

counties currently administer their own elections), contract with an election vendor, or contract with any county or municipality which offers a RCV administrative option (Utah County provides this option).

In 2019 HB277 was passed it stated: "upon approval by the lieutenant governor, a municipality may enter into a contract or agreement with any local political subdivision in the state, regardless of whether the municipality is located in, next to, or near, the local political subdivision, to conduct an election during which the municipality is participating in the Municipal Alternate Voting Methods Pilot Project."

_____ County has contracted with all of our cities to administer their elections for the past several years. We have done this because we feel we can offer a service and product of value to our cities in which we have confidence. It is a product that we know, that we have fine-tuned over the years, the same product we offer during even numbered elections. **Cities have never been obligated to contract with the County, and the County has never been obligated to contract with a city.** Each year this has been a mutually agreed upon arraignment. If a city in _____ County chooses to participate in the Alternative Voting Pilot Project and use RCV, they should be able to do this, but _____ County should not be required to administer it.

HB 75 obligates the county to provide a new service that we do not know, that we do not believe in, that we do not support, and in which we do not have confidence. In summary, a county should not be compelled to provide a service for a city.

Respectfully,

Thanks again to everyone for your work on this, and especially Ricky.

Best Regards,

Curtis Koch
Davis County Clerk/Auditor
o: (801) 451-3491
c: (801) 543-9778



Davis
COUNTY

NOTICE OF PRIVILEGE/CONFIDENTIALITY: This electronic communication (including any attachments) may be privileged and/or confidential. This electronic communication is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure of this electronic communication is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender, through a reply electronic communication, and immediately delete this electronic communication.

<bryan@uacnet.org>, Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>, Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>, Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>

Lincoln,

Here are the recommendations that if we can get in place, I believe the Clerk's can be neutral on this bill. Happy to work with the drafting Attorney to make this work and weed out any other potential issues if the Senator wishes.

Recommendations

- State that the only data points that can be shared from voter information with political parties and candidates are:
 - Name
 - Address
 - Party Affiliation
 - Age
 - Voter History
- Make it so that voter information is only available to Political Parties in the State of Utah and Candidates in the state of Utah.
- Specify that voter information may only be used by the political party for purposes of the political party within the State of Utah, and may only be used by a candidate for campaign purposes within the State of Utah.
- Grandfather in all current "Private Voters". This is the only way to remove the fiscal note since you would no longer need reprogramming and the mailer.
- Use existing language from Maryland law that, voters may request their records be private and not shared with anyone if they are:
 - Victims of Domestic violence and/or human trafficking
 - Law enforcement
 - Persons being threatened
 - Victim of or witness to a felony
 - Others on grounds that the disclosure of the individuals address poses a safety threat or is likely to lead to an unwarranted and serious invasion of privacy.

As noted before, here are the concerns of the Clerk's on this issue:

- Protection of voter information has been a long standing issue and concern. The public is opposes the open sharing of their data to anyone who wants it. Currently there are little to no restrictions on what can be done with the data regardless of the intended use.
-

**2017 THIRD CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT
SPECIAL GENERAL ELECTION CANDIDATES**

Candidates are listed in the order they will appear on the Special General Election ballot, as per the 2016-2017 Master Ballot Position List.

<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>
JIM BENNETT	United Utah Party
JOE BUCHMAN	Libertarian Party
KATHIE ALLEN	Democratic Party
JASON CHRISTENSEN	Independent American Party
JOHN CURTIS	Republican Party
SEAN WHALEN	Unaffiliated

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of the study of the history of the United States. It is argued that a knowledge of the past is essential for a proper understanding of the present. The author then proceeds to discuss the various factors which have influenced the development of the United States, including the role of the government, the influence of the economy, and the impact of the culture. The paper concludes by stating that the study of the history of the United States is a task of great importance, and that it is one which should be undertaken by all who are interested in the future of the country.

[REDACTED]

On Mon, Nov 18, 2019 at 11:19 AM Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov> wrote:

On 1753-1754 it says [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utah.gov

On Fri, Nov 8, 2019 at 5:10 PM Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov> wrote:

Please review:

2020FL0317_007 - 2020FL0317 (Protected) version 7 - Election Amendments

1. This draft should have all of the changes we agreed on, except:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2. I added sections as necessary due to needed cross-reference and terminology changes.

It has not been a big problem, although I have had the question from at least one county and a couple of municipalities about [REDACTED]. It might not be a big deal.

