

Voter Search

Below is the information I input into the system:

Name: [REDACTED]

DOB [REDACTED]

Street Address: [REDACTED]

City: Salt Lake City

Zip: 84103.

Also, where can I find out information on what voting machines are used in Salt Lake County and what protocols are used to ensure the integrity of the voting system?

Thanks for your help.

From: Pam Tueller on behalf of Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>
To: Elections.GV
Cc: India Nielsen; Mark Mitchell; Justin Lee; Sherrie Swensen; Rashelle Hobbs
Subject: RE: Voter Registration for [REDACTED]
Date: Thursday, October 18, 2018 8:22:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.jpg](#)

India,

[REDACTED] registered to vote through the Driver License Division. The form says yes he is a US citizen. This has passed through the on-line program set up with the State and the Driver License Division. THIS looks like something that the VISTA group and the Driver's License Division need to correct because all we can do is go by the information given to us.

I will remove him from the records due to this information, but this will be an ongoing issue until this is fixed in the on-line registration system.

Thank you,



Pam Tueller

Fiscal Administrator
Salt Lake County Clerk
ptueller@slco.org
385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

From: inielsen@utah.gov <inielsen@utah.gov> **On Behalf Of** Elections.GV
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2018 8:05 AM
To: Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>
Subject: Fwd: Voter Registration for [REDACTED]

Hi Pam,

Would you please respond to [REDACTED]? Would you also look into why he was registered if he is not a citizen? He *shouldn't* be verified as a U.S. citizen if he is not.

Thanks, Pam!
India

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED] >
Date: Wed, Oct 17, 2018 at 7:32 PM
Subject: Re: Voter Registration for [REDACTED]
To: <elections@utah.gov>

Michelle Blue

From: Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 1:40 PM
To: Sherrie Swensen
Cc: Rozan Mitchell; Pam Tueller; Rashelle Hobbs; Loretta Garcia; Justin Lee (justinlee@utah.gov)
Subject: Re: FW: Candidate Filing in District 7

Hi Sherrie,

It appears that you've updated David Atkin's status in VISTA as "withdrew," so he will not be listed on our website. Did you want to put a message on the website stating that he withdrew? If so, we don't have the ability to do that at this time.

Best,
Derek

On Wed, Sep 27, 2017 at 9:53 AM, Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org> wrote:
Hi Derek Brenchley,

Will you please make note on your website that David C. Atkin, candidate for Salt Lake City Council #1, has officially withdrawn?

Thank you,

Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk
[385-468-7370](tel:385-468-7370)

-----Original Message-----

From: Mansell, Cindi [<mailto:Cindi.Mansell@slcgov.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 9:23 AM
To: Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>; Rozan Mitchell <RMitchell@slco.org>
Cc: Smedley, Nicole <Nicole.Smedley@slcgov.com>
Subject: FW: Candidate Filing in District 1

Sherrie-

I do apologize.

We received this candidate withdrawal from District 1 for David Atkin late Friday (we were ensuring that he did not change his mind).

I am sending it along to notify you now.

Michelle Blue

From: Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 8:06 AM
To: Sherrie Swensen
Cc: Justin Lee (Google Drive); Pam Tueller; Mark Pemberton; Adam Miller; Loretta Garcia
Subject: Re: General Election Update: 6 Days Remaining
Attachments: image002.png; image004.png; image006.jpg

Hi Sherrie,

That shouldn't be a problem. We'll plan on releasing results for Salt Lake County on Thursday as well.

- Derek

On Wed, Oct 31, 2018 at 6:23 PM Sherrie Swensen <[SSwensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)> wrote:

Hi Derek and Justin,

We are likely to receive a large number of ballots on Election Day. Therefore, we will need to scan ballots on both Thursday, November 8th and Friday, November 9th.

Since the law requires us to release results the same day ballots are tabulated, we will need to post the Thursday results on our website that day. Will you also be able to update our results on your website for Thursday?

