

Volume Three of Navarro Report: “Yes, President Trump Won: The Case, Evidence & Statistical Receipts”

by [Bob Adelman](#) January 19, 2021

The third and final volume of Dr. Peter Navarro’s [massive study of election fraud in the 2020 presidential election](#) should lay to rest any doubt that fraud was used to hand the election to the loser, Joe Biden. Manipulators knew that Biden couldn’t win on his own and so insiders rigged the system.

Concluded Navarro:



In the face of this evidence, no reasonable person would conclude that the 2020 presidential election was, beyond any shadow of doubt, a fair election....

In light of this evidence, it is impossible for anyone to claim that President Trump was in any way wrong in stoutly raising the question of election fraud and irregularities in the weeks following the November 3 election and in calling for his supporters to peacefully protest.

Indeed, for the president not to rise to defend [question] the integrity of the ballot box would have been a betrayal of the 74 million Americans who voted for the president, thinking they were participating in what [was] not ... a free and fair election.

Navarro took on the claims that there was no evidence of fraud, just conspiracy theories.

These claims fail in light of Navarro’s massive study. Wrote Navarro:



In light of this evidence, it is also irresponsible — in the extreme — for the Democrat Party and its leadership, or journalists in the mainstream media, or RINO Republicans, to claim there is no evidence of election irregularities.

That’s absurd on its face.

As a result of the fraud, wrote Navarro, “We have moved dangerously in what seems like a nanosecond from a full and vibrant American Democracy [sic] to a Communist Chinese-style, Cancel Culture, Police State.”

His report, based on evidence compiled in more than 50 lawsuits, thousands of affidavits, and testimonies gave proof of “a coordinated strategy to effectively stack the deck against the Trump-Pence ticket.”

The report reviewed the fraud in each of the six battleground states, which the Democrats knew they had to seize to keep Trump from winning a second term.

In Arizona, Biden’s “victory” margin was 10,457 votes. There were 254,722 “possible illegal votes,” including 20,000 absentee ballots cast from addresses other than where voters legally resided. There were 5,790 “ghost” voters — ballots requested under the names of voters who no longer resided at a particular address. Some 40,000 votes came from voters who voted in counties in which they didn’t legally reside.

In Michigan, similar tactics were used, as well as in Nevada. But in Nevada, more than 42,000 votes came from voters who voted twice, and another 20,000 votes came from citizens who had moved out of state.

In Georgia, where Biden’s “victory” margin was 11,779 votes, there were 66,000 votes cast by underage individuals.

In Pennsylvania, more than 58,000 ballots were counted as being returned on or before the date they were mailed out (i.e., in order to count late ballots), while another 8,000 dead voters voted in the election. But in Pennsylvania some sort of record was set: 202,000 more ballots were cast than the number of actual registered voters in the state.

In Wisconsin, there was a special category called “indefinitely confined voters” who didn’t need to provide photo IDs. In 2019, there were some 70,000 such voters. In 2020, there were over 200,000 of them.

All told, the possible illegal votes totaled 3,069,002, giving Biden a "victory" margin of 312,992 over Trump. Put another way, Trump voters likely outvoted Biden by more than 2.3 million, but they were buried under the avalanche of fake, phony, fraudulent, and illegal Biden ballots.

It's likely that, had enough individuals with backbone and commitment to their constitutional oaths studied this information and concluded that there was in fact massive fraud, the inauguration taking place on Wednesday would be for a second term for President Donald J. Trump. As it is, Biden will wear the moniker "Commander-in-Thief" for the time he spends in office before he resigns.

Related article:

[Report on Foreign Interference in Election Is Delayed; Separate Report Details Vote Fraud in Contested States](#)

ALSO ON THE NEW AMERICAN MAGAZINE

Former Capitol Police Chief: Intel Said ...

a day ago · 10 comments

Senate testimony from the former chief of the Capitol Hill police appears to ...

To Whom Do You Owe Your Obedience

2 days ago · 7 comments

Are you subordinating yourself to people in lawful authority? Are you ...

Famed Liberal Says Biden Is Creating "a ...

21 hours ago · 18 comments

Naomi Wolf, liberal journalist and former political advisor to Al Gore and Bill ...

Far From Being Finished, Trump ...

3 hours ago · 3 comments

President Trump and his family are reportedly making moves to recruit ...

Garland Suggests Antifa Riots Not ...

3 days ago · 45 comments

Merrick Garland, Joe Biden's pick for Attorney General, said Antifa ...