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utah.gov

On Mon, Nov 18, 2019 at 3:00 PM Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us> wrote:

Justin, you would know better than anyone, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Brian McKenzie, CERA
Chief Deputy Clerk/Auditor
Davis County
[REDACTED]

On Mon, Nov 18, 2019 at 2:32 PM Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov> wrote:

We could say [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. Would that
work?

Signature Line No Cell



From: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2019 2:22 PM
To: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Cc: Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Suzanne Harrison <sharrison@le.utah.gov>;
Hatch, Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; ptueller@slco.org; Sherrie Swensen
<sswensen@slco.org>; Brian Bean <bbean@le.utah.gov>; Derek Brenchley
<dbrenchley@utah.gov>
Subject: Re: Bill draft for your review

I don't have anything else that I think needs to change.

<dbrenchley@utah.gov>, Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>

Subject: RE: Bill draft for your review

Brian McKenzie called me yesterday regarding my questions.

We discussed the exact change you mentioned for item 1.
Item 2 he told me how to resolve so this is no issue for you,
and item 3 Brian said he did not have a problem with that change.

Thank you,



Pam Tueller

Election Director
Salt Lake County Clerk

ptueller@slco.org

385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>

Sent: Monday, November 18, 2019 4:47 PM

To: Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>; Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>

Cc: Suzanne Harrison <sharrison@le.utah.gov>; Hatch, Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Brian Bean <bbean@le.utah.gov>; Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>; Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>

Subject: RE: Bill draft for your review

1. Line 1322 is referring to [REDACTED]

2. Line 3576 is referring to [REDACTED]

I don't know the answer to this. Justin? Brian McKenzie?

3. Line 3934 is referring to [REDACTED]

We can make this change if everyone is ok with that.

ballot style." By missing that step, a ballot style for your husband's precinct was not created on his voter access card. When he inserted his card into the voting machine, instead of displaying a ballot, it was **blank**. (A ballot was not displayed so he could not have cast any votes!)

A blank voter access card causes a red **generic** default error to appear indicating, *"This voter access card is already voted. Please remove it from the machine."*

The poll worker called the Election Help Desk so that the voter access card could be cleared, and one recreated so a ballot would be displayed any your husband could actually vote.

The poll workers you spoke to were referring to properly enabling the voter access card, which is a generic information transmitting device. Votes are not stored on a voter access card. The vote access card allows a voter's ballot to be shown on the touchscreen.

When votes are cast on an electronic voting machine, they **CANNOT** be cleared. There are three redundant memories of votes cast on the machine, in a paper trail, an internal memory and on a memory card locked inside the machine.


We go to great lengths to ensure safe and secure elections. If you have other concerns, please contact our office by phone or come down in person to the Salt Lake County Government Center.

We are here for you Monday-Friday 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

We are always available with answers to your questions about elections.

Salt Lake County Elections

FOLLOW-UP AFTER SHE PUT ON HER INSTAGRAM STORY THAT SHE WASN'T SATISFIED WITH OUR RESPONSE


We understand you are not satisfied with our response and remain doubtful about the situation. Salt Lake County Clerk Sherrie Swensen would like to invite you and your husband to come in and we will demonstrate what occurred.

We are happy to take the time to show you how this system works and answer any questions you may have.

Best Wishes,

Salt Lake County Elections

To: brenda@co.emery.ut.us; btitcomb@co.wasatch.ut.us; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; david@ironcounty.net; dcarroll@grandcountyutah.net; dfreston@duchesne.utah.gov; dmay@utah.gov; gcclerk@mountainwest.net; jaclynburt@utah.gov; jill.zollinger@cachecounty.org; justinlee@utah.gov; kentjones@summitcounty.org; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov; mgillette@co.tooele.ut.us; mjthomas@utah.gov; mwilkins@co.uintah.ut.us; myoung@boxeldercounty.org; njohnson@sanjuancounty.org; normab@email.utcourts.gov; pati@co.juab.ut.us; ryan@wco.state.ut.us; scwall@sevier.utah.gov; seth.oveson@carbon.utah.gov; slafitte@hotmail.com; sneill@sanpetecounty-ut.gov; SSWENSEN@SLCO.ORG; valeenb@hotmail.com; vmckee@daggettcounty.org; Bryan Thompson (BryanT@utahcounty.gov); gmcnullin@beaver.utah.gov; Curtis Koch; Ilene Roth (recorder@mail.manti.com)
Cc: Adam Trupp (adam@uacnet.org); Arie Van De Graaff (arie@uacnet.org); Lincoln Shurtz (legislative.insight@gmail.com)

Subject: SB297-Marriage bill impacting Clerks-please provide input ASAP

SB297 came out Thursday, passed through Committee on Friday, and will be discussed in the Senate early next week. It addresses anti-discrimination, allowing for some religious exemptions. It also impacts our offices.