Thank you,

Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370

[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

On Wed, Oct 31, 2018 at 9:19 AM Derek Branchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov> wrote:

County Clerks & Election Officials,

Happy Halloween! — the General Election is 6 days away. Here are upcoming deadlines and reminders as we enter the home stretch. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Derek Branchley

Reminders:

- **Same-Day Registration.** A voter may participate in same-day registration at a voting location on Election Day or during the early voting period [refer to [20A-2-207\(1\)](#)]. If an individual is not registered to vote, she or he will need to complete the provisional ballot process outlined in [20A-3-105.5](#), and the county will count the provisional ballot. Refer to [20A-2-207](#) for more information, and please be prepared for an increase in provisional balloting.
- **County & Local Board of Education Disclosures.** If a candidate did not file a financial disclosure report yesterday, the county must impose a \$100 fine, and the candidate has 24 hours (until midnight tonight) to file the report. If the candidate does not do this, the county must disqualify the candidate from the ballot. Refer to [17-16-6.5\(11\) - \(14\)](#). And, as a reminder, please send our office a link to county and local school board financial disclosures (or a scanned copy of the filings) as required by [17-16-6.5\(18\)\(b\)\(ii\)](#).
- **Scheduled Release of Election Results.** As in elections past, we are scheduling regular updates of election results. If the county processes ballots, please submit the updated results to our office before 3:00 pm on the following days:
 - Thursday, November 8th
 - Tuesday, November 13th
 - Friday, November 16th
 - Tuesday, November 20th

Upcoming Deadlines:

801-538-1501

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--

Deputy Director of Elections
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1501

Michelle Blue

From: Derek Branchley <dbranchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 11:43 AM
To: Hatch,Ricky
Cc: Cowley,Ryan; Curtis Koch; Brian McKenzie; Sherrie Swensen; Pam Tueller; AmeliaP@utahcounty.gov; RozanMit@utahcounty.gov; Lincoln Shurtz; Brandy Grace; justinlee@utah.gov
Subject: Re: H.B. 3006, "Election Amendments" - prepaid postage possibility

Hi all,

We asked for guidance from the EAC about pre-paid return postage, and they confirmed that counties that planned to provide pre-paid return postage are not eligible to use federal funds for postage because it would supplant their budgets, whereas counties that have not planned or budgeted pre-paid return postage would be eligible for federal funds.

- Derek

On Wed, Apr 15, 2020 at 11:25 AM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

FYI - just a heads up that they're talking about having prepaid return postage, to be reimbursed by stimulus funding.

Rep. Hall called me and had some concern over the combination of releasing results at 10 PM AND allowing election day postmarking. He asked if we would be OK to release results at 11:59 PM instead. I said that what would be better is to not change the postmark deadline at all, as it will confuse voters come November, and will require many counties to reprint envelopes.

R.

Here's some thoughts from Derek/Justin regarding prepaid reimbursement:

The federal funds could be used for pre-paid postage if we expect a higher percentage of absentee ballots. But because the counties already sent a ballot to all voters, an increase of absentee ballots due to the pandemic is not likely. Additionally, the federal funds cannot supplant funds already allocated or budgeted. We may have to get definitive guidance from the EAC on our situation, but my first impression is that counties that already budgeted and planned to provide pre-paid postage are not eligible for the federal funds.

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#WinninginWeber

From: Alexander R. Wilson <awilson@le.utah.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2020 5:33 PM

To: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>; Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>; Hatch, Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL]Fiscal Note: H.B. 3006, "Election Amendments"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

All,

I just spoke with Rep. Moss about this bill and he is wondering what pre-paid postage would look like for the Primary. I have the following questions.

1. Do you have an opinion about whether a requirement for pre-paid postage should be required in the bill, if it were paid for by the state?
2. Would the Federal Funds available allow for pre-paid postage?
3. If we were to require it, how much would it cost?
4. What are your thoughts about just allowing counties to access Federal money through the LG's Office to provide pre-paid postage if the county chose to do so?

Just something to think about while you are responding to this fiscal note. Could you get me something by noon tomorrow?

Thanks!

