

## Michelle Blue

---

**From:** Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 1, 2020 11:31 AM  
**To:** Sherrie Swensen  
**Cc:** Justin Lee - State Election Division (justinlee@utah.gov); Lannie Chapman; Pam Tueller  
**Subject:** Re: Contact Information for Judge

Hi Sherrie,

We reached out to the Courts Administration, who subsequently reached out to the judge. The judge said that you are welcome to contact her. We don't have a problem with it. Her email is

**mmchristiansen@utcourts.gov.**

I hope that helps.

- Derek

On Tue, Sep 1, 2020 at 11:12 AM Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org> wrote:

Hi Justin & Derek,

We are not able to fit the entire name of Judge Michele M. Christiansen Forster on one line on our ballot. It must be in a 10 pt. font according to law, so her name as it is will not fit on one line.

We have two options - We could list her entire name as it is and it will wrap to the next line, or I can ask her permission to eliminate her middle initial on the ballot and her name will fit on one line.

(Do you have a concern with not including her middle initial if she gives us permission to list it that way?)

If you do not see a problem with us eliminating her middle initial if she gives me permission to do so, do you have contact information where I might reach her (email address and/or phone number)?

These are examples of what it would look like if we list her name with her initial and if we eliminate her initial.

[cid:image004.jpg@01D68051.A24A6860][cid:image006.jpg@01D68051.A24A6860]

There are two other judges whose names are so long that "Shall" will also be on the line above and their names will be listed on the next line. At least we can fit their names on one line though.

Thank you,

[cid:image007.png@01D68051.A2370770]

Sherrie Swensen

Salt Lake County Clerk

[sswensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)<mailto:sswensen@slco.org>

385-468-7370

[cid:image008.png@01D68051.A2370770]<<https://www.facebook.com/SaltLakeCountyElections/?fref=ts>> [cid:image009.jpg@01D68051.A2370770] <<https://twitter.com/VoteSLCo>> SLCO Clerk Website<<http://www.clerk.slco.org>>

On Thu, Jun 4, 2020 at 10:50 AM Pam Tueller <[PTueller@slco.org](mailto:PTueller@slco.org)> wrote:

Hello,

I just wanted to reach out with a request regarding the website and drive up ballot issuance listed on your website. As you work on the wording for the website could you include 'for specific information regarding ballot issuance options please contact your county clerk and then list the link to our website?'

Thank you,



**Pam Tueller**

Election Director

Salt Lake County Clerk

[ptueller@slco.org](mailto:ptueller@slco.org)

385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

**From:** Derek Brenchley <[dbrenchley@utah.gov](mailto:dbrenchley@utah.gov)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:31 PM

**To:** Marla Young <[MYoung@boxeldercounty.org](mailto:MYoung@boxeldercounty.org)>

**Cc:** Curtis Koch <[ckoch@co.davis.ut.us](mailto:ckoch@co.davis.ut.us)>; Brian McKenzie <[bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us](mailto:bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us)>; Sherrie Swensen <[SSwensen@slco.org](mailto:SSwensen@slco.org)>; Pam Tueller <[PTueller@slco.org](mailto:PTueller@slco.org)>; Rozan Mitchell <[RozanMit@utahcounty.gov](mailto:RozanMit@utahcounty.gov)>; Jon Whittaker <[jwhittaker@ironcounty.net](mailto:jwhittaker@ironcounty.net)>; Shaneal Bess <[sbess@ironcounty.net](mailto:sbess@ironcounty.net)>; Cowley, Ryan <[rcowley@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rcowley@co.weber.ut.us)>; Ricky Hatch <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)>; Marilyn Gillette <[mgillette@tooeleco.org](mailto:mgillette@tooeleco.org)>; Justin Lee (Google Drive) <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)>; Clinton YINGLING <[cyingling@utah.gov](mailto:cyingling@utah.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: Conference Call to Discuss Drive-up Voting Messaging

Thanks for filling out the Doodle. It looks like tomorrow, June 4th, at 10:00 am is the best time for most people. Here are the call in details again:

Marilyn Gillette <[mgillette@tooeleco.org](mailto:mgillette@tooeleco.org)>

**Cc:** Justin Lee (Google Drive) <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)>; Clinton YINGLING <[cyingling@utah.gov](mailto:cyingling@utah.gov)>

**Subject:** Conference Call to Discuss Drive-up Voting Messaging

Good afternoon everyone,

We would like to get on a quick conference call with all of the drive-up voting counties and discuss the best way to message drive-up locations to voters, particularly on [vote.utah.gov](http://vote.utah.gov).

Would you be available for a call tomorrow, June 4th, at 1:00 pm?

Here are the call-in details:

Phone #: 1-877-820-7831

Code: 122966

Thanks,

Derek

--  
Deputy Director of Elections

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office

801-538-1746



Michelle Blue

**From:** Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 14, 2018 12:04 PM  
**To:** ginger McMullin@beaver.utah.gov; tmcMullin@beaver.utah.gov;  
dwsmith@beaver.utah.gov; myoung@boxeldercounty.org;  
lpurdum@boxeldercounty.org; jill.zollinger@cachecounty.org;  
kim.gardner@cachecounty.org; seth.oveson@carbon.utah.gov;  
alexis.horsley@carbon.utah.gov; braymond@daggettcountry.org;  
ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov; bmckenzie@daviscountyutah.gov;  
jevans@duchesne.utah.gov; brendat@emery.utah.gov;  
camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov; gcroads@gmail.com; dcarroll@grandcountyutah.net;  
janasmith@grandcountyutah.net; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net;  
crobinson@ironcounty.net; alainal@juabcounty.com; jant@juabcounty.com;  
elections@kane.utah.gov; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us;  
slafitte@morgan-county.net; lhyde@morgan-county.net; swilkerson@morgan-county.net;  
kgleave@piute.utah.gov; bpeart@richcountyut.org; Sherrie Swensen;  
Rashelle Hobbs; Rozan Mitchell; Pam Tueller; jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org;  
jfrancom@sanjuancounty.org; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov; scwall@sevier.utah.gov;  
kentjones@summitcounty.org; krobinson@summitcounty.org; mgillette@tooeleco.org;  
mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov; tbruckner@uintah.utah.gov; bryant@utahcounty.gov;  
scottch@utahcounty.gov; btitcomb@co.wasatch.ut.us; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov;  
cami.scholzen@washco.utah.gov; melaniea@washco.utah.gov; ryan@wayne.utah.gov;  
Hatch,Ricky; Cowley,Ryan; Taylor,Lynn; Jackson,Michelle; dbrenchley@utah.gov;  
justinlee@utah.gov; lincoln@uacnet.org; bryan@uacnet.org  
**Subject:** Cyber security FYI: Royal Wedding Scams Likely Pose Risks to Governments  
**Attachments:** 2018 May - Royal Wedding Intel Advisory.docx