This email summarizes how SB297 impacts Clerks, and suggests some possible amendments. PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR INPUT!

Here's how it impacts County Clerks:

- County Clerks will be required to provide couples with access to a marriage officiant.
- Clerks could not force an employee to solemnize a marriage, if doing so would go against that employee's sincere religious or deeply held beliefs.
- Other government officials who have authority to solemnize marriages would be required to either 1) agree to solemnize all marriages, regardless of whether they are traditional or same-sex, OR 2) agree not to solemnize any marriages, except for family members. "All in or all out."

The bill's intent is: if a couple wants to get married, and cannot find someone to officiate, the Clerk must provide them with access to an officiant. That officiant could be the Clerk, an employee, or any individual who is authorized to solemnize marriages (i.e., pastor, spiritual leader, judge, mayor, etc.). The officiant must be "reasonably available," which was described by the bill's sponsor as "on call."

The main issue of concern to us is whether Clerks should be forced into the marriage ceremony business. State code allows Clerks to choose whether or not to solemnize marriages. This bill forces Clerks to either solemnize marriages or to designate someone to solemnize marriages. I doubt any Clerk wants to be forced to solemnize marriages, even if they choose to solemnize - this choice should remain with the Clerk. However, the bill appears to provide Clerks with the ability to provide access to an officiant without being forced to be an officiant, and that is through the "designee" language.

The bill's sponsor said he is willing to work with Clerks to address our concerns. The bill says that the Clerk must establish a "policy to ensure that the Clerk or the Clerk's designee is reasonably available to solemnize."

Here are some possible amendments that might satisfy all parties:

1. **The Clerk provides a contact list of "designated officiants" to applicants.** These officiants must agree to comply with state code governing marriages, and to be "reasonably available" to solemnize marriages. The couple can then contact their desired designated officiant, and schedule the ceremony directly with them. The Clerk may choose to be on the list, or to put an employee on it, or both, or neither. I know Utah & Weber Counties (and probably a few others) already provide a list of judges who solemnize. This option may involve some extra work to keep the list current.
2. **The Clerk designates someone as an officiant for a day, with specific authority only to perform the wedding that is being requested.** Massachusetts currently does this. Note, the designated officiant is

--
Ricky D. Hatch, CPA

Weber County Clerk/Auditor

Office – 801.399.8613

Mobile – 801.668.0909

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "VSUG Leg" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsugleg+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "VSUG Leg" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsugleg+unsubscribe@utah.gov.



Pam Tueller

Election Director

Salt Lake County Clerk

ptueller@slco.org

385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](http://slco.org)

From: Curtis Koch <ckoch@co.davis.ut.us>

Sent: Friday, February 21, 2020 3:50 PM

To: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Cc: Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Bryan Rodgers <bryan@uacnet.org>; Ricky Hatch <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; VSUG Leg <vsugleg@utah.gov>

Subject: Re: SB83 in Committee on Monday at 4:00

Awesome.....

On Fri, Feb 21, 2020 at 3:30 PM Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

SB83 - Sen. Anderegg's voter privacy bill, will be up in committee Monday afternoon at 4:00 p.m. (see attached agenda). We have not yet seen a new sub, or any amendments, but I would assume we will before Monday.

Justin

Justin Lee

Director of Elections

NOTICE OF PRIVILEGE/CONFIDENTIALITY: This electronic communication (including any attachments) may be privileged and/or confidential. This electronic communication is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure of this electronic communication is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender, through a reply electronic communication, and immediately delete this electronic communication.

On Mon, Feb 24, 2020 at 9:20 AM Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org> wrote:

Good morning,

Who is planning on being up at the committee meeting this afternoon for this bill? Has anyone seen new language yet?

Thank you,



Pam Tueller

Election Director

Salt Lake County Clerk

ptueller@slco.org

385-468-7425



[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

From: Curtis Koch <ckoch@co.davis.ut.us>

Sent: Friday, February 21, 2020 3:50 PM

To: Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Cc: Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Bryan Rodgers <bryan@uacnet.org>; Ricky Hatch <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; VSUG Leg <vsugleg@utah.gov>

Subject: Re: SB83 in Committee on Monday at 4:00

Awesome.....