Alex Wilson

*Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst
W310 State Capitol Complex
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5310
Office: (801) 326-1773
Cell: (385) 207-8861
Email: awilson@le.utah.gov*

--

Deputy Director of Elections
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1746

From: Sherrie Swensen
To: Justin Lee - State Election Division (justinlee@utah.gov)
Cc: Lannie Chapman; Michelle Blue (mbblue@slco.org)
Subject: FW: RCV Outreach Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 12:18:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.jpg](#)

Hi Justin,

We are preparing quotes for municipal contracts and we are offering cities the option to conduct RCV. We are not including a cost for voter education in our contract estimates. We anticipate that cities will do their own voter outreach and education since some cities in the county will be using the traditional method and some will use RCV. Of course, we will answer questions from voters and have an explanation of RCV on our website. The ballot instructions will be different too depending on the method used.

We would like know if you have education materials available that were prepared for Vineyard and Payson that may be utilized by the other cities.

Also, if the cities contact your office directly for the RCV education funding, who is the contact person?

Thank you,



Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk
sswensen@slco.org
385-468-7370
  [SLCO Clerk](#)
[Website](#)

PS - Great job at the hearing!

From: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 1:43 PM
To: Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>
Cc: Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>
Subject: RCV Outreach Funds

Hi Sherrie,

I just tried to call you, returning your voicemail from last week. Feel free to call me anytime this

Michelle Blue

From: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 7:08 AM
To: Jani Iwamoto
Cc: Cameron Diehl; Hatch,Ricky; Tom Vaughn; Sherrie Swensen; Justin Lee
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Thank you for your work on this. If you need/want a special district to testify in favor of the bill I can get chief Wade from North Vote Fire to come. He is very appreciative of this bill.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 8, 2021, at 6:50 AM, Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov> wrote:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

This draft bill is slated for the next government ops interim meeting to hopefully become a committee bill.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 7, 2021, at 2:05 PM, Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org> wrote:

Hello everyone,
I was out of the office for a spell and am slowly but surely getting caught up. Thanks for your patience.

The municipal clerks have two pieces of input:

Lines 68-69 referencing posting notice on the Statewide Electronic Voter Information Website. They informed me that they usually provide notice and the accompanying resolution directly to the Lt. Governor's office to post on that website. They don't have direct access to the website. Should the language require a city to notify the LG and then the LG post it on the website?

Second, UMCA is working on potential additional modifications to the noticing requirements that were in SB 201 and 1007 in the special session. They haven't finalized those recommendations yet and we haven't approached a bill sponsor. If the legislature adopted those pending recommendations, they would impact lines 76-83. Until that time, consider this paragraph simply a marker that we may need to revisit the noticing language in 76-83 at some point.

Ryan:

I'm ok with that if everyone else is.

<image002.jpg>

From: Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Friday, July 2, 2021 3:01 PM
To: Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Cc: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>
Subject: RE: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Thank you for including me on this new language. It is coming along really well. I do have minor change that will clarify the intent of the bill. I talked it over with Sherrie, and she is on board with the change.

Line 64 – I think this would still prohibit a city from cancelling their election if there is a school bond or county question on the ballot, even if a race is uncontested. Adding the word municipal before ballot proposition would resolve this concern. Line 64 could say: "no municipal ballot propositions have qualified for placement on the ballot; and" The ballot proposition would still appear on the ballot (the county legislative body would still call a special election), but it would allow the municipality to cancel their election.

Have a fun and safe 4th of July!

Ryan Cowley
Elections Director - MPA, CERA
801-399-8036

Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd
Ogden, UT 84401
www.weberelections.com

From: Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 1, 2021 9:49 PM
To: Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

<p>CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. Think Before You Click!</p>
--

Michelle Blue

From: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 11:24 AM
To: Tom Vaughn; Jani Iwamoto
Cc: Cameron Diehl; Hatch,Ricky; Sherrie Swensen; Justin Lee
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Looks really good. Do we need to add the word "municipal" after no on line 60? We fixed it in line 64 which looks great.

