitcounty.org; krobinson@summitcounty.org; rjudd@summitcounty.org; mgillette@tooeleco.org; mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov; tbruckner@uintah.utah.gov; bryant@utahcounty.gov; scottch@utahcounty.gov; danenej@utahcounty.gov; btitcomb@co.wasatch.ut.us; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov; cami.scholzen@washco.utah.gov; melaniea@washco.utah.gov; ryan@wayne.utah.gov; Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Taylor,Lynn <ltaylor@co.weber.ut.us>; Hastings,Jessica <jhastings@co.weber.ut.us>; Wade,Daniel <DWade@co.weber.ut.us>; dbrenchley@utah.gov; justinlee@utah.gov; lincoln@uacnet.org; bryan@uacnet.org

Subject: Get Gephardt news piece - are you getting lots of calls?

Our office is getting a lot of phone calls and visits because of a Get Gephardt story that aired last night regarding tax relief. [https://kutv.com/news/get-gephardt#//](https://kutv.com/news/get-gephardt#/)

Turns out he said that veterans can qualify (didn't mention the disability requirement), and that the deadline to apply is September 4th.

1000 S. EAST ASIAN LIBRARY

CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

1980

1000 S. EAST ASIAN LIBRARY

CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

1980

1000 S. EAST ASIAN LIBRARY
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607
1980

Hi Ricky,

Here is a link to the updated story: <https://kutv.com/news/get-gephardt/deadline-to-apply-for-property-tax-relief-is-fast-approaching>

Thanks again for reaching out. I am sorry about the errors. I did take the time to confirm that the programs are available statewide, but obviously failed to confirm they were all administered the same.

Let me know if you have any more concerns and please extend my apologies to folks in your office or other county clerks/auditors to whom you speak.

Best,

Matt Gephardt

From: Hatch,Ricky [mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us]
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2018 1:45 PM
To: Matt Gephardt <mgephardt@sbgvtv.com>
Subject: Corrections/Clarifications regarding the veteran tax relief story

Hi Matt,

Thanks again for calling – that’s solid, responsible journalism, and I really appreciate it.

After the increase in calls this morning, I emailed county clerks statewide, and heard back from about 10 counties from Box Elder to Washington saying they were experiencing the same thing.

We posted a correction/clarification on our website, FB, and Twitter pages. It’s included below, but I made it more generic, rather than Weber County specific. Also, I think Salt Lake County may be the only county where the Treasurer handles tax relief. Usually it’s the county clerk/auditor who handles it.

CLARIFICATIONS TO GEPHARDT’S “TAXPAYERS COULD SAVE THOUSANDS”

Tuesday night, KUTV News aired a Get Gephardt segment highlighting tax relief programs for Utahns. We appreciate his bringing attention to our tax relief programs, and we would like to clarify a few statements made in the segment.

1. The deadline to apply for tax relief varies by county, but in many counties, it is December 31st, not the September 4th deadline stated in the Gephardt piece (that is the deadline for Salt Lake County). Please call your county Clerk for your county’s specific deadline.
2. While the story mentioned that there were tax relief programs for veterans, it did not mention that in order to qualify, the veteran must have a service-related disability rating from the VA of at least 10%.
3. The limit on an applicant’s assets varies, depending on the program and the applicant’s age. Most of the programs do not have asset limits. Call your county Clerk or Treasurer for more details.

As stated in the news piece, counties offer many Tax Relief programs:

- Blind – If an eye doctor has certified that you are legally blind in both eyes
- Circuit Breaker – If you are over 66 years of age, or a widow(er), and your household income for 2017 was less than \$32,738 (this amount is set each year by the state legislature)
- Abatement – If you are under 66 years of age, experiencing hardship, and your household income for 2017 was less than \$32,738
- Disabled Veteran – If you have a Disability Rating from the VA of at least 10%
- If you were deployed with the military for 200 days within the past 12 months.

communications for long periods prior to taking action. The MS-ISAC recommends that election officials become aware of the threats and techniques used by nation-state actors and share this information with others in their close circle who may also be targeted. In addition, the MS-ISAC strongly recommends caution in using personal email and social media accounts, periodically rechecking privacy settings for those accounts, and enabling two-factor authentication for logging in.