54 Comments The New American Magazine Disqus' Privacy Policy

Login

Recommend 1 Tweet Share

Sort by Best



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name



Jim_AZ · a month ago

The United States of Bananas. Sad but true.

It could not have happened without the intentional complicity of the RNC.

That last point goes back further to the RNC providing Trump with a cabinet and administration of traitors which suppressed information which would have exonerated him from all the the false accusation, and turned swaths of Democrat voters against their media providers.

The big crunch is on.

STOLEN BY THE MONO-PARTY

19 ^ | v · Reply · Share



RNHou → Jim_AZ · a month ago

The Democrats stole this in the open and got away with it. You can bet that this will continue in the future and insures that they stay in power just like in Venezuela. We are now effectively a one party country.

11 ^ | v · Reply · Share



Jim_AZ → RNHou · a month ago

Unless we prosecute--Which will likely include throwing officials out of office; in the party and in the government.

3 ^ | v · Reply · Share



bubbinator → Jim_AZ · a month ago

Who will do that now that the crooks are now in office? Doesn't look like the GOP has the stones to do anything. They are about 4 years too late now.

2 ^ | v · Reply · Share



Jim_AZ → bubbinator · a month ago

Well, YOU could become a Precinct Committeeman, organize with others in the party, and demand that your state party does something, and Everything, about the corrupt elections before this time next year, when primary voting begins.

2 ^ | v · Reply · Share



boxdin → Jim_AZ · a month ago

I have been in the bowels of my state gov. It kills you from the inside out all the criminality collusion and lies backstabbing etc

1 ^ | v · Reply · Share

 **Jim_AZ** → **boxdin** • a month ago
The same applies w/in the R party organization.
I did not allude that it would be pretty or easy.
STOLEN BY THE MONO-PARTY
^ | v • Reply • Share >

 This comment was deleted.

 **Justin Truedope** → **Guest** • a month ago
How's the weather in Nigeria today, scammer?
^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Khane** → **Guest** • a month ago • edited
Your McLaren Speedtail is 1:32 scale.
^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Oldtymepatriot** • a month ago
So, why hasn't the federal election been ruled invalid? It should NOT be allowed to stand.
With the kind of corruption and foreign involvement exposed in this election, the entire thing should be thrown out and done over - with much stronger security measures.
13 ^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Jim_AZ** → **Oldtymepatriot** • a month ago
We should have state investigations overturn the results--For the record, if for nothing else. The feds are worse than useless. Including 95% of Republican electees.
12 ^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Enoch** → **Oldtymepatriot** • a month ago
Where's das Eph Be Eye?

Fraudulent
Bolshevik
Insurrectionists

"What, like with a cloth or something?"
— Mrs. Bill Clinton

I found them! They were in her purse the entire time.
They're always the last place you look.
1 ^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Jan123456** → **Oldtymepatriot** • a month ago
It has been taken to court...dozens of times.

It was not ruled invalid or any other way team Trump wanted because the attorneys presented no valid evidence. Even here, Navarro gives figures but no sources.

This from a few of the judge reviewing the filings.....

The campaign did not prove under any standard of proof that illegal votes were cast and counted, or legal votes were not counted at all, due to voter fraud, nor in an amount equal to or greater than Biden's margin of victory, which was about 33,600 votes. The Court finds that there is no credible or reliable evidence that the 2020 General Election in Nevada was affected by fraud." -Judge James T. Russell (Nevada)

"One might expect that when seeking such a startling outcome, a plaintiff would come formidably armed with compelling legal arguments and factual proof of rampant corruption. That has not happened." U.S. District Court Judge Matthew Brann (Pennsylvania)

"Free, fair elections are the lifeblood of our democracy. Charges of unfairness are serious. But calling an election unfair does not make it so. Charges require specific allegations and then proof. We have neither here." Appellate Judge Stephenos Bibas.

^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Master Barber** → **Jan123456** • a day ago
Every case that was allowed to show evidence he has won. The courts dismiss without looking at evidence. It may have been a high percentage of wins now that I think about it but either way the courts not allowing evidence is the issue.
^ | v • Reply • Share >

 **Jan123456** → **Master Barber** • a day ago
Every case that was allowed to show evidence he has won.

Every case? All one of them? That is all team Trump has won.

First. there are rules as to what evidence is allowed in court. It's all defined in Federal Rules of Evidence. (You know how

in TV shows one side will object and say, for example, "Hearsay, you're honor"? That's because FRE does not allow hearsay.) Opinions and feelings are also not evidence.