Just a friendly heads up about this new scam.

95% of all hacks come via social engineering, such as email scams like this.

This is the kind of info that is provided at no charge if you decide to join the EI-ISAC, which you can (and SHOULD!) do here: <https://learn.cisecurity.org/ei-isac-registration>. No cost, no obligation, and can cancel any time. And helpful information for election officials, whether hardly technical or highly technical.

Thanks,

R.

--

Carpe Diem  
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO  
Clerk/Auditor  
Weber County  
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA  
E: [rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov](mailto:rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov) | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909  
#WinninginWeber

---

**From:** MS-ISAC Advisory [<mailto:MS-ISAC.Advisory@msisac.org>]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 14, 2018 11:43 AM  
**To:** Thomas Duffy <[Thomas.Duffy@cisecurity.org](mailto:Thomas.Duffy@cisecurity.org)>  
**Subject:** [CAUTION]Message from the MS-ISAC: Royal Wedding Scams Likely Pose Risks to SLTT Government Networks - TLP: WHITE



## Michelle Blue

---

**From:** Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 9, 2020 10:14 AM  
**To:** Pam Tueller  
**Cc:** Justin Lee; Sherrie Swensen; Lannie Chapman  
**Subject:** Re: Conference Call to Discuss Drive-up Voting Messaging

Hi Pam,

We're working on adding new language to the pages, and we're considering adding a bullet point telling users to visit their county clerk's website for more information on drive-up voting. However, I wanted to note:

- The first three points are already on the [vote.utah.gov](https://vote.utah.gov) website in multiple areas (such as the COVID-19 link on the front page of [vote.utah.gov](https://vote.utah.gov)).
- The sixth point is slightly incorrect, as vehicles in line at a drive-up voting station at 8:00 pm may vote at the drive-up voting station (see lines 293-294 of [HB3006](#)). If you're issuing ballots and directing people to a drop box, you will need to ensure that vehicles in line at the drive-up location will be able to cast their ballot after 8:00 pm.

I understand that drive-up counties may have slightly different procedures for issuing the ballot and the methods to deposit ballots. However, there are slight variations on how counties run regular polling locations as well. Some use machines for all voters, others use machines primarily for accessibility, the voting machines may differ by county, etc. The purpose of [vote.utah.gov](https://vote.utah.gov) is to simply inform voters where they can vote and provide high-level information on how they can vote. If voters arrive at a location, then poll workers should be able to provide additional instructions on how the voter may cast their ballot.

- Derek

On Thu, Jun 4, 2020 at 1:58 PM Pam Tueller <[PTueller@slco.org](mailto:PTueller@slco.org)> wrote:

Derek,

we will be providing the information that was included in HB 3006, plus details about our **specific plan**.

- No in-person early voting locations will be offered for the Primary Election.
- No in-person voting at the drive-up locations on Election Day.
- No same-day registration at the drive-up locations on Election Day.
- Paper Ballot Packets will be issued registered to voters who did not receive a ballot in the mail.
- Ballots issued at drive-up locations **cannot be returned** by mailed.
- Ballots issued at drive-up locations need to be returned to a ballot drop box by 8:00 pm on Election Day.

An issue with one website giving the information out for the whole state is that one size does not fit all in these state wide elections. Will some counties be allowing voter's to vote their ballot at that drive thru location and turn in their

<sswensen@slco.org>; Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>; Rozan Mitchell <RozanMit@utahcounty.gov>; Jon Whittaker <jwhittaker@ironcounty.net>; Shaneal Bess <sbess@ironcounty.net>; Marla Young <MYoung@boxeldercounty.org>; Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Ricky Hatch <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Marilyn Gillette <mgillette@tooeleco.org>

**Cc:** Justin Lee (Google Drive) <justinlee@utah.gov>; Clinton YINGLING <cyingling@utah.gov>

**Subject:** Conference Call to Discuss Drive-up Voting Messaging

Good afternoon everyone,

We would like to get on a quick conference call with all of the drive-up voting counties and discuss the best way to message drive-up locations to voters, particularly on [vote.utah.gov](http://vote.utah.gov).

Would you be available for a call tomorrow, June 4th, at 1:00 pm?

Here are the call-in details:

Phone #: 1-877-820-7831

Code: 122966

Thanks,

Derek

--  
Deputy Director of Elections  
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office  
801-538-1746



**Pam Tueller**

**Election Director**

**Salt Lake County Clerk**

**[ptueller@slco.org](mailto:ptueller@slco.org)**

**385-468-7425**



**[SLCO Clerk Website](#)**

--  
**Deputy Director of Elections**  
**Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office**  
**801-538-1746**





There is a small amount of water in the tank. The water is not very clean. It is a little bit dirty. The water is not very clean. It is a little bit dirty.

The water is not very clean. It is a little bit dirty. The water is not very clean. It is a little bit dirty.

1.000

2.000

3.000

4.000

5.000

6.000

7.000

8.000

9.000

**From:** Sandy Neill <[sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov](mailto:sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 5:16 PM  
**To:** Hatch, Ricky <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]Re: Article comparing RCV, STAR, and Approval Voting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

Question. Can you do rank choice voting by having the voters vote for 1 person only? The winning candidate must receive at least 50% + 1 votes. So, they drop the lowest vote getters until the top vote getter has at least 50% + 1 of the remaining votes.