Ryan Cowley
Elections Director - MPA, CERA
801-399-8036

Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd
Ogden, UT 84401
www.weberelections.com

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 12:04 PM
To: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Cc: Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>; Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

I have made a couple changes based on feedback from Ryan and Cameron. Is everyone ok with this draft? It needs to be ready for presentation at the Gov. Ops. interim committee meeting in September.



Thomas R. Vaughn

Associate General Counsel
Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel
Utah State Legislature
O: (801) 538-1032

From: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 7:08 AM
To: Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Cc: Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>; Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>; Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Thank you for your work on this. If you need/want a special district to testify in favor of the bill I can get chief Wade from North Vote Fire to come. He is very appreciative of this bill.

Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Subject: Re: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Great! Thank you all!!!

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2021, at 10:20 AM, Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

Excellent catch Ryan and thank you Tom, Sherrie, Cameron, Justin, and Sen. Iwamoto!
R.

--

Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#WinninginWeber

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 10:18 AM

To: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>

Cc: Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. Think Before You Click!

Ryan:

I'm ok with that if everyone else is.

<image002.jpg>

From: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>

Sent: Friday, July 2, 2021 3:01 PM

To: Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>

Cc: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>; Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>

Subject: RE: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

A revised version of the bill is attached. Please respond regarding whether the language works for you.

Michelle Blue

From: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Justin Lee; Rozan Mitchell; Brian McKenzie; Sherrie Swensen; Lannie Chapman
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Ballot rejected - received past deadline.

November – 97
June – 148
March - 194

Ryan Cowley
Elections Director - MPA, CERA
801-399-8036

Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd
Ogden, UT 84401
www.weberelections.com

From: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021 1:42 PM
To: Rozan Mitchell <RozanMit@utahcounty.gov>; Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>; Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Ballot rejected - received past deadline.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

Good afternoon,

Please don't worry about this if it takes a lot of time, but do you have a number of ballots rejected because they were postmarked after the deadline for the 2020 general election? This is related to King's HB203

Thanks,

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
justinlee@utah.gov

Michelle Blue

From: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 11:40 AM
To: Justin Lee; VSUsersGroup Voting-Systems-Users-Group
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Exit Polls

We typically have a polling place with exit pollsters each election. I'm not sure why since 90 percent of the voters cast their ballots through other means. We don't have a formal policy but we do not allow them in the room where voting occurs and typically we ask them to stay outside the building.

The problem I have seen in the past is that they verbally ask the voters who they voted and because that can be heard by others at a polling place it could influence their vote. If it were to be deemed electioneering I'd be happy to ask them stay 150 feet from our polling places. It will get dicey if a candidate, rather than media, wants to conduct exit polling. Then what?

Ryan Cowley
Elections Director - MPA, CERA
801-399-8036

Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd
Ogden, UT 84401
www.weberelections.com

From: Justin Lee [mailto:justinlee@utah.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 4:52 PM
To: VSUsersGroup Voting-Systems-Users-Group <vsug@utah.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Exit Polls

Good Afternoon,

I'm curious how counties deal with exit polls at polling locations. Does any county have a specific policy regarding exit polls? Do you let them within 150 feet of the building? Why or why not?

There is no specific law that deals with exit polls, so we generally refer questions to each county, but I'm wondering how counties deal with the issue.

Thanks,
Justin

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utah.gov

Michelle Blue

From: Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2019 11:32 AM
To: Sherrie Swensen
Cc: Justin Lee; Pam Tueller; Lannie Chapman
Subject: Re: FW: Attached Image

Received. Thank you, Sherrie.

On Thu, Aug 29, 2019 at 11:29 AM Sherrie Swensen <[SSwensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)> wrote:

Hi Justin,

Attached is the Official Canvass of Votes for the Brighton and Kearns Metro Township Primary Elections.



Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

Michelle Blue

From: Derek Branchley <dbranchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 9:11 AM
To: Sherrie Swensen
Cc: Justin Lee (justinlee@utah.gov); mjthomas@utah.gov; Rozan Mitchell
Subject: Re: FW: Audit Question

Thank you for the clarification, Sherrie.

It appears you're meeting internally this morning to discuss the audit. If you have any issues or concerns, please let us know. Justin and I will look over Rozan's proposed signature audit process today and get back to both of you.