Marilyn K. Gillette

Tooele County Clerk

47 S. Main, Suite #318

Tooele, UT 84074

435-843-3148/435-830-5463

mgillette@tooeleco.org



From: Curtis Koch <ckoch@co.davis.ut.us>

Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2020 4:22 PM

To: Ginger McMullin <gingermcmullin@beaver.utah.gov>; Marla Young <myoung@boxeldercounty.org>; Jill Zollinger <jill.zollinger@cachecounty.org>; Seth Marsing <seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov>; braymond@daggettcounty.org; JoAnn Evans <jevans@duchesne.utah.gov>; Brenda Tuttle <brendat@emery.utah.gov>; Camille Moore <gcclerk@mountainwest.net>; Chris Baird <cbaird@grandcountyutah.net>; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; Alaina Lofgran <alainal@juabcounty.com>; Karla Johnson <Clerkkj@kane.utah.gov>; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us; sclarke@morgan-county.net; Kali Lee Gleave <kgleave@piute.utah.gov>; Becky Peart <bpeart@richcountyut.org>; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>; John David Nielson <jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org>; auditor@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Steven Wall <scwall@sevier.utah.gov>; kentjones@summitcounty.org; Marilyn Gillette <mgillette@tooeleco.org>; Michael Wilkins <mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov>; Kim Hafen <kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov>; Ryan Torgerson <ryan@wco.state.ut.us>; Ricky Hatch <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Subject: SB83 Follow-up

As promised, here is the follow-up to the discussion this morning. UAC voted to oppose SB83 Sub 1 and go neutral if they are willing to change the 5 lines we talked about this morning. I've had multiple calls from the drafting attorney today and I believe we will be able to get to neutral. We'll keep the group in the loop as the language is released.

Thanks,

Curtis Koch

auditor@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Steven Wall <scwall@sevier.utah.gov>; kentjones@summitcounty.org; Marilyn Gillette <mgillette@tooeleco.org>; Michael Wilkins <mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov>; Kim Hafen <kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov>; Ryan Torgerson <ryan@wco.state.ut.us>; Ricky Hatch <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Subject: SB83 Follow-up

As promised, here is the follow-up to the discussion this morning. UAC voted to oppose SB83 Sub 1 and go neutral if they are willing to change the 5 lines we talked about this morning. I've had multiple calls from the drafting attorney today and I believe we will be able to get to neutral. We'll keep the group in the loop as the language is released.

Thanks,

Curtis Koch

Davis County Clerk/Auditor

o: (801) 451-3491

c: (801) 543-9778



NOTICE OF PRIVILEGE/CONFIDENTIALITY: This electronic communication (including any attachments) may be privileged and/or confidential. This electronic communication is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure of this electronic communication is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender, through a reply electronic communication, and immediately delete this electronic communication.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

From: Curtis Koch <ckoch@co.davis.ut.us>

Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2020 6:46 PM

To: Marilyn Gillette <mgillette@tooeleco.org>

Cc: Ginger McMullin <gingermcmullin@beaver.utah.gov>; Marla Young <myoung@boxeldercounty.org>; Jill Zollinger <jill.zollinger@cachecounty.org>; Seth Marsing <seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov>; braymond@daggettcountry.org; JoAnn Evans <jevans@duchesne.utah.gov>; Brenda Tuttle <brendat@emery.utah.gov>; Camille Moore <gcclerk@mountainwest.net>; Chris Baird <cbaird@grandcountyutah.net>; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; Alaina Lofgran <alainal@juabcounty.com>; Karla Johnson <Clerkkj@kane.utah.gov>; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us; sclarke@morgan-county.net; Kali Lee Gleave <kgleave@piute.utah.gov>; Becky Peart <bpeart@richcountyut.org>; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>; John David Nielson <jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org>; auditor@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Steven Wall <scwall@sevier.utah.gov>; kentjones@summitcounty.org; Michael Wilkins <mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov>; Kim Hafen <kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov>; Ryan Torgerson <ryan@wco.state.ut.us>; Ricky Hatch <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>; Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Justin Lee <justinlee@utah.gov>

Subject: Re: SB83 Follow-up

All,

The 3rd sub on SB83 just was just released. The changes we asked for are in it. I've let Senator Weiler know we will be neutral on this bill. He's been an amazing help in getting us to this point. Here's the link to the 3rd sub: <https://le.utah.gov/~2020/bills/sbillint/SB0083S03.pdf>

Lines 648-650 and 663-664 were where the modifications were made.