Here's the instruction for appointing our new Sheriff: Voting will be one round of ranked choice voting. The winning candidate must receive at least 50% plus one vote. The voting service will allow only a single vote per voter. In the unlikely event of a tie, the winner will be decided by a nine-person temporary committee of party leadership who will meet one time for only that purpose.

Sandy Neill  
Sanpete County Clerk

On Tue, Jan 19, 2021 at 5:06 PM Hatch, Ricky <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)> wrote:

Here's a fairly technical article that compares RCV and STAR voting. It is published by FairVote, who is funding the RCV lobbyists in Utah. [https://es.sonicurlprotection-sjl.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202101200017010094922&URLID=2&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=F2257A0EAE910FE978989F1757867E01&TT=1611101822579&ESN=VD3LJKF789Y8mCMXE2Z40RFobUjcZ1mEKgwk5BmfUCw%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED\\_URL=https%3A%2F%2Ffairvote.app.box.com%2Fv%2FSTARVoting&HK=C5A4FFF181F8E3AFFE624D5B10645880B5C56DF23F613ACED635191E2ACC665A](https://es.sonicurlprotection-sjl.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202101200017010094922&URLID=2&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=F2257A0EAE910FE978989F1757867E01&TT=1611101822579&ESN=VD3LJKF789Y8mCMXE2Z40RFobUjcZ1mEKgwk5BmfUCw%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Ffairvote.app.box.com%2Fv%2FSTARVoting&HK=C5A4FFF181F8E3AFFE624D5B10645880B5C56DF23F613ACED635191E2ACC665A)

I'm sharing it because it's good to be familiar with the arguments that RCV proponents may use. It gets way into the weeds, and although STAR voting isn't an option in Utah, some of the arguments could be used with Approval Voting. Specifically, here are the more technical concerns that they feel RCV solves better than STAR or Approval Voting:

- Later-no-harm criterion (this is probably the biggest differentiator between RCV and Approval Voting). Here's how they describe this criterion: if a voter is permitted to express preferences on multiple candidates, then expressing preferences beyond a voter's favorite candidate should not harm the favorite candidate.
- Condorcet Criterion. the Condorcet candidate is the one that would beat every other candidate in a head-to-head race.

It is the policy of the Department of Justice to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

In light of the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability, it is necessary to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

A key element of this process is the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. It is necessary to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

It is the policy of the Department of Justice to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

The Department's commitment to transparency and accountability is a key element of this process. It is necessary to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

Regulation of the voting process is a key element of this process. It is necessary to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

It is the policy of the Department of Justice to ensure that all information received from the public is handled in a manner consistent with the Department's commitment to transparency and accountability. This document contains information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

Signed, [Signature] Special Agent in Charge



Date Update	Bill #	Title	What Bill Does	C/A Position	House Sponsor	Sen Sponsor	Current Status	Comments	C/A Owner
1/6/2021		Political Donations Reporting Amendments				Lincoln Fillmore			
1/6/2021		Campaign Finance Revisions	Sets up penalty if candidate doesn't include "paid for by" on materials.		Suzanne Harrison				
1/6/2021		Campaign Finance Revisions				Curt Bramble		Probably relates to state-level only	
1/6/2021		Disclosures			Jeffrey Stenquist		Not released yet	Probably just relates to LG office.	

In Process

Date Update	Bill #	Title	What Bill Does	C/A Position	House Sponsor	Sen Sponsor	Current Status	Comments	C/A Owner
1/19/2021	<u>HB19</u>	County Classification Amendments	Changes Threshold for counties: 3rd (from 31K-125K to 31K-175K), 2nd (from 125K-700K to 175K-1M) 1st (from 700K to 1M).		Casey Snider	Wayne Harper	1/19: Passed house 71-1 1/6: House/ received fiscal note from Fiscal Analyst. Political Sub Comm Favorable Recomm (12-0-4)	Probably should follow UAC's position	
1/6/2021		Ballot Transparency Amendments	Requires a URL at beginning of Initiatives/Amendments sections that points to a mini-VIP for each question. And a line for each question that says something like "see URL above for more info".		Steve Christiansen		Not yet filed.	Still concern over ballot length. Also, ballot's purpose is to capture voter's intent. Slippery slope. Could cause confusion reducing voter confidence in process.	
1/19/2021	<u>HB127S01</u>	Ranked Choice Voting Amendments	Would require RCV for Primaries for all County, State, & Federal. If more than 5 candidates, only rank up to 4	Oppose	Mike Winder		11/19: House/ 1st reading		
1/15/2021	<u>HB174</u>	Municipal RCV Pilot addition	Add Approval voting & STAR voting to RCV pilot.	Oppose	Adam Robertson		1/15: bill sent to agencies for fiscal input	Will amend to delay STAR voting part.	
1/19/2021	<u>HB75</u>	Alternative Voting Methods Pilot Project Amendments	Requires a county to administer a municipality's election if the municipality chooses RCV. Prohibits a municipality from having anyone other than their own county administer their election.	Oppose	Jeffrey Stenquist		1/19: House/ 1st reading 1/19: fiscal note avail	Need to write/document our thoughts/positions and the history of city election administration. Ask cities which of them want to do RCV.	
1/19/2021	<u>SB49</u>	Mobile Voting Pilot Project	Allows a city to offer mobile voting to all citizens.	Oppose	Mike Winder	Curtis Bramble	1/19: Senate/ to standing committee	Not much interest in Senate leadership Approach: "Although we see that mobile voting is a viable option for some members, and we like the concept, when you flip to a majority of people using it, the risks are just too great to justify this. We just don't think the security is up to par yet." LG pilot, if state pays?	
1/19/2021	<u>HB115</u>	Municipal Boundary Modifications	Establishes a procedure for filing an annexation petition proposing a cross-county annexation; extends certain notice requirements and signatory rights applicable to annexation to all other counties		Steve Waldrup		1/19: House/ to standing committee	2 bills - related to Hideout and West Weber situations. Probably should follow UAC's position.	
1/19/2021	<u>HB14</u>	Water Conservancy District Amendments	Provides for filling a vacancy on the board of a water conservancy district located in more than one county	Recommend Neutral	Stephen Handy	Todd Weiler	1/19: Passed House 73-0 1/19: Senate/ 1st reading (Gov Ops favorable Recomm (15-0-1))	Doesn't impact county clerks.	
1/19/2021	<u>HB196</u>	Ballot Amendments	Addresses general election ballot requirements; clarifies requirement to include reference to the Judicial Performance Evaluation Commission's website on judicial retention portion of general election ballot		Merrill Nelson		1/19: fiscal note available	Already do this: no impact to clerks.	
1/19/2021	<u>HB27</u>	Public Information Website Modifications	Relates to GRAMA and gov't websites	Recommend No position	Candice Pierucci	John Johnson	1/19: Passed House 73-0 12/20: Gov Ops Favorable Recomm (15-0-1)	Relates to State-level. Long bill. The only lines that make changes are: 8048-8089, 8115-8150, 8777-9006.	
1/19/2021	<u>HB70</u>	Ballot Tracking Amendments	Makes LG office create system to track status of ballot: 1) mailed to voter 2) rec'd by county 3) counted. If county/state has email/phone and voter opts in, system must notify voter of each change of status.	Support	Dan Johnson		1/19: House/ 1st reading 1/19: House/ received fiscal note from Fiscal Analyst	Johnson accepted all our suggestions/requests. Substitute bill coming soon.	
1/15/2021	<u>SB92</u>	Elections Amendments	Requires more detailed expenditure reporting for state candidates. Requires LG office to set up study committee re: improving accessible voting (including electronic voting, signatures, etc.)			Wayne Harper	1/15: fiscal note publicly available	Doesn't seem to impact counties.	
1/6/2021		Marriage technical change bill	Changes license life from 30 to 32 days. Clarifies clerk's role in marriage of minors.	Support	Melissa Ballard			We wrote this bill	





