

party voting. Some individuals think they are being asked for their party affiliation instead of casting votes for political party candidates.

Thank you,



Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk
sswensen@slco.org
385-468-7370



slco.org Clerk Website

From: Derek Branchley <dbranchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2020 8:41 AM
To: Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>
Subject: Re:

Thank you, Sherrie. The letter looks great -- I just wish the law was more simple so the deadlines aren't so convoluted.

Have a great day!

- Derek

On Wed, Jan 8, 2020 at 6:38 PM Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org> wrote:

Hi Derek,

I appreciate your phone call letting me know that it is alright with you if we send a letter to voters affiliated with other political parties. In the chart below are the numbers of those registered by party in Salt Lake County.

As I mentioned in my message, I am concerned there are so many who would not receive a letter or a ballot unless we do this mailing. A copy of the letter we are planning to send is attached. Let me know if you have any questions.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of the proposed system on the performance of the system. The study is divided into two main parts: a theoretical analysis and an experimental evaluation. The theoretical analysis is based on the principles of the system and the experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments.

The theoretical analysis is based on the principles of the system and the experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments. The theoretical analysis is based on the principles of the system and the experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments.

The experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments. The experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments. The experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments. The experimental evaluation is based on the results of the experiments.

The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections.

The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections.

The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections.

The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections.

The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections. The results of the experiments are presented in the following sections.

R33-24-105. Financial Conflict of Interests Prohibited.

- (1) A procurement conflict of interest is a situation in which the potential exists for an executive branch employee's personal financial interests, or for the personal financial interests of a family member, to influence, or have the appearance of influencing, the employee's judgment in the execution of the employee's duties and responsibilities when conducting a procurement or administering a contract.
- (2) In order to preserve the integrity of the State's procurement process, an executive branch employee may not take part in any procurement process, contracting or contract administration decision:
- (a) relating to the employee or a family member of the employee; or
- (b) relating to any entity in which the employee or a family member of the employee is an officer, director or partner, or in which the employee or a family member of the employee owns or controls 10% or more of the stock of such entity or holds or directly or indirectly controls an ownership interest of 10% or more in such entity.
- (3) If a procurement process, contracting or contract administration matter arises relating to the employee or a family member of the employee, the employee must advise his or her supervisor of the relationship, and must be recused from any and all discussions or decisions relating to the procurement, contracting or administration matter. The employee must also comply with all disclosure requirements in Utah Code Title 67 Chapter 16, Utah Public Officers' and Employees' Ethics Act.

R33-24-106. Personal Relationship, Favoritism, or Bias Participation Prohibitions.

- (1) Executive branch employees are prohibited from participating in any and all discussions or decisions relating to the procurement, contracting or administration process if they have any type of personal relationship, favoritism, or bias that would appear to a reasonable person to influence their independence in performing their assigned duties and responsibilities relating to the procurement process, contracting or contract administration or prevent them from fairly and objectively evaluating a proposal in response to a bid, RFP or other solicitation. This provision shall not be construed to prevent an employee from having a bias based on the employee's review of a response to the solicitation in regard to the criteria in the solicitation.
- (2) If an executive branch employee has a personal relationship, favoritism, or bias toward any individual, group, organization, or vendor responding to a bid, RFP or other solicitation, the employee must make a written disclosure to the supervisor and the supervisor shall take appropriate action, which may include recusing the employee from any and all discussions or decisions relating to the solicitation, contracting or administration matter in question. This provision shall not be construed to prevent an employee from having a bias based on the employee's review of a response to the solicitation in regard to the criteria in the solicitation.

R33-24-107. Professional Relationships and Social Acquaintances Not Prohibited.

- (1) It is not a violation for an executive branch employee who participates in discussions or decisions relating to the procurement, contracting or administration process to have a professional relationship or social acquaintance with a person, contractor or vendor responding to a solicitation, or that is under contract with the State, provided that there is compliance with Rule R33-24-105, Rule R33-24-106, the Utah Public Officers' and Employees' Ethics Act, The Governor's Executive Order (EO 002 2014) "Establishing an Ethics Policy for Executive Branch Agencies and Employees," and other applicable State laws.

To assure the integrity of the RFP process, all RFP evaluation committee members, including any paid consultants, are required to complete the RFP Conflict of Interest/Confidentiality Statement.