Thanks,

Curtis Koch

Davis County Clerk/Auditor

o: (801) 451-3491

c: (801) 543-9778

ARE YOU READY FOR 2020
Mar-03 • Jun-30 • Nov-03

Get Registered or Verify your Registration Today
Voter.Utah.gov

From: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>

Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021 8:29:26 PM

To: Curtis Koch; Cowley,Ryan; Brian McKenzie; Sherrie Swensen; Marla Young; Marilyn Gillette

Cc: Lincoln Shurtz; hunter@uacnet.org; Zeke Lee; Justin Lee

Subject: rep. teuscher's voter affiliation bill update

The representative called me tonight and said his sub will have a affiliation deadline of March 31st.

I told him the clerks would still oppose, but we had a good discussion. He is very reasonable, But the parties want this in place, and it will ipass pretty easily.

Curtis and lincoln, after we meet with representatives stenquist, we could meet with him to talk a little more. I don't think it will change anything, but he would appreciate a meeting. Lincoln, I know you're super busy, so if that doesn't work for you, that's fine.

After talking with him a bit, I can see him as an ally for us in the future. He is leaning against ranked choice voting.

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utah.gov

--
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
CountyClerks+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to CountyClerks+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "CountyClerks" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to CountyClerks+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

Many of you already know Shelly from her work with Davis County and Weber County. We're very excited for all the county elections experience she will bring to our office.

If you need to send Shelly an email you can reach her at - shellyjackson@utah.gov.

Thanks,

Justin

Justin Lee

Director of Elections

Office of the Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

justinlee@utah.gov

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.
To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/a/utah.gov/d/msgid/vsug/CAM_AU5xOFx-FnQ1snjqOw1BbwrziPdA5vgNSo0Wh2W-Rm9G0CQ%40mail.gmail.com.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.
To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/a/utah.gov/d/msgid/vsug/068df4049dc692aa27c2109ac1368d5b%40mail.gmail.com>.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.
To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/a/utah.gov/d/msgid/vsug/CAPNr7gAW-QfWoKsOzZJcOeAniBMPbuN8jUqatCOZoFA-wTtGww%40mail.gmail.com>.

I am really excited to see the improvements and efficiencies that will result from this refreshed cooperative arrangement between the counties and our awesome state VISTA team.

Thanks!

R.

--

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Weber County Clerk/Auditor

Office: 801.399.8613

Mobile: 801.668.0909

1. Analyze VISTA enhancement/fix requests from the counties, and provide a prioritized list of recommendations to the elected clerks for approval before providing the list to the LG's office.
2. Serve as the first line of support for VISTA users statewide, rather than having VISTA-related questions go directly to the LG's office.

With these two roles, it is important that members of this VISTA steering committee know VISTA inside and out.

Before next Monday, please provide Ryan (feel free to Reply All) with the name of the person your county designates to be on this committee.

I am really excited to see the improvements and efficiencies that will result from this refreshed cooperative arrangement between the counties and our awesome state VISTA team.

Thanks!

R.

--

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Weber County Clerk/Auditor

Office: 801.399.8613

Mobile: 801.668.0909

1. Analyze VISTA enhancement/fix requests from the counties, and provide a prioritized list of recommendations to the elected clerks for approval before providing the list to the LG's office.
2. Serve as the first line of support for VISTA users statewide, rather than having VISTA-related questions go directly to the LG's office.

With these two roles, it is important that members of this VISTA steering committee know VISTA inside and out.

Before next Monday, please provide Ryan (feel free to Reply All) with the name of the person your county designates to be on this committee.

I am really excited to see the improvements and efficiencies that will result from this refreshed cooperative arrangement between the counties and our awesome state VISTA team.

Thanks!

R.

--

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Weber County Clerk/Auditor

Office: 801.399.8613

Mobile: 801.668.0909

For ballot initiatives/referenda/constitutional amendments: Require on the ballot 2-3 explanatory bullet points for and 2-3 against for all initiatives/referenda/constitutional amendments to improve transparency and to help voters understand the implications of voting for or against the proposed initiative. Also, establish an independent commission that will study each proposed ballot initiative that has received adequate, qualified signatures and issue a statement that will be included on the ballot briefly stating whether the ballot, as written, can be implemented or if it will require legislative involvement if passed.