Please review:

2020FL0317\_007 - 2020FL0317 (Protected) version 7 - Election Amendments

1. This draft should have all of the changes we agreed on, except:

We discussed possibly combining some language relating to ballots for a presidential primary with language for other ballots, because there may have been some duplication of language. I had difficulty determining which language you were saying should be combined. We need to talk about this further if you want me to make any changes to that.

2. I added sections as necessary due to needed cross-reference and terminology changes.



**Thomas R. Vaughn**

*Associate General Counsel*

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Utah State Legislature

O: (801) 538-1032

Notice: The attached draft legislation is a protected record under the Government Records Access and Management Act, Title 63G, Chapter 2, Utah Code Annotated, and under the Utah Legislature Policies and Procedures for Handling Records Requests. The legislative sponsor intends this draft legislation to remain protected. Release of this draft legislation or disclosure of its content carries criminal penalties.

This draft legislation is provided to you for your review and comment. You may not distribute or share this draft legislation with any other person without the explicit permission of the legislative sponsor.

If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please contact the sender by reply email, delete this email, and destroy all copies of this message.

We want to make sure the clerks can still mail out ballots up to seven days before the election, so I don't think the 14 days helps out with that problem. The counties can probably weigh in better than I can on that though.

-----  
Justin Lee

Director of Elections

Office of the Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

801.538.1129

[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)

On Mon, Nov 18, 2019 at 2:13 PM Tom Vaughn <[TomVaughn@le.utah.gov](mailto:TomVaughn@le.utah.gov)> wrote:

Should we change it to "no sooner than 21 days before election day and no later than 14 days before election day?"

Would this be the only change? We need to get this bill out to committee members for review as soon as possible.



**Thomas R. Vaughn**

*Associate General Counsel*

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Utah State Legislature

O: (801) 538-1032

**From:** Brian McKenzie <[bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us](mailto:bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 18, 2019 1:43 PM

**To:** Justin Lee <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)>

**Cc:** Tom Vaughn <[TomVaughn@le.utah.gov](mailto:TomVaughn@le.utah.gov)>; Suzanne Harrison <[sharrison@le.utah.gov](mailto:sharrison@le.utah.gov)>; Hatch, Ricky <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)>; ptueller@slco.org; Sherrie Swensen <[sswensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)>; Brian Bean <[bbean@le.utah.gov](mailto:bbean@le.utah.gov)>; Derek Brenchley <[dbrenchley@utah.gov](mailto:dbrenchley@utah.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: Bill draft for your review

We discussed possibly combining some language relating to ballots for a presidential primary with language for other ballots, because there may have been some duplication of language. I had difficulty determining which language you were saying should be combined. We need to talk about this further if you want me to make any changes to that.

2. I added sections as necessary due to needed cross-reference and terminology changes.



**Thomas R. Vaughn**

*Associate General Counsel*

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Utah State Legislature

O: (801) 538-1032

Notice: The attached draft legislation is a protected record under the Government Records Access and Management Act, Title 63G, Chapter 2, Utah Code Annotated, and under the Utah Legislature Policies and Procedures for Handling Records Requests. The legislative sponsor intends this draft legislation to remain protected. Release of this draft legislation or disclosure of its content carries criminal penalties.

This draft legislation is provided to you for your review and comment. You may not distribute or share this draft legislation with any other person without the explicit permission of the legislative sponsor.

If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please contact the sender by reply email, delete this email, and destroy all copies of this message.



This poll sent shivers down my spine. If 22% of Utah voters plan to vote in person on election day, our vote centers will be absolutely overrun, and we'll see lines worse than 2016.

Weber's equipment's capacity can handle about 14K in-person voters. This 22% estimate would be at almost double that number – an absolute disaster.

Anything additional the state can do to help push people to vote by mail/drop box? Maybe you strongly message long, long lines on election day?