Justin Lee - State Election Division (justinlee@utah.gov); Derek Branchley <dbranchley@utah.gov>

(dbranchley@utah.gov)

Lannie Chapman; Pam Tuelier

Salt Lake County Official Canvass of Votes

Tuesday, July 21, 2020 5:20:56 PM

BOC Certification.pdf

20P_FinalOfficialElectionSummary_072120.pdf

SLCO Audit Summary Report.pdf

SLCO Audit Summary Addendum.pdf

image002.png

image004.png

image006.jpg

From: Sherrie Swensen
To: Justin Lee - State Election Division (justinlee@utah.gov); Derek Branchley <dbranchley@utah.gov>
Cc: Lannie Chapman; Pam Tuelier
Subject: Salt Lake County Official Canvass of Votes
Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 5:20:56 PM
Attachments:

Attached is Salt Lake County's Official Canvass of Votes, Final Election Results, Audit Report and Audit Summary Addendum for the June 30th Primary Election.

Thank you,

Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370

[SLCO Clerk Website](#)



OFFICIAL FINAL ELECTION RESULTS
2020 PRIMARY ELECTION
SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

Date:07/21/20
Time:12:02:04
Page:2 of 2

Registered Voters 365721 - Cards Cast 186708 51.05%

Salt Lake School Board 1

Times Counted	Total	%
Total Votes	3216/4697	68.5 %
JOEL-LEHI ORGANISTA	1031	34.28%
JOANN A. GRAVES	635	21.11%
DAVID HOLINS	571	18.98%
LACEY SKIPPS-HOLA	476	15.82%
MICHAEL ANTHONY VALD	295	9.81%

Salt Lake County Audit Summary
for Primary Election June 30, 2020

We audited 1,000 signatures. One signature was passed when the auditors determined it should not have been passed. Kiosk signatures from the DMV are not good signatures because of people signing with their fingers, and they require closer scrutiny. Training will be enhanced for future elections.

From: Pam Tueller on behalf of Pam Tueller <PTueller@sico.org>
To: Justin Lee
Cc: Derek Brenchley; Sherrie Swensen
Subject: SB 123
Date: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 2:15:36 PM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.jpg

Justin,

In regards to SB 123

[REDACTED]

Our attorney has looked at this and informed us that there is not a current deadline in the code regarding when a military/overseas ballot is returned and counted. Therefore, SB123 would not have an impact on military/overseas ballots.

That section of code, 20A-16-404 reads

20A-16-404. Timely casting of ballot.

Except as provided by Section 20A-1-308, to be valid, a military-overseas ballot shall be:

- (1) received by the appropriate election officer not later than the close of the polls; or
- (2) submitted for mailing, electronic transmission, or other authorized means of delivery not later than 12:01 a.m., at the place where the voter completes the ballot, on the date of the election.

20A-3-306. Voting ballot -- Returning ballot.



Rozan Mitchell

Election Director

Salt Lake County Clerk

rmitchell@slco.org

385-468-7415



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

801-538-1501

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1501

From: Rozan Mitchell

Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 8:01 AM

To: Mark Pemberton <MPemberton@slco.org>; Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Rashelle Hobbs <RHobbs@slco.org>; Charlotte Kuhn <CKuhn@slco.org>

Subject: FW: Audit Question

Here is Derek's response on some audit questions I posed. We should discuss the audit in our meeting on Friday morning.

On another note, Loretta pointed out that we cannot associate affidavits right now because it will become the source document and it will mess up the signature audit. Perhaps support staff can work on missing apartment numbers.



Rozan Mitchell

Election Director

Salt Lake County Clerk

rmitchell@slco.org

385-468-7415



slco.org Clerk Website

From: Derek Branchley [<mailto:dbranchley@utah.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 9, 2017 4:22 PM

To: Rozan Mitchell <RMitchell@slco.org>

Cc: Justin Lee (justinlee@utah.gov) <justinlee@utah.gov>
Subject: Re: Audit Question

Hey Rozan,

We'll take a look at the email you just sent. I'm about to send out an email to all clerks about the new policy. As a vote by-mail and 3rd Congressional District county, you'll have to audit TSX machines, mail ballots, and signatures. Here is what I think about the hiccups you mentioned:

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

Thank you for including me on this new language. It is coming along really well. I do have minor change that will clarify the intent of the bill. I talked it over with Sherrie, and she is on board with the change.

Line 64 – I think this would still prohibit a city from cancelling their election if there is a school bond or county question on the ballot, even if a race is uncontested. Adding the word municipal before ballot proposition would resolve this concern. Line 64 could say: "no municipal ballot propositions have qualified for placement on the ballot, and" The ballot proposition would still appear on the ballot (the county legislative body would still call a special election), but it would allow the municipality to cancel their election.

Have a fun and safe 4th of July!