Thanks,
Derek

On Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 7:10 PM, Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org> wrote:

Hi Derek,

I want to clarify Rozan's statement, "in an effort to ensure that locations have at least five working touchscreens at all times, we are sending ten machines and ten memory cards to each location." The reason we are sending extra voting machines to each vote center is to make sure there are plenty of machines available so voters won't be waiting in line to use a machine like they were in the last election. This is part of us being proactive in complying with the new legislation that requires voter wait times of less than 30 minutes.

We always deploy machines in quantities of at least five machines because the machines are stored on carts of five and instead of separating the machines and sending out one, two or three individually, it is much more efficient to just send out an entire cart of machines. They transport better too.

The good news is that I asked our IT Manager, Mark Pemberton, how many machines failed last Election Day and he said it was no more than five, but by us providing extra machines to vote centers, if a lot of voters show up on Election Day, the poll workers will be prepared.

Thanks,

Sherrie Swensen

- Auditing TSx machines deployed within the 3rd district makes sense. You can just submit serial numbers from machines deployed in within the District.
- As for the 5 used machines / 5 back-up machines situation... Let's pretend you need to audit 10 TSx machines. I can randomly select 20 TSx serial numbers from your entire list of TSx machines (used+back-up). Then you can simply work your way down the list of serial numbers until you hit 10 machines that were used. If a machine wasn't used, you can just move onto the next serial number. I'm not sure how difficult it is for you to check whether a machine was used or not, so let me know if this is a workable solution.
- It shouldn't be an issue that the signatures aren't within the 3rd Congressional. We'll review your proposed solution and get back to you.

Let me know what you think.

- Derek

On Wed, Aug 9, 2017 at 1:40 PM, Rozan Mitchell <RMitchell@slco.org> wrote:

Derek,

What do you need from us for the audit for this election? I am a little worried because some of the previous assumptions may be problematic this time. I'm thinking you are only going to require and audit for 3rd Congressional. If that is the case we should make sure to give you batches that will contain that race and not all the batches we have run. Memory cards/machines again are a little different as well. While a voter can vote at any vote center it would be safe to say that the majority would vote at the locations within the 3rd Congressional. Perhaps we should only submit those locations? Next hiccup, in an effort to ensure that locations have at least five working touchscreens at all times, we are sending ten machines and ten memory cards to each location. They are instructed to use five. We will want to make sure you get the machines that really get used. Any thoughts?

As for signatures, we can't really give that to you by 3rd congressional. I don't know if that really matters. I will be sending you a draft suggestion for Salt Lake County to review. I will also send you a sample report.

Thank you

(2) Except as provided by Section 20A-1-308, an absentee ballot is not valid unless:

- (a) in the case of an absentee ballot that is voted in person, the ballot is:
 - (i) applied for and cast in person at the office of the appropriate election officer no later than the Tuesday before election day; or
 - (ii) submitted on election day at a polling location in the political subdivision where the absentee voter resides;
- (b) in the case of an absentee ballot that is submitted by mail, the ballot is:
 - (i) clearly postmarked before election day, or otherwise clearly marked by the post office as received by the post office before election day; and
 - (ii) received in the office of the election officer before noon on the day of the official canvass following the election; or
- (c) in the case of a military-overseas ballot, the ballot is submitted in accordance with Section 20A-16-404.

This indicates that you can receive a ballot from a military/overseas voter 6 months or even later after the election and as long as the postmark is valid it should be counted in the results.

What is the Lieutenant Governor's interpretation of this? Is this something that needs to be fixed in the law? [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ?