Help!

Thanks,

R.

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Clerk/Auditor

Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA

E: [rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov](mailto:rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov) | P: [801.399.8613](tel:801.399.8613) | M: [801.668.0909](tel:801.668.0909)

#WinninginWeber

On Tue, Oct 6, 2020 at 6:39 PM Hatch,Ricky <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)> wrote:

Thank you, and thanks for your quote & consistent messaging. I didn't mean to imply you're not doing enough, but with this estimate, I'm wondering if we need to more aggressively warn people that there will be very long lines on election day. That's my consistent warning when voters have questions about in-person voting.

I like that the Utah GOP is planning to encourage voting by mail. Hopefully that will offset things a bit.

Thanks again,

R.

--

Carpe Diem

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Clerk/Auditor

Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA

E: [rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov](mailto:rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov) | P: [801.399.8613](tel:801.399.8613) | M: [801.668.0909](tel:801.668.0909)

#WinninginWeber

---

**From:** Justin Lee <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 6, 2020 6:34 PM

**To:** Hatch,Ricky <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)>

**Cc:** Derek Brenchley ([dbrenchley@utah.gov](mailto:dbrenchley@utah.gov)) <[dbrenchley@utah.gov](mailto:dbrenchley@utah.gov)>; Cowley,Ryan <[rcowley@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rcowley@co.weber.ut.us)>; Curtis Koch <[ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov](mailto:ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov)>; Brian McKenzie ([bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us](mailto:bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us)) <[bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us](mailto:bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us)>; Sherrie Swensen <[sswensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)>; Seth Anderson <[sanderson1@utah.gov](mailto:sanderson1@utah.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]Re: 22% of UT voters planning to vote in person?

<p><b>CAUTION:</b> This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. <b>Think Before You Click!</b></p>
--





State of Utah  
801.538.1129  
justinlee@utah.gov

On Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 6:14 PM, Justin Lee <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)> wrote:  
Attached is the latest draft of SB114, the runoff election bill.

-----  
Justin Lee  
Deputy Director of Elections  
Office of the Lieutenant Governor  
State of Utah  
801.538.1129  
justinlee@utah.gov


----- Forwarded message -----


From: **Tom Vaughn** <[tvaughn@le.utah.gov](mailto:tvaughn@le.utah.gov)>  
Date: Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 6:07 PM  
Subject: Latest Draft - 3rd Substitute for SB114, Election Law Amendments - Bramble, C.  
To: "[james@utgop.org](mailto:james@utgop.org)" <[james@utgop.org](mailto:james@utgop.org)>, "[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)" <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)>, "[mithomas@utah.gov](mailto:mithomas@utah.gov)" <[mithomas@utah.gov](mailto:mithomas@utah.gov)>, Daniel McCay <[dmccay@le.utah.gov](mailto:dmccay@le.utah.gov)>  
Cc: "[curt@cbramble.com](mailto:curt@cbramble.com)" <[curt@cbramble.com](mailto:curt@cbramble.com)>, Brian Bean <[bbean@le.utah.gov](mailto:bbean@le.utah.gov)>

Gentleman:

Attached to this email you will find a revised draft of 3rd Substitute for SB114, Election Law Amendments - Bramble, C.

These changes were made after consulting with the Lieutenant Governor's Office







### Court of Appeals

Shall GREGORY KEITH ORME be retained in the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals of Utah?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Court of Appeals

Shall JILL M. POHLMAN be retained in the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals of Utah?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Court of Appeals

Shall MICHELE M. CHRISTIANSEN FORSTER be retained in the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals of Utah?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Court of Appeals

Shall RYAN M. HARRIS be retained in the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals of Utah?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Second Judicial District

Shall DAVID R. HAMILTON be retained in the office of Judge of the District Court of the Second Judicial District?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Second Juvenile District

Shall JEFFREY J. NOLAND be retained in the office of Judge of the Juvenile Court of the Second Juvenile Court District?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Second Judicial District

Shall JENNIFER L. VALENCIA be retained in the office of Judge of the District Court of the Second Judicial District?

### Sunset City Justice Court

Shall BRIAN E. BROWER be retained in the office of Judge of the Sunset City Justice Court?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

### Davis County Justice Court

Shall JOHN CARL YNCHAUSTI be retained in the office of Judge of the Davis County Justice Court?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

## CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

For more information on the likely impact of a measure passing or not passing, go to [vipinfo.utah.gov](http://vipinfo.utah.gov).

### CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT A

Go to the URL listed at the beginning of this portion of the ballot for explanatory information.

Shall the Utah Constitution be amended to change words that apply to a single gender (such as the word "men") to words that are not limited to a single gender (such as the word "persons")?

- ☐ For  
☐ Against

### CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT B

Go to the URL listed at the beginning of this portion of the ballot for explanatory information.

Shall the Utah Constitution be amended to specify that certain requirements that a person must meet to be eligible for the office of senator or representative in the Utah Legislature apply at the time the person is elected or appointed?

- ☐ For  
☐ Against

### CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT C

Go to the URL listed at the beginning of this portion of the ballot for explanatory information.

## CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT D

Go to the URL listed at the beginning of this portion of the ballot for explanatory information.

Shall the Utah Constitution be amended to:

- rewrite a provision relating to municipal water rights and sources of water supply;
- allow a municipality to define the boundary of the municipality's water service area and to set the terms of water service for that area;
- state that a municipality is not prevented from:
  - supplying water to water users outside the municipality's boundary; or
  - entering into a contract to supply water outside the municipality's water service area if the water is more than what is needed for the municipality's water service area; and
- modify the basis upon which a municipality is allowed to exchange water rights or sources of water supply?

- ☐ For  
☐ Against

## CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT E

Go to the URL listed at the beginning of this portion of the ballot for explanatory information.

Shall the Utah Constitution be amended to:

- preserve the individual right to hunt and to fish, including the right to use traditional hunting and fishing methods subject to certain regulation; and
- establish public hunting and fishing as the preferred way of managing and controlling wildlife?