Ryan Cowley
Elections Director - MPA, CERA
801-399-8036

Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd
Ogden, UT 84401
www.weberelections.com

From: Jani Iwamoto <jwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 1, 2021 9:49 PM
To: Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Date: June 30, 2021 at 4:54:19 PM MDT
To: "Hatch, Ricky" <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>, sswensen@slco.org, legrand@uasd.org, Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>, Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Cc: Jani Iwamoto <jwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Subject: RE: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 8, 2021, at 6:50 AM, Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov> wrote:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

This draft bill is slated for the next government ops interim meeting to hopefully become a committee bill.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 7, 2021, at 2:05 PM, Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org> wrote:

Hello everyone,
I was out of the office for a spell and am slowly but surely getting caught up. Thanks for your patience.

The municipal clerks have two pieces of input:

Lines 68-69 referencing posting notice on the Statewide Electronic Voter Information Website. They informed me that they usually provide notice and the accompanying resolution directly to the Lt. Governor's office to post on that website. They don't have direct access to the website. Should the language require a city to notify the LG and then the LG post it on the website?

Second, UMCA is working on potential additional modifications to the noticing requirements that were in SB 201 and 1007 in the special session. They haven't finalized those recommendations yet and we haven't approached a bill sponsor. If the legislature adopted those pending recommendations, they would impact lines 76-83. Until that time, consider this paragraph simply a marker that we may need to revisit the noticing language in 76-83 at some point.

Thanks all,

Cameron

From: Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 10:37 AM

To: Hatch, Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>

Cc: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>; Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Date: June 30, 2021 at 4:54:19 PM MDT
To: "Hatch,Ricky" <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>,
sswensen@slco.org, legrand@uasd.org, Cameron Diehl
<cdiehl@ulct.org>, Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Cc: Jani Iwamoto <jiwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Subject: RE: Please Review: Local Election
Amendments

A revised version of the bill is attached. Please respond
regarding whether the language works for you.

Thanks all,

Cameron

From: Jani Iwamoto <jlwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 10:37 AM
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>
Cc: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>; Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Subject: Re: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

Great! Thank you all!!!

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2021, at 10:20 AM, Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

Excellent catch Ryan and thank you Tom, Sherrie, Cameron, Justin, and Sen. Iwamoto!

--
Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#MiningInWeber

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Jani Iwamoto <jlwamoto@le.utah.gov>
Cc: Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Cameron Diehl <cdiehl@ulct.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Please Review: Local Election Amendments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

afternoon to discuss RCV funds. My cell is [REDACTED].

Thanks,

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
justinlee@utah.gov

Page 123-124
The following information is for your reference only.
Please refer to the original document for details.

Information regarding the project is available on the project website.
For more details, please visit the project website.
The project website is located at the following address:
[Project Website Address]
For more information, please contact the project manager.
Thank you for your interest in the project.

Page 125

The following information is for your reference only.
Please refer to the original document for details.

1. The project is currently in the planning phase.
2. The project is currently in the design phase.
3. The project is currently in the construction phase.
4. The project is currently in the testing phase.
5. The project is currently in the deployment phase.

The following information is for your reference only.
Please refer to the original document for details.

The following information is for your reference only.
Please refer to the original document for details.

The following information is for your reference only.
Please refer to the original document for details.

The following information is for your reference only.
Please refer to the original document for details.

From: Alexander R. Wilson <arwilson@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 10:39 AM

To: Hatch, Ricky
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Fiscal Note: H.B. 3006, "Election Amendments"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

It looks like the Legislature may be interested in providing funding for pre-paid postage if we are able to use stimulus funds. After the fiscal note is done, could you potentially look into the following:

1. What Counties don't currently pre-pay for postage?
2. Do you or UAC have a way to poll counties to see who might be interested in doing pre-paid postage for the one election this year?

From: Hatch, Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>

Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2020 5:58 PM

To: Alexander R. Wilson <arwilson@le.utah.gov>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>; Derek Branchley <dbranchley@utah.gov>

Subject: RE: Fiscal Note: H.B. 3006, "Election Amendments"

Hi Alex,

Justin may have better answers statewide, but here's what I have for Weber County:

1. I have some concerns about prepaying return postage, for two main reasons:
 - a. Once you do it, you can't go back. Voters will expect it from now on, so this is not just a one-time cost, but it will become a recurring cost that counties will have to pay for going forward.
 - b. Some counties already prepay return postage, and some don't. Some counties, including Weber, have already printed their ballots for the primary, so we would need to throw those away and reprint. Envelopes generally cost about 8-10 cents each to print, if you're doing a fairly large volume.
2. Normally, I would think this type of cost could be reimbursed under the federal funding. However, since Utah was already voting by mail before the pandemic, I'm not sure if federal funds could be used to fund the prepaid postage. The U.S. Election Assistance Commission is probably the entity that is most able to answer this question. I can connect you with them, if you'd like.
3. Cost: to have return postage prepaid, you need a permit, which cost Weber County \$945 for the year. I'm sure many counties don't have this permit, but the state surely does, and perhaps the mailing could be done under that permit. This permit gets Weber County a rate of \$0.641 per piece returned. Weber will mail out about 65K ballots for the primary. If we're to get a 59% turnout, like we did in the presidential primary, the cost would be approximately \$25,000. In Weber, however, we have a lot of drop boxes, so only about 40-50% of our returned ballots come via USPS. However, we currently don't prepay postage, so more people might mail it back if we do. And some counties don't have drop boxes, so the percentage returned by mail would be much higher. How's that for a convoluted answer?
4. I like this idea of offering choice to the counties, especially since it really would become a permanent, recurring expense that would be borne by the counties after this election.

Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County

- **October 31, 2018:** if a candidate did not file the financial disclosure report on October 30th, the candidate is given a 24 hour grace period to file the report before she or he is disqualified. Refer to 17-16-6.5(11) and 401(4).

- **November 1, 2018:** last day for a military or overseas voter to request an absentee ballot. Refer to 20A-16-401(4).
- **November 2, 2018:** last day that a taxing entity can conduct the required public hearing for a proposed ballot proposition. Refer to 59-1-1605(1).

- **November 2, 2018:** in-person early voting ends at 5:00 pm unless the county extends early voting in accordance with 20A-3-601(3)(a). Refer to 20A-3-602.

- **November 5, 2018:** last day for a county to print notice in the newspaper of the (1) the date of the election; (2) the hours of polling locations; (3) the address of vote.utah.gov and the county's website; (4) a phone number to obtain more information; and (5) the qualifications for individuals to vote in the election. Refer to 20A-5-101(3) and (4).

- **November 5, 2018:** last day for a voter to postmark her or his mail / absentee ballot. A ballot that is submitted by mail is valid if it is postmarked or otherwise marked by the post office as "received" on or before this day. Refer to 20A-3-306(2).

- **November 6, 2018:** General Election Day. Polls are open from 7:00 am - 8:00 pm. Any individual in line by 8:00 pm must be permitted to vote.

- **November 6, 2018:** non-ES&S counties must provide a list of electronic voting equipment serial numbers to our office. We will randomly select serial numbers for the audit.

- **November 7, 2018:** the county must determine and publicly release the total number of provisional and absentee ballots received. Refer to 20A-4-202(2)(a) and 20A-3-309(4), respectively.

- **November 7, 2018 - November 9, 2018:** all vote by-mail counties must provide a list of ballot and ballot envelope batches to our office. We will randomly select batches for the audit.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

Deputy Director of Elections

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office

From: Derek Branchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 11:57 AM
To: India Nielsen <in Nielsen@utah.gov>
Cc: vsug@utah.gov
Subject: Re: General Election Update: 6 Days Remaining

Good afternoon,

I made a mistake in the results release schedule in my previous email. This is the correct schedule:

- Friday, November 9th
- Tuesday, November 13th
- Friday, November 16th
- Tuesday, November 20th

Apologies,

Derek

On Wed, Oct 31, 2018 at 11:37 AM India Nielsen <in Nielsen@utah.gov> wrote:

Here is a reminder on ID requirements:

- Voter is registered: the voter *only* needs to show valid ID.
Please refer to 20A-1-102(81) or the ID requirements listed on our website.
- Voter is provisional (same-day registration): the voter needs to show valid ID *and* proof of residence.
Please refer to 20A-2-207 if someone needs a provisional ballot.

Thanks!

India Nielsen

Office of the Utah Lieutenant Governor

Cindi

From: David C. Atkin [dcatkin@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 4:02 PM
To: Smedley, Nicole
Subject: Re: Candidate Filing in District 1

I have decided to drop out of the election, as I don't have the funds to keep it going. Although I would still like to be invited to the events.

David C Atkin

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office
801-538-1501

Wow, is this correct? I'm a permanent resident but not a naturalized citizen. Can you confirm im allowed to be registered?

Thank you

On Wednesday, October 17, 2018, 6:00:20 PM MDT, <elections@utah.gov> wrote:

Dear Registrant,

Thank you for submitting your voter registration and/or mail ballot request online. The county clerk has processed your request, and you are now registered to vote. If you requested a mail ballot, your county clerk will mail your ballot.

You can find more information about voting at our website, VOTE.UTAH.GOV.

Sincerely,

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office

Utah State Capitol

Suite 220

Salt Lake City, UT 84103

(801) 538-1041

vote.utah.gov