Thank you,



Pam Tueller

Election Director
Salt Lake County Clerk
ptueller@slco.org
385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)



Audit Summary Report

County: Salt Lake Election Date: June 30, 2020

Election Official Signature: _____ Signature Date: 07/14/2020

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 5
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 5
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 1,000
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☒ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

OFFICIAL FINAL ELECTION RESULTS

2020 PRIMARY ELECTION

SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

Date:07/21/20

Time:12:02:04

Page:1 of 2

Registered Voters 365721 - Cards Cast 186708 51.05%

U.S. House 4 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		94515/190149	49.7 %	
Total Votes		89587		
BURGESS OWENS	REP	38121	42.55%	
KIM COLEMAN	REP	22097	24.67%	
JAY 'JAYMAC' MCFARLA	REP	20038	22.37%	
TRENT CHRISTENSEN	REP	9331	10.42%	

Governor (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		163414/322638	50.6 %	
Total Votes		163163		
JON HUNTSMAN JR.	REP	74997	45.96%	
SPENCER J. COX	REP	53161	32.58%	
GREG HUGHES	REP	24325	14.91%	
THOMAS E. WRIGHT	REP	10680	6.55%	

Attorney General (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		163414/322638	50.6 %	
Total Votes		156945		
SEAN D. REYES	REP	79658	50.76%	
DAVID O. LEAVITT	REP	77287	49.24%	

State Senate 6 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		14299/27974	51.1 %	
Total Votes		13678		
WAYNE A. HARPER	REP	6898	50.43%	
KAREN L. HYATT	REP	6780	49.57%	

State Senate 10 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		24145/47556	50.8 %	
Total Votes		22602		
LINCOLN FILLMORE	REP	12100	53.54%	
RICH CUNNINGHAM	REP	10502	46.46%	

State House 33 (DEM)		DEM	Total	
Times Counted		1049/5321	19.7 %	
Total Votes		1041		
FATIMA DIRIE	DEM	707	67.92%	
OFA MATAGI	DEM	334	32.08%	

State House 42 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		7486/15780	47.4 %	
Total Votes		6982		
JORDAN TEUSCHER	REP	4034	57.78%	
AARON STARKS	REP	2948	42.22%	

State House 47 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		5453/10775	50.6 %	
Total Votes		5162		
STEVE CHRISTIANSEN	REP	2998	58.08%	
NATHAN BROWN	REP	2164	41.92%	

State School Board 10 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		36914/69164	53.4 %	
Total Votes		32842		
MOLLY L. HART	REP	22101	67.29%	
DAVID LINFORD	REP	10741	32.71%	

County Council 2 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		22752/50512	45.0 %	
Total Votes		21145		
DAVE ALVORD	REP	11270	53.30%	
FRED C. COX	REP	9875	46.70%	

County Council 6 (REP)		REP	Total	
Times Counted		34284/65034	52.7 %	
Total Votes		30435		
DEA THEODORE	REP	16926	55.61%	
MAX BURDICK	REP	13509	44.39%	

County Council 6 (DEM)		DEM	Total	
Times Counted		7832/34525	22.7 %	
Total Votes		7825		
TERRI TAPP HRECHKOSY	DEM	4369	55.83%	
AARON DEKEYZER	DEM	3456	44.17%	

Granite School Board 1		Total	
Times Counted		20141/24607	81.9 %
Total Votes		19061	
JULIE JACKSON		7741	40.61%
MAX CHANG		4964	26.04%
TODD E. ZENGER		3971	20.83%
FRANCE BARRAL		2385	12.51%

Granite School Board 2		Total	
Times Counted		11297/16074	70.3 %
Total Votes		10198	
CLARKE B. NELSON		3595	35.25%
STARLEEN ORULLIAN		3518	34.50%
JERRY HASLAM		3085	30.25%

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2017 11:19 AM
To: rhatch@co.weber.ut.us; rcowley@co.weber.ut.us; Sherrie Swensen; Rozan Mitchell;
ckoch@co.davis.ut.us; bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us; jevans@duchesne.utah.gov;
mgillette@tooeleco.org; myoung@boxeldercounty.org; bryant@utahcounty.gov;
seth.oveson@carbon.utah.gov; arie@uacnet.org; markm@utah.gov; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] snewton@disabilitylawcenter.org; thomroberts@utah.gov; mjthomas@utah.gov;
Jennifer Storie; justinlee@utah.gov
Subject: Voting System RFP Draft
Attachments: WA17018 Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest.docx

Dear VESC members and staff,

As you know I've been working on developing language and requirements for an eventual release of an RFP (Request for Proposal). I would like to share a draft of this document with you in advance of the next VESC meeting (February 23rd at 9am). The wording and requirements will be the primary topic of discussion at this VESC meeting, and I would like to give you sufficient time to review the draft in advance.