- ☐ For  
☐ Against

## CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT F

Go to the URL listed at the beginning of this portion of the ballot for explanatory information.



2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA  
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P:801.399.8613 | M:801.668.0909  
#WinninginWeber

-----Original Message-----

From: Maddy Oritt <moritt@le.utah.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:47 AM  
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Kara Trevino <KTrevino@slco.org>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL]FW: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB0246

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. Think Before You Click!

Good morning all,

The attached adds information to be printed on ballots - I expect there might be printing costs? Let me know if you're able to estimate.

Thanks,  
Maddy

-----Original Message-----

From: Legislative Automated Email System <boyd@le.utah.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:37 AM  
To: Maddy Oritt <moritt@le.utah.gov>; Duncan Evans <devans@utah.gov>; Evan Curtis <ecurtis@utah.gov>; zeke@uacnet.org; ggopez@agutah.gov; jbennett@utah.gov; jbennett@utah.gov; justinlee@utah.gov; keilers@ulct.org; kbschmeling@agutah.gov; Lincoln Shurtz <lincoln@uacnet.org>; oburt@agutah.gov; Phil Dean <phildean@utah.gov>; randy.hillier@slcgov.com; sandee.moore@slcgov.com; wbradshaw@ulct.org  
Cc: Brian D. Fay <bfay@le.utah.gov>; Greta Rodebush <grodebush@le.utah.gov>; Steven Allred <smallred@le.utah.gov>  
Subject: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB0246

HB0246, Ballot Measure Transparency Amendments by Christiansen, S. has been assigned to the following analyst(s) for a Fiscal Note:

Maddy Oritt - Lead Analyst

The attorney assigned to this bill is Thomas R. Vaughn

Please help us to determine any fiscal impact by logging on to [https://es.sonicurlprotection-sjl.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202101261847510126099&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=B2A99DEC253A7E90EC2E65EFB0EC2B69&TT=1611686872449&ESN=76rz3PyFaSaze%2Fsj5RexO6PqSbuzqCbqZvzwNY%2BM8Wg%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED\\_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fsecure.utah.gov%2Ffn%2F&HK=CCE64482CDBDE3781417FA0A2DFB64A33B35E71DE6874CB49D404AC228AC9664](https://es.sonicurlprotection-sjl.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202101261847510126099&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=B2A99DEC253A7E90EC2E65EFB0EC2B69&TT=1611686872449&ESN=76rz3PyFaSaze%2Fsj5RexO6PqSbuzqCbqZvzwNY%2BM8Wg%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fsecure.utah.gov%2Ffn%2F&HK=CCE64482CDBDE3781417FA0A2DFB64A33B35E71DE6874CB49D404AC228AC9664) and completing a response.





## Michelle Blue

---

**From:** Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 18, 2021 11:48 AM  
**To:** Hatch,Ricky; ckoch@co.davis.ut.us; alainal@juabcounty.com; bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov; bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us; bpeart@richcountyut.org; braymond@daggettcountry.org; BrendaT@emery.utah.gov; bryan@uacnet.org; camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; cyingling@utah.gov; datapro@manti.com; dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org; djessen@ironcounty.net; Intern,Elections; ginger McMullin@beaver.utah.gov; jdnilson@sanjuancounty.org; jevans@duchesne.utah.gov; JGranger@wasatch.utah.gov; jjhansen@utah.gov; justin.anderson@cachecounty.org; justinlee@utah.gov; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; kgleave@piute.utah.gov; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov; krobinson@summitcounty.org; Lannie Chapman; lori.perez@carbon.utah.gov; lshafer@utah.gov; Taylor,Lynn; markm@utah.gov; mcrook@wasatch.utah.gov; melanie.abplanalp@washco.utah.gov; mgillette@tooeleco.org; mhoward@summitcounty.org; mjackson@co.davis.ut.us; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us; mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov; myoung@boxeldercounty.org; rjudd@summitcounty.org; rwheeler@utah.gov; ryan@wayne.utah.gov; scwall@sevier.utah.gov; seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov; slafitte@morgan-county.net; slyon@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Stephen Moore; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Sherrie Swensen; Scott Tingley; Stuart Tsai; swilkerson@morgan-county.net; tduncan@uintah.utah.gov; tlake@morgan-county.net; tmcMullin@beaver.utah.gov; wmcknight@wasatch.utah.gov; lincoln@uacnet.org; zeke@uacnet.org; Lindsey Parkinson; qhall@grandcountyutah.net; 'Shaneal Bess'; Brandy Grace hunter@uacnet.org  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: List of Bills as of Feb. 17

Here is the information I talked about this morning. I looked at the information in [20A-20-302](#) and I it aligns with and does not conflict with what we are asking them to consider. Subsection 5 is the main part. Please add your thoughts and ideas to this email thread. I think there would be two letters that go out. One to the commission that only contains the principles of redistricting we would like them to follow and the other to the legislature that also contains our legislative asks. I think we could send it out to the commission any time and wait until after the session to give it to the legislature so they have less on their plate.

Does anyone want to take a crack at drafting the letters and I can work on refining the lists and legislative asks?

### **Principles of redistricting**

1. Districts should be drawn in relation to existing geo political boundaries over following census blocks so that they follow existing boundaries in the following order of precedence:
  - a. County boundaries
  - b. City boundaries
  - c. School District boundaries
  - d. Roadway and railroad centerlines
  - e. Geographical features such as rivers, lake, ridgelines, power lines, pipelines, etc.
  - f. Parcel lines
2. District boundaries should include a written description that defines what boundaries are to be followed.
3. Districts should not be drawn through existing buildings such as apartment complexes and should not leave small orphaned areas with little or no population that are isolated from the rest of a district.



1. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject of the study. It is followed by a brief review of the literature on the subject.

2. The second part of the report is a description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the subjects, the materials, and the procedures.

3. The third part of the report is a presentation of the results of the study. This includes a description of the data and a discussion of the findings.