Steve

--

Stephen R. Christiansen

Utah House of Representatives, District 47

schristiansen@le.utah.gov

385-465-4492

--

Curtis Koch Clerk/Auditor Davis County Government p. (801) 451-3491 c. (801) 543-9778

agreed that it's in everyone's best interest for us to try to come up with language that satisfies everyone, if at all possible.

So what do we do now? Here are some options:

1. scrap our committee bill until next year and fight his bill.
2. try to incorporate his intent into our committee bill in a manner that works for us and him.
3. Try to fix our committee bill and work with him separately on his bill.
4. Tweak our committee bill to focus solely on judge retention.
5. Other ideas - I'm sure there are plenty of options

Other thoughts:

- I don't see a feasible way that we can accomplish both his and our goals. He wants the additional language right on the ballot, not in an insert. He did agree that perhaps shortening the original ballot language to a very short ballot title, then adding the argument bullet points for/against might be possible, but even that is quite long.
- He said that he'll run his own bill if we can't figure out a way to get this in our bill. Not threatening, but this is his top priority bill.
- He thinks the additional cost and potential voter confusion of a 2-card ballot is worth it if it means the voters better understand these difficult-to-understand initiatives and referenda.
- The independent commission opinion on whether a certain initiative could be implemented or not might resonate with the legislature after medical cannabis and medicaid expansion had to be changed, and the legislature got heat for doing what needed to be done.
- He's brand new. How much influence can he have on the process and what's the chance of his success in this?
- I don't want to fight against him. Would prefer to have a good relationship, and it would look bad to the committee if we say we want plain language and then fight a plain language bill.
- I'm not sure I have the bandwidth to rewrite our committee bill between now and the start of the session. Not sure any of you have bandwidth either, with that little March 3 election coming up.
- How bad would it look to scrap or dramatically alter our bill, after it was made a committee bill?

I'm unsure how best to proceed and could use your ideas. I got Steve to agree to wait until Friday for a response and direction.

More details on his intent and Marc Roberts's advice are in the emails below.

Thanks!

R.

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Virginia VA Code Ann. §24.2-406, §24.2-418, §24.2-444	Lists may be provided at a reasonable fee to candidates, political party committees, political action committees, and incumbents for political purposes, and members of the public or a nonprofit organization seeking to promote voter participation and registration by means of a communication or mailing without intimidation or pressure exerted on the recipient, for that purpose only. Lists are also available for public inspection at county election offices.	Name, address, year of birth, gender and all election districts applicable to each registered voter. Voting history is also available to candidates, parties, etc. for political purposes.	Any part of SSN, addresses of protected persons, the declination by an individual to register to vote and related records, the identity of a voter registration agency through which a particular voter is registered, birth day and month.	Only for campaign and political purposes and for reporting to constituents.	ACP participants, active or retired law-enforcement officers, anyone granted a protective order, anyone who is in fear of personal safety, any active or retired federal or state judge or attorney, a person who has been approved to be a foster parent
Washington West's RCWA 29A.40.130 29A.08.720 29A.08.740 42.56.230	A record of all voters issued a ballot and all voters who returned a ballot are open for public inspection in county offices. Any political party, committee, or person may request a list of all registered voters who have or have not voted for an election. Such requests shall be handled as public records requests.	Precinct lists and lists of all registered voters.	Identity of office or agency where any particular voter registered, choice not to register to vote. Personal information exempt from open records requests includes SSN and any record used to provide identity, age, residential address required for a DL number.	Non-commercial purposes. A violation is a class C felony. Each person furnished data shall take reasonable precautions designed to assure that the data is not used for the commercial purposes. However, the data may be used for any political purpose.	ACP participants

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Tennessee T. C. A. § 2-2-138, § 2-2-127	Available to any person who certifies that such list will be used for political purposes, for a fee. Records are available for public inspection.	Voter registration information, including voting history.	SSN	Only for political purposes. Any vendor having access to the voter registration data shall only use such data to service the county election commission and shall be prohibited from using such data for any other purpose. Non-authorized use by the vendor of the data shall constitute a Class B misdemeanor and grounds for decertification by the state election commission.	N/A
Texas V.T.C.A., Election Code §18.005 §18.008, §18.009, §13.004, §18.0051 Vernon's Ann. Texas C.C.P. Art. 56.82	Any person may request it.	Name, DOB, registration number, residence address (or concise description of the voter's residence if the voter has no address).	SSN, DL number, personal identification card number, indication that an applicant is interested in working as an election judge. The following cannot be posted online: phone number, SSN, DL number, DOB, or residential address of protected persons.	Non-commercial purposes.	ACP participants; victims of family violence, sexual assault or abuse, stalking or trafficking; federal or state judge; the spouse of a peace officer