<https://www.apnews.com/c3b26c647e794073b7626befa146caad/Russian-hackers-targeted-more-than-200-journalists-globally>

The MS-ISAC is interested in your comments - an anonymous feedback survey is available at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/MSISACProductEvaluation>.

24x7 Security Operations Center
Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center (MS-ISAC)
31 Tech Valley Drive
East Greenbush, NY 12061
SOC@cisecurity.org - 1-866-787-4722



TLP: WHITE

Disclosure is not limited. Subject to standard copyright rules, TLP: WHITE information may be distributed without restriction.

<http://www.us-cert.gov/tlp/>

This message and attachments may contain confidential information. If it appears that this message was sent to you by mistake, any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and attachments is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the message and any attachments.

.....

Thanks,
R.

From: MS-ISAC Advisory <MS-ISAC.Advisory@msisac.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 27, 2017 1:47 PM
To: Thomas Duffy
Subject: [CAUTION]Message from the MS-ISAC: Weekly Elections News Alert 12/27/17

TLP: WHITE
MS-ISAC Elections Pilot Weekly News Alert

TO: All MS-ISAC Elections Community Members

DATE: December 27, 2017

SUBJECT: Elections Pilot Weekly News Alert 12/27/17

The MS-ISAC Elections Pilot Weekly News Alert is a summary of open-source reporting on election security and topics that may be of interest to elections officials. The Weekly News Alert is intended to provide situational awareness of cyber risk landscape and cybersecurity best practices to election officials through open source news reporting and analysis by the MS-ISAC and other experts. If you would like to submit security-related stories that may be of interest to the elections community, please contact SOC@cisecurity.org.

SQLi Attack Claims by AnonPlus –Twitter Posts by AnonPlus (12/26/17)

TLP: WHITE On December 26, 2017, the cyber threat actor AnonPlus claimed, via a series of tweets, to have performed Structured Query Language (SQL) injection (SQLi) attacks against six state and local government websites, including one Secretary of State's website.

- SQL is a standard language used to communicate with databases.
- SQLi attacks target databases used to hold and provide data for a website user, such as search results, login names and passwords, and dynamic content like calendars and lists. A SQLi attack occurs when a cyber threat actor attempts to maliciously use the SQL language to interact with a database on a website. If the website is not properly hardened, it may be possible to add, delete, modify, or retrieve content. In some cases, even if the website is protected, the website might return an error message, which gives the attacker more information about the database, thereby allowing them to craft a more sophisticated attack.
- A "claim" indicates the actor has made a statement regarding malicious activity but that statement is not substantiated.

MS-ISAC Analyst Note: AnonPlus primarily tweets about SQLi claims. In December the actor tweeted SQLi attack claims about several foreign governments. The actor's Twitter page indicates they associate with several groups under the Anonymous brand and have claimed active involvement in operations #OpSpain and #OpCatalunya, suggesting their motivations don't directly relate to SLTT governments.

SQLi attempts are a popular attack technique for attempting to target insecure websites, applications, and Internet-facing databases. In these instances we strongly encourage the named entity to review the website and modify it as necessary to mitigate any SQLi vulnerabilities, disable error disclosure, and to review logs to ensure there were no changes or exfiltrations.

<https://twitter.com/AnonPlus> Info

29279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fle.utah.gov%2F~2021%2Fbills%2Fstatic%2FSB0205.html&HK=35B85F0AEF3E45375C1C8858B6AD60E6A45D3E2AE20943BC05F8A526226C993F

Message sent Tuesday, Mar. 2, 2021 11:05 AM

Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#WinninginWeber