4. The fourth part of the report is a discussion of the implications of the study. This includes a discussion of the theoretical and practical implications of the findings.

5. The fifth part of the report is a conclusion. This includes a summary of the findings and a statement of the limitations of the study.

6. The sixth part of the report is a list of references. This includes a list of the books, articles, and other sources used in the study.

# Experts Say Utah's Ranked-Choice Voting Method For Multi-Seat Races Favors The Majority Over Proportional Representation

KUER 90.1 | By Sonja Hutson Published June 3, 2021 at 5:26 PM MDT

Ranked-choice voting lets voters choose candidates in order of preference. If no one gets a majority of votes, the person with the fewest is eliminated. The process continues until there's a winner.

For elections with multiple seats up for grabs — like a city council race with two open seats — Utah uses a different method of ranked-choice voting than other states. Experts say Utah's way of doing it helps out the majority party or majority political ideology.

Ranked-choice voting lets people choose candidates in order of preference. If no one gets a majority of votes, the person with the fewest is eliminated. The process continues until there's a winner.

Under the state's method, a race with two open seats would allow ballots to be counted twice.

"The Utah system is just like having separate elections for each of the seats, as if the previous election hadn't happened," said Tyler Jarvis, a math professor at Brigham Young University.

Say 51% of voters align one way ideologically and all vote the same: Candidate A as their first choice, Candidate B as their second choice, Candidate C as their third and Candidate D as their fourth. The other 49% of voters rank them in the opposite order. Candidate A would win the first seat.

Under Utah's method, all the ballots that ranked candidate A first would be counted again while trying to decide who wins the second seat.

Jarvis said because most people's second choice would be ideologically similar to their first, this method favors the majority party or political ideology. In this scenario, 51% of people would get both candidates they wanted the most (A and B) and 49% of people would get both candidates they liked the least (A and B).





First, I'd like to see exactly how many lines this would add to a 3-column ballot. Can someone program that and let me know? I'm guessing it's 3 lines.

Then we can calculate what that would have done to the 2020 ballot (pushed a 2nd card for SLCo and Davis Co, and possibly Utah and Weber as well).

All we need is cost info at this point. The group has already voted to oppose the bill. There will be a fiscal impact to the LG's office as well.

Thanks,  
R.

--  
Carpe Diem  
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO  
Clerk/Auditor  
Weber County  
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA  
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P:801.399.8613 | M:801.668.0909 #WinninginWeber

-----Original Message-----

From: Maddy Oritt <moritt@le.utah.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:47 AM  
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Kara Trevino <KTrevino@slco.org>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL]FW: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB0246

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. Think Before You Click!

Good morning all,

The attached adds information to be printed on ballots - I expect there might be printing costs? Let me know if you're able to estimate.

Thanks,  
Maddy

-----Original Message-----

From: Legislative Automated Email System <boyd@le.utah.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:37 AM  
To: Maddy Oritt <moritt@le.utah.gov>; Duncan Evans <devans@utah.gov>; Evan Curtis <ecurtis@utah.gov>; zeke@uacnet.org; ggopez@agutah.gov; jbennett@utah.gov; jbennett@utah.gov; justinlee@utah.gov; keilers@ulct.org; kbschmeling@agutah.gov; Lincoln Shurtz <lincoln@uacnet.org>; oburt@agutah.gov; Phil Dean <phildean@utah.gov>; randy.hillier@slcgov.com; sandee.moore@slcgov.com; wbradshaw@ulct.org  
Cc: Brian D. Fay <bfay@le.utah.gov>; Greta Rodebush <grodebush@le.utah.gov>; Steven Allred <smallred@le.utah.gov>  
Subject: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB0246

HB0246, Ballot Measure Transparency Amendments by Christiansen, S. has been assigned to the following analyst(s) for a Fiscal Note:

--  
Curtis Koch Clerk/Auditor Davis County Government p. (801) 451-3491 c. (801) 543-9778

--

Zeke

Thanks,



**From:** Zeke Lee <[zeke@uacnet.org](mailto:zeke@uacnet.org)>

**Sent:** Friday, September 4, 2020 1:01 PM

**To:** Hatch, Ricky <[rhatch@co.weber.ut.us](mailto:rhatch@co.weber.ut.us)>

**Cc:** Sherrie Swensen <[sswensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)>;

[ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov](mailto:ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov);

[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]Utah Policy: Elections and RCV prompt

**CAUTION:**

This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment.

Think Before You Click!

**Sent:** Wednesday, September 9, 2020 6:11 PM

**To:** Lincoln Shurtz (<lincoln@uacnet.org>); Zeke Lee (<zeke@uacnet.org>)

**Cc:** Sherrie Swensen (<sswensen@slco.org>); ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov; Justinlee@utah.gov; Cowley, Ryan (<rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>)

**Subject:** Utah Policy: Elections and RCV prompt

Here's a first stab. Would love any feedback!

I realize this is WAAAAAY too long (1,100 words). I'll work on creating a short version tomorrow. Do we know approximately how many words they're looking for?

And do they want to separate the VBM discussion from the RCV discussion?

Finally, Since their questions are about RCV generally and not Winder's bill, I didn't include any comments about consistency for the voter. Consistency is the best argument we have when it comes to the current situation and Winder's bill, so if we want to address it from that aspect, the document will look dramatically different. I saw their request as more of a theoretical discussion on the pros and cons.

Hi Ricky,

I think your responses are excellent. I had just one comment as a clarification, and it in the attachment. I had written this about our ballot ordering process and the controls

ballot ID numbers provide. You mentioned the ballot ID number and my version is lengthy, so you may want to keep your shorter version.

"Ballots are ordered by sending an extract or list to the printer. Ballots are ordered

**only for active** registered voters, and each ballot is assigned a unique ballot ID number correlated to a voter in the database. That ID number is printed on the return envelope. When a ballot is returned by the voter, the ID number on the envelope

is scanned and the voter is immediately given credit in the database for having returned their ballot. If a voter doesn't receive their ballot or ruins their ballot and requests a new one, the ballot that was originally ordered for that voter is spoiled in

the database. A new ballot is ordered for the voter with a different ballot ID number."