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Oklahoma 26 Okl. St. Ann. § 7-103.2, § 4-112, § 4-115.2	Public information.	Name, address, party affiliation.	Full or partial SSN, DL number, voter registration application.	Not specified.	ACP participants, the judiciary, district attorneys, assistant district attorneys, Uniformed Services members, law enforcement personnel, correctional officers, persons who are protected by victim's protection orders, the spouses and dependents of the members of such classes.
Oregon O.R.S. §247.940, §247.945, §247.955	Political parties may receive a list for free. Any person may request a list for a fee.	Name, party affiliation, residence or mailing address and precinct name/number	Not specified.	Non-commercial purposes.	ACP participants
Pennsylvania 25 Pa. C.S.A. § 1207, 25 Pa. C.S.A. § 1403 et seq., 25 Pa. C.S.A. § 1325, 23 Pa. C.S.A. § 6703	Subject to public inspection during ordinary business hours. Street lists (names and addresses of all registered electors) shall be distributed to political parties and candidates upon request. Copies are available to any registered elector of the Commonwealth for a fee.	Name, address, DOB, voting history, voting district.	Digitized or electronic signatures or statewide voter registration number. The agency where a voter registered.	Non-commercial purposes. The list may not be used for purposes unrelated to elections, political activities or law enforcement.	ACP participants

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
New Mexico N.M. Stat. Ann. §1-4-5.5, § 1-4-50	Provided upon written request. Individuals who may request the data is not specified.	Voter data (selected information derived from the voter file), mailing labels (prepared mailing labels of selected voters arranged in order and containing only the name and address of voter), or special voter list (list of selected voters arranged in order requested).	SSN, codes used to identify agencies where voters have registered, a voter's day and month of birth or voters' telephone numbers if prohibited by voters.	Governmental or election and election campaign purposes only. Unlawful use of lists is a 4 th degree felony.	ACP participants
New York McKinney's Election Law §3-103, §5-508, §5-602 et seq.	After the close of registration, the board of elections publishes a complete list of names and residence addresses of the registered voters for each election district and makes these available to public inspection and makes copies available to be purchased.	Name, residence address and party enrollment.	Not specified.	Information contained within the statewide voter registration list shall not be used for non-election purposes.	ACP participants (victims of domestic violence and members of their family or household)

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Minnesota M.S.A. §201.091 § 5B.06	A public information list is made available for public inspection. Any registered voter in Minnesota may receive a copy of the voter list.	Name, address, year of birth, voting history, phone number, voting district.	DOB, SSN, DL number, ID number, Military ID, Passport number.	Only for elections, political activities, or law enforcement.	ACP participants
Mississippi Miss. Admin. Code 1-10-7.2 Miss. Code Ann. § 99-47-1	Available to any person in accordance with the Public Records Act.	Full names, residence address, mailing address, registration date, voter status, last vote date, district, voting history with party code.	SSN, DOB, age, DL number, phone numbers, e-mail addresses, fax numbers, voter number assigned by the county, custom fields, last jury date, registration source.	Non-commercial uses.	ACP participants
Missouri V.A.M.S. 115.157 115.158	May be requested by any member of the public. All candidates and political committees are entitled to receive the list in even-numbered years. All requestors are subject to fees.	Names, DOB, unique voter identification numbers, addresses, townships or wards, precincts, voting history.	Not specified.	Non-commercial uses.	ACP participants; any person working as an undercover officer of a law enforcement agency; persons in witness protection programs.
Montana MCA 13-2-115, 13-2-122 Mont. Admin. R. 44.3.1102	Any individual may request a copy of the official precinct registers, a current list of legally registered electors, mailing labels for registered electors, or other available extracts and reports for a fee.	Information can be customized for requestor.	DL, whole or partial SSN, address information for protected individuals.	Non-commercial use.	ACP participants, law enforcement officers