Since the draft contains possible RFP language on voting system requirements, the Division of Purchasing is requiring a Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality Form be signed by everyone before reviewing. If you could please sign this document, scan and send it back to me, I will be able to send you the draft of the RFP document. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the form.

Note: Some of you have already given your signed forms to Jen Storie. If so, I'll send the draft RFP document in a separate email.

As you review the RFP draft, please keep the following in mind:

- [REDACTED]

If you have any questions about the draft RFP language, or anything else that you would like to discuss, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Thanks so much,

[REDACTED]

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND CONFIDENTIALITY

Your willingness to participate as a RFP evaluation committee member is an integral part of the procurement process. The State of Utah Division of Purchasing truly appreciates your assistance and expertise.

Your designation as a RFP evaluation committee member requires that you fully understand the policies regarding potential conflicts of interest and the confidential nature of the proposals and all that is contained therein. Under the Utah Administrative Code R33-24-101, "unlawful conduct shall be governed in accordance with the requirements set forth in Sections 63G-6a-2401 through 2407 [of the Utah Procurement Code]." Rule 33-24 of the Utah Administrative Code provides additional requirements and procedures and must be used in conjunction with the Utah Procurement Code.

Confidentiality. The competitive procurement process and the obligations imposed by Utah state law requires that the State of Utah Division of Purchasing ensures that the competitive process operates in a fair and equitable manner. As a RFP evaluation committee member, you may have access to information not generally available to the public and are charged with special professional and ethical responsibilities. This information may include information about proposers that is to be used only during the evaluation process, and for discussion only with fellow RFP evaluation committee members. You shall not communicate the evaluation, scoring, or status of any proposal or business entity at any time prior to, during, or after the procurement process. You shall not use such information obtained as a RFP evaluation committee member for either personal benefit, pecuniary or otherwise, or copy and/or disseminate any portion of any proposal at any time prior to, during, or after the procurement process.

Conflict of Interest. A conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest may occur if you are directly or indirectly involved with an organization that has submitted a proposal for evaluation. Prior to reviewing any proposals, you must inform the State of Utah Division of Purchasing of any potential conflicts of interest. If you become aware of any potential conflict of interest as you review a proposal, you must immediately notify the State of Utah Division of Purchasing. You may be disqualified as a RFP evaluation committee member if you conduct yourself in a way that could create the appearance of bias or unfair advantage with or on behalf of any competitive proposer, potential proposer, agent, subcontractor, or other business entity, whether through direct association with contract representatives, indirect associations, through recreational activities or otherwise. The Utah Administrative Code provides that a conflict of interest includes:

R33-24-104. Socialization with Vendors and Contractors.

(1) A procurement professional shall not:

- (a) participate in social activities with vendors or contractors that will interfere with the proper performance of the procurement professional's duties;
- (b) participate in social activities with vendors or contractors that will lead to unreasonably frequent disqualification of the procurement professional from the procurement process; or
- (c) participate in social activities with vendors or contractors that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the procurement professional's independence, integrity, or impartiality.

(2) If an executive branch procurement professional participates in a social activity prohibited under R33-24-104(1), or has a close personal relationship with a vendor or contractor, the procurement professional shall promptly notify their supervisor and the supervisor shall take the appropriate action, which may include removal of the procurement professional from the procurement or contract administration process that is affected.

RFP EVALUATOR CONFLICT OF INTEREST/CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

I, _____, as a member of the RFP evaluation committee for Request for Proposal No. WA17018 for Voter Equipment will perform the evaluation under the guidelines, procedures and requirements provided by the State of Utah Division of Purchasing.