Judges looked at what was presented and specifically commented on it. Again read the quotes I provided in the post you responded to. The appellate court will not say there is no evidence (proof) when there is.

Let's take the Michigan case with all the affidavits. Here is what the judge Timothy Kenny had to say about them.

"Perhaps if Plaintiffs' election challenger affiants had attended the October 29, 2020 walk-through of the TCF Center ballot counting location, questions and concerns could have been answered in advance of Election Day. Regrettably, they did not."

In other words, the people filing them did not know what the rules were. In addition if you look at them, they're full of words like "I believe" or "I felt" what "they said" and sorely lacking in names, dates, times and locations.

^ | v • Reply • Share >



Master Barber → Jan123456 • a day ago

Words like "I believe" or "I felt" what "they said" are for to protect one from personal litigation through BS cases. Just like when they ask you a question you say "to the best of my recollection" not "best of my memory" else you can not change it later or your memory gets called into doubt thereby making you look unreliable or worse lying under oath. The counter arguments that Trump did not win 2/3 or 3/4 what ever it is claimed, use semantics to set up a strawman argument. No "Trump" is not responsible for all those cases. Yes they include cases by second or even third parties. It is true that using words like "voter fraud" were being used broadly to include vote count errors and other inconsistencies. I "think" or "believe" or "feel" that one ought to not split hairs and give others the benefit of the doubt in an argument by interpreting a given statement the best possible light so that discussion can move forward and truth rise to the surface, not to go distract from the truth by pointing out every misspelling, typo or general use of language. No one is writing a thesis paper and publishing it in a news article or posting it in a comment section. As for your quote about the "walk-through" it appears the judge was being dismissive by saying that they should have known someone would do something illegal and have no one to blame but themselves for not being suspicious enough. Blaming the woman for being raped because she should have known that her cloths would excite a psychopath rapist is always a bad assertion.

^ | v • Reply • Share >



Jan123456 → Master Barber • a day ago

Words like "I believe" or "I felt" what "they said" are for to protect one from personal litigation through BS cases.

Unfortunately, per Federal Rules of Evidence feelings and beliefs are not evidence. And without a name of WHO gave instructions, "they" is not evidence either. When you go to court, the standards are higher.

The counter arguments that Trump did not win 2/3 or 3/4 what ever it is claimed, use semantics to set up a strawman argument.

I am not sure what you're saying here...2/3 or 3/4 of what exactly.

As for your quote about the "walk-through" it appears the judge was being dismissive by saying that they should have known someone would do something illegal and have no one to blame but themselves for not being suspicious enough.,

No, it was that the affiants were complaining about things that were legal standard operating procedure and had they known what the rules were up front, they wouldn't have filed the affidavits. For example, the legal limit of people allowed in to observe was 134. People who left for lunch and returned only to be turned away when others had taken their place filed affidavits saying they were "kicked out". Or someone whose affidavit said they were not allowed to get as close as they wanted to the counters not knowing there was an established distance.

^ | v • Reply • Share >



william775 • a month ago

Satire speaks a lot of truth!

From: The Babylon Bee

Most Popular President In History To Be Inaugurated In Secret Behind Giant Wall Guarded By Thousands Of Soldiers!

WASHINGTON, D.C.—President-elect Joe Biden will be inaugurated this week, and due to his incredible popularity with the American people, he will be inaugurated in a top-secret location behind a massive 12-foot wall guarded by 30,000 soldiers.

"The reason President-elect Biden has to do this is that he's just so incredibly popular," said Don Lemon on CNN. "He has so many rabid fans that they might try to rush the stage as they're overcome with enthusiasm and love for Biden who is by far the most beloved candidate who has ever run for President."

In addition to the 12-foot electric fence topped with razor wire and the 30,000 heavily armed soldiers who have been vetted as Democrats, there will be flying drones programmed to target MAGA hats, a platoon of ninjas, and a moat filled with crocodiles dug all the way around the Capitol Building and White House.

Biden will then be escorted to a secret underground bunker patrolled by attack choppers where he will give the oath of office in a dark concrete room with all the recording equipment turned off.

"This is a slight break in tradition but it's necessary because Biden is just so incredibly popular and loved by the people," said Nancy Pelosi.

Sources say that Biden will be brought to an undisclosed location after the inauguration to live out his remaining days peacefully until Kamala Harris's inauguration next week.

12 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



Master Barber → william775 · a day ago · edited

When the military is brought in to install a leader, there is a name for that.