Thank you,



--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "[vsug@utah.gov](mailto:vsug@utah.gov)" group.  
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to  
[vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov](mailto:vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov).

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "[vsug@utah.gov](mailto:vsug@utah.gov)" group.  
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to  
[vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov](mailto:vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov).

--

Deputy Director of Elections  
Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office  
801-538-1501

Larry H. Miller Group of Companies  
9350 S. 150 E., Ste. 900  
Sandy, Utah 84070  
O: 801-563-4110

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

<https://www.lhm.com/>

[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)

I have been thinking about you a lot lately and how much I love you.

The little things you do for me, the way you look at me, it makes me feel like I'm the only one.

I know you're busy, but I hope you can find a moment to think about me. I'm always here for you.

With love and affection, your boyfriend, Justin

I hope you're having a great day and a great week.

Love, Justin

Justin Lee  
1234 Main Street  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

On this day, I hope you're feeling happy and loved.

With love,

It's been a while since we last saw each other, but I hope you're doing well. I miss you and hope you're happy. I hope you're healthy and happy. I hope you're loved and appreciated. I hope you're everything you want to be. I hope you're the best person you can be. I hope you're the person I love.

All the love,  
Justin

Justin Lee  
1234 Main Street  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
Phone: 801-555-1234  
Email: justinlee@utah.gov





I am very grateful for the generous offer for us to possibly use the Vivint Smart Home Arena and Megaplex Theaters as polling locations for the November General Election.

We are finalizing the Primary Election and it will be certified on July 21<sup>st</sup>. We will reach out to you to set up a time to discuss this with you toward the end of July if that is alright with you.

Thank you very much.

Best wishes,



**Sherrie Swensen**  
Salt Lake County Clerk  
[sswensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)  
385-468-7370



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

---

**From:** Amanda Covington [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 2, 2020 5:24 PM  
**To:** Justin Lee <[justinlee@utah.gov](mailto:justinlee@utah.gov)>  
**Cc:** Sherrie Swensen <[sswensen@slco.org](mailto:sswensen@slco.org)>; Pam Tueller <[PTueller@slco.org](mailto:PTueller@slco.org)>; Lannie Chapman <[LKChapman@slco.org](mailto:LKChapman@slco.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: Vivint Smart Home Arena

Hello Sherrie,

I know you and your team are incredibly busy and thank you for all you're doing to serve our citizens.

The NBA has asked its teams to explore the possibility of using our arenas for polling locations. I would expand that to include our Megaplex theatres.

We obviously don't know what November will look like with Covid. I do know that we recently held a successful public blood drive at Vivint Smart Home Arena with all social distancing guidelines in place.

We would love to volunteer our facilities and be of help.

Do you or someone on your staff have time for a conversation?

Many thanks to you, and to Justin!







As a follow-up to the **SAVE THE DATE email** (dated September 18, 2017), EAC is finalizing the arrangements for the upcoming Standards Board meeting.

The meeting will be held on **January 25-26th, 2018** at the **Hyatt Regency, 50 Alhambra Plaza, Coral Gables, FL 33134**. An **EAC representative** will be contacting you shortly regarding travel reservations (**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE HOTEL DIRECTLY**).

**The hotel arrangements will accommodate members January 24-26, 2018.** On January 24, two optional events are planned (still in confirmation stage), a visit to the Coast Guard, and a visit to the Miami Dade County elections office. **Please note, hotel accommodations for January 23 are for members attending the optional events.** A draft meeting agenda will be emailed to you soon.

Your attendance is very important to us. As such, please confirm your attendance via email [awilliams@eac.gov](mailto:awilliams@eac.gov) **no later than 5:00 PM EST on Friday, December 22, 2017**. In your email response, please also let us know if you are planning to attend the optional events on January 24th, so we can get a rough head count for the two events.

We look forward to your participation and a successful meeting. Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

Christy McCormick  
Chairwoman  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

As a follow-up to the **SAVE THE DATE email** (dated February 22, 2018), EAC is finalizing the arrangements for the upcoming Standards Board meeting.

The meeting will be held on **April 19-20, 2018** at the **Hyatt Regency, 50 Alhambra Plaza, Coral Gables, FL 33134**. An **EAC representative** will be contacting you shortly regarding travel reservations (**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE HOTEL DIRECTLY**).

The hotel arrangements will accommodate members **April 17-20, 2018**. On April 18, two optional pre-meeting activities will take place, a visit to the Miami-Dade Emergency Operation Center, and an EAC Public Hearing.

**\* Please note, hotel accommodations for April 17 are for members attending the pre-meeting activities.\***

A draft meeting agenda will be emailed to you soon.

Your attendance is very important to us. As such, please confirm your attendance via email [awilliams@eac.gov](mailto:awilliams@eac.gov) **no later than 5:00 PM EST on Monday, March 19, 2018**. In your email response, please also let us know if you are planning to attend the pre-meeting activities on April 18, so we may get a rough head count.

We look forward to your participation and a successful meeting. Thank you for your continued support.

Best,  
Ashley Williams

Financial Manager  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
Phone: (202) 870-0846

--

**Ashley Williams**  
Financial Manager  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
Phone: (202) 870-0846

Confidential Notice: This message may contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) that requires safeguarding or dissemination control under applicable law, regulation, or Government-wide policy. This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.



--  
**Ashley Williams**

Financial Manager

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

Phone: (202) 870-0846

--  
**Ashley Williams**

Financial Manager

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

Phone: (202) 870-0846

--  
**Ashley Williams**

Financial Manager

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

Phone: (202) 870-0846

\*Confidential Notice: This message may contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) that requires safeguarding or dissemination control under applicable law, regulation, or Government-wide policy. This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.



Confidential Notice: This message may contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) that requires safeguarding or dissemination control under applicable law, regulation, or Government-wide policy. This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.