Further, I represent as follows:

1. I, to the best of my knowledge, do not participate in social activities with vendors or contractors that: (a) will interfere with the proper performance of my duties; (b) will lead to unreasonably frequent disqualification of me from the procurement process; or (c) would appear to a reasonable person to undermine my independence, integrity, or impartiality.
2. I, to the best of my knowledge, do not have a conflict of interest with vendors or contractors in which the potential exists for my personal financial interests, or for the personal financial interests of a family member, to influence, or have the appearance of influencing my judgment in the execution of the my evaluation committee duties and responsibilities.
3. I have not received any compensation from any employee, consultant, or anyone working for any vendor or contractor currently responding to a solicitation or who currently has a contract with the State of Utah or any of its entities.
4. I will not participate in any discussions or decisions relating to this RFP, if I have any type of personal relationship, favoritism, or bias that would appear to a reasonable person to influence my independence in performing my assigned evaluation committee duties and responsibilities, or prevent me from fairly and objectively evaluating a proposal.
5. I will conduct the evaluation in a manner that ensures a fair and competitive process and avoids the appearance of impropriety.
6. I understand that all information contained in the proposals and information regarding the evaluation process is protected and cannot be released or discussed in any manner with other offerors or individuals not involved in the evaluation process. I agree that I will not discuss or share any information provided in the proposals or interviews with anyone other than the selection committee members and State of Utah Division of Purchasing prior to the completion of the evaluation and selection process and I will not discuss or disseminate the deliberations of the selection committee, the basis for the selection, or any information identified as protected.
7. I have read and understand the Utah Procurement Code and the applicable Utah Administrative Code rules concerning possible conflicts of interest and I understand that I am subject to the Utah Procurement Code and the applicable rules of the Utah Administrative Code at all times during my assigned evaluation committee duties and responsibilities, and the subsequent administration of the awarded contract(s).

I have read this document and understand my obligations as explained herein. I further understand that I must immediately advise the State of Utah Division of Purchasing, in writing, if a conflict currently exists or arises during my term of service as a RFP evaluation committee member. I further understand that I must sign and deliver this statement to the State of Utah Division of Purchasing prior to participating in the evaluation process.

Evaluator Signature: _____ Date: _____

From: Sherrie Swensen
Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2020 3:46 PM
To: Derek Brenchley
Cc: Justin Lee; Pam Tueller; Lannie Chapman
Subject: RE: Re:

Hi Derek,

I agree that it is confusing to explain the two different dates to voters.

Is there a possibility that you could add the word "Party" after each one of the political parties on the online registration list? It might seem redundant since it gives registrants the option to select a political party. However, I am quite sure thousands of those who are selecting Independent American do not realize they are affiliating with a political party. They think it means "unaffiliated" or "independent" as it is often referred to. The number of Independent American registrations in Salt Lake County has doubled since I checked it about a year ago. It was over 8,000, but is now more than 16,000 – significantly more than the other smaller parties.

We saw a similar situation occur when the Personal Choice Party existed. Thousands of people selected it as the straight party option on their ballot in 2004 and 2006, and I believe many of them thought it meant "unaffiliated". (The stats for both of those elections are listed below.)

2004 General Election

DEMOCRATIC D	58040	43.03%
REPUBLICAN R	61010	45.23%
CONSTITUTION C	529	0.39%
GREEN G	463	0.34%
LIBERTARIAN L	656	0.49%
PERSONAL CHOICE P	14190	10.52%

2006 General Election

Democratic	46768	46.67%
Republican	38044	37.96%
Constitution	797	0.80%
Desert Greens	114	0.11%
Green	350	0.35%
Libertarian	415	0.41%
Personal Choice	13726	13.70%

If you look at the percentages of votes cast for the Independent American straight party option in recent years, I believe the same thing is occurring (2016 = 7.30% of the straight party vote and 2018 = 5.45%). I realize it is odd that people would think "unaffiliated" pertains to straight party voting, but this is one of the confusions we have seen with straight

Party	Voters
Constitution	1,455
Democratic	106,805
Green	961
Independent American	16,144
Libertarian	6,085
Republican	184,692
Unaffiliated	215,517
United Utah	627
	532,286

Thank you,



Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

--
Deputy Director of Elections
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1746