^ | v · Reply · Share >



Justin Truedope → william775 · a month ago · edited

China Joe Biden's days are numbered and we all know it. He's one of the most corrupt and hated politicians in American history.

^ | v · Reply · Share >



Al Benson Jr. → Justin Truedope · a month ago

And his vice president Comrade Kamala is just waiting for her shot at the presidency.

^ | v · Reply · Share >



J E → william775 · a month ago

It would be much better that they were there to keep them in so we can keep an eye on them.

^ | v · Reply · Share >



PeasB2AIY'all · a month ago

The Republican controlled Legislatures in GA; PA; MI; WI; AZ; & NV must take control of election administration away from the SOS; AG; Governor; and Judiciary. It is the Legislature's constitutional right and obligation to eliminate opportunities for fraud and restore confidence in elections.

5 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



Enoch · a month ago

The revolution should surprise no one.
Expect us.

The Battle Hymn Of The Republic - Trump's Pre-Inauguration Jan 19th 2017

<https://www.youtube.com/wat...>

Join, or Die.

Sons of Liberty

\$

2 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



gconnsr · a month ago

The effort was massive and we're in a dictatorship now. Don't worry about ever voting again no matter how much they try to convince you. This is a force only our military can fix.

2 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



J E → gconnsr · a month ago · edited

You won't need to worry about voting again. It's such a dirty process. You shouldn't have to do it anymore. We will do it for you so you won't have to do it any more. Trust us, we are so much better at choosing than you anyway. Just trust us, after all we're professionals.
SNARK SNARK.

1 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



Nick Varnalis · a month ago

The Demoncrats are traitors to the Republic.

1 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



Bob332 · a month ago

God KNOWS, WE KNOW, the evil corrupt DemonicRATS KNOW. I pray the Wrath of God descends on them!

1 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



Kirschwasser · a month ago

A massive fraud of epic proportions.

1 ^ | v · Reply · Share >



Jan123456 → Kirschwasser · a month ago

For which there is no evidence. At least team Trump attorneys have presented none.

^ | v · Reply · Share >



M. N. → Jan123456 · 9 days ago

The Federal Elections Commission is suppose to be watch dogging, but has failed to explain flipped votes, different rules for Republicans that allowed Democrats to get away with a lot of illegal/advantageous activity, & flat out obstructing the legal rules/processes. How can you possibly say there was nothing wrong with this when eye witnesses were all over the place? Most of the court room issues were not regarding the substance of the complaint. but procedural aspects...almost like they were

intentionally preventing the cases from being heard.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›

 Jan123456 → M. N. • 9 days ago

1. Show me any evidence of "flipped votes". Newsmax and Fox both withdrew their claims of irregularities with Dominion (playing a retraction multiple times) while OAN just deleted any reference to them.
2. The epicenter of the Dominion controversy, Antrim County MI did a hand recount of ballots. The results stood.
3. What illegal/advantagous activities are you referring to. Like calling a Secretary of State telling him to find enough votes to flip Georga?

How can you possibly say there was nothing wrong with this when eye witnesses were all over the place?

I quote from the judge who went through these affidavits, "Perhaps if Plaintiffs' election challenger affiants had attended the October 29, 2020 walk-through of the TCF Center ballot counting location, questions and concerns could have been answered in advance of Election Day. Regrettably, they did not. Plaintiffs' interpretation of events is incorrect and not credible." Judge Timothy Kenny (Michigan)

You appear to be under the mistaken impression that the affidavits claimed bonafide fraud. They didn't. Here is team Trump's release.

<https://roar-assets-auto.rb...>

see more

^ | v • Reply • Share ›

 M. N. → Jan123456 • 9 days ago

1. People were watching live news & saw the numbers reverse for Trump & Biden gained what Trump lost, a city election rep also noted this irregularity & reported on it - 6000 votes switched.
2. Forensic audits are not being conducted & those involved in any audit process have typically been the wrong bipartisan groups & not checking the entire process for factors that deviated from the laws - which there were plenty.
3. Advantages...putting Left leaning NPOs in charge of the voting counts/machines/processes, shutting out Republicans from performing their challenge duties, sending out large numbers of disqualified democrat votes with activists to get signatures after the election to prevent them from being thrown out - did not allow Republicans this opp - these are just a few of the examples that people have been reporting on - where've you been?
4. Laws were broken that violated chain of custody, manner of voting & political activities around the election. The machines were online - which they are never suppose to be, why did our data leave the US? Vote numbers reversed, but the machines are not suppose to be able to do this. The vote dumps in the middle of the night were a red flag for interference or a problem.
5. The point is that if you believe in free & fair elections then you must also ensure confidence in the voting process. That confidence was destroyed. Until there is a full forensic audit there is no fix. It's also very important that the facts get out & through the justice system to ensure that we adhere to the Constitution - by judges that actually understand the

see more

^ | v • Reply • Share ›

 Jan123456 → M. N. • 9 days ago

1. TV outlet reporting is not official voting records. What city are you talking about? I would be glad to research.
2. Audits have been done. Michigan, Georgia and Arizona I know for sure. What is a "wrong bipartisan group"? And if there were "plenty", please give me some specific examples.

<https://apnews.com/article/...>

3. Those are not examples, those are claims. An example would include a locale and a name or two. But as I pointed out to you, some people believed as observers they had powers that were not legally designated to them. In addition, this claim that observers were not allowed was taken to court. When Judge Paul Diamond pressed the team Trump attorney on this point, the attorney admitted that there was a "non-zero" number of GOP observers leading Judge Diamond to ask why they were there.

4. The data did not leave the US. This is one of those lies that make it half way around the world before the truth gets its pants on.

What laws and where do you find chain of custody was broken or that vote numbers were reversed? Again, you make a claim without actual evidence.

I repeat, Newsmax and Fox HAVE admitted they have no evidence regarding their claims about Dominion. OAN merely

see more

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Enoch → Kirschwasser • a month ago

Bigger than 9\11\2001?

The question is moot!

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Khane → Enoch • a month ago

So is the answer.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



ScarabZ • a month ago

As bad as all of this has been regarding election fraud...disgraceful really....I think Trump was smart not to concede to Biden or acknowledge he's even the real President by skipping the inauguration. Clearly, there is something going on behind the scenes here. Martial law in DC with no crowd...was that because nobody was even going to show up or ?????

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



1969 Bird • a month ago

74 million, try adding a lot more as they're were votes changed. I'd suppose 78 million voted for our President.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Master Barber • a day ago

Trump could run for Senate and flip a seat 2022. Then run for President 2024. That would made the libtards go crazy.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Khane • a month ago • edited

Trump pardons Lil Wayne and Kwame Kilpatrick but not Assange nor Snowden. I am so done with the American Joker.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



REMant • a month ago

The thing about it is that the Constitution has nothing to say about how states conduct elections. That's why it was necessary to prevent them from abusing their own power before it was done. The Federal govt could have done that, on the basis of how they were dealing with the virus and riots, but didn't.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Justin Truedope → REMant • a month ago • edited

The Constitution does say that the state legislatures must follow all their own electoral laws as enacted, and that those laws can't be changed or modified by any other body. That's enough for them to de-certify the results in states that didn't follow their own laws.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



OhSoGood • a month ago

Huddled for a lengthy meeting with his legal advisers, Trump was warned the pardons he once hoped to bestow upon his family and even himself would place him in a legally perilous position, convey the appearance of guilt and potentially make him more vulnerable to reprisals.

So, too, was Trump warned that pardons for Republican lawmakers who had sought them for their role in the Capitol insurrection would anger the very Senate Republicans who will determine his fate in an upcoming impeachment trial.

White House counsel Pat Cipollone and another attorney who represented Trump in his first impeachment trial, Eric Herschmann, offered the grave warnings as Trump, his daughter Ivanka and her husband Jared Kushner listened.

^ | v 7 • Reply • Share ›



Justin Truedope → OhSoGood • a month ago

Bad legal advice. It's impossible to impeach a President after he leaves office and respect the US Constitution at the same time.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



OhSoGood → Justin Truedope • a month ago

Okee dokee.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Justin Truedope → OhSoGood • a month ago

Not too bright, are you? Hint: Impeachment is a legal process to *remove* an official from office.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



OhSoGood • a month ago

0 DAYS

20 HOURS

51 MINUTES

That's all Fat Donnie has left... after that, he is a one-term, twice-impeached EX-president.

And its wonderful.

^ | v 13 • Reply • Share ›



RedMoonJournal → OhSoGood • a month ago

Look, a paid Chinese shill.

4 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Enoch → RedMoonJournal • a month ago

He doesn't know much English, he keeps repeating himself...

⌋ This user is blocked.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›

[Load more comments](#)

[Subscribe](#) [Add Disqus to your site](#) [Add Disqus](#) [Add](#) [Do Not Sell My Data](#)