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Louisiana LSA-R.S. 18:154	Voter lists are open records and available for inspection at local election official offices. 25 or more qualified voters may request a copy of the list in writing.	Voter registration records, except for the confidential information.	SSN, DL number, day and month of birth, mother's maiden name, e-mail address, SMS number, the fact that a registered voter is entitled to assistance in voting, an individual's declination to register to vote, information relating to the specific public assistance agency or motor vehicle office through which a particular individual registered to vote.	Non-commercial use.	ACP participants; pre-registrants; and law enforcement officers if the officer is engaging in hazardous activities to the extent that it is necessary for his name and address to be kept confidential.
Maine 21-A M.R.S.A. §196-A 5-A M.R.S.A. § 90-B	Political parties, organizations engaged in "get out the vote" efforts, current office-holders, governmental or quasi-government entities for authorized activities, a law enforcement officer or agency that makes a written request for bona fide law enforcement purposes.	Voter's name, residence address, mailing address, DOB, enrollment status, electoral districts, voter status, date of registration, date of change of the vote record, voter participation history, voter record number and any special designations (UOCAVA voter, for example).	Not specified.	May only be used for purposes directly related to policy party activities, "get out the vote" efforts, or other activities directly related to a campaign.	ACP participants

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Indiana Ind. Code §3-7-26.4-6, §3-7-26.4-8, §3-7-26.3-21, §3-7-26.4-10, §5-26.5-2-5	Political parties, independent candidates, a member of the media for publication in a news broadcast or newspaper, the chief justice of the supreme court and clerks of U.S. district courts for administering the jury management system, the speaker and minority leader of the house of representatives, the president pro tempore and the minority leader of the senate.	Information contained within the statewide registration list.	DOB, SSN, DL number, gender, phone number, e-mail address, voting history, voter registration ID number, date of registration.	Non-commercial purposes. Political activities only.	ACP participants
Iowa Iowa Code §48A.38 §48A.39 § 9E.6	May be requested by anyone, upon payment of the cost of preparation.	Registration data specified by the list purchaser.	Whole or partial SSN, voter ID number assigned by the state, DL number.	Non-commercial purposes. Specifically, only to request the registrant's vote at an election, or for another genuine political purpose, or for a bona fide official purpose by an elected official, or for bona fide political research, but shall not be used for any commercial purposes.	ACP participants

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Delaware 15 Del. C. §304, §1305, §1303	Political parties, candidates, any state agency, county or local government for use in conducting government business may request voter lists for free. Others may request lists for a fee. Registration records are open to inspection by the public.	Political parties, candidates and the public may receive voter names, addresses, political party affiliations, voting history, legislative district information, telephone numbers and years of birth. Members of the General Assembly and government agencies may receive full DOB in addition to the information above.	SSN, DOB, DL number, and telephone number are not subject to public inspection. Full DOB is only provided to certain voter list requesters.	Not specified.	ACP participants; any person may petition for a court order to have their address kept confidential upon showing a legitimate need.
District of Columbia 3 DCMR § 510 DC B 37, Act 337	Any person may receive a voter list upon request.	Party affiliation, date of registration, ward, precinct, voter history, or any other permissible category. Signatures are available for the public to view but not to copy or trace.	Any part of SSN, DOB, email addresses, phone numbers, identify of voter registration agency where voter registered.	Not specified.	ACP participants. Any person's address may be kept confidential upon presentation of a copy of a court order to the registrar directing the confidentiality of the qualified elector's address.
Florida F.S.A. §97.0585, §741.406	Available to the public.	Voter data is available to the public, except for what is listed as confidential.	SSN, DOB, DL number, voter registration number, declinations to register to vote made at the DMV, information on when and where the person registered. Voter signatures may not be copied as part of an open records request. Information regarding an applicant's prior felony conviction.	Not specified.	ACP participants, pre-registrants

SSN = Social Security Number

State-by-State Table: State Laws on Access to and Use of Voter Registration Lists

State	Who can request the voter file?	What information does the file contain?	What is kept confidential?	What can the file be used for?	Programs to keep voter information confidential?
Alabama Ala. Code § 17-3-53, § 17-4-33	Political parties are provided with a state list, and counties may choose to make information available to others.	All voter registration information, except what is confidential.	SSN, month and day of birth.	Not specified.	Residential address is kept confidential if a voter submits an affirmation that the voter is a victim of domestic violence.
Alaska AS §15.07.127, §15.07.195	Anyone can request a copy of the list or an electronic format.	Names, addresses, party affiliation.	Age or DOB, any part of SSN, DL number, voter ID number, place of birth, signature.	Not specified.	A voter may elect in writing to keep the voter's residential address confidential if the voter provides a separate mailing address.
Arizona A.R.S. § 16-168, § 41-166, § 16-153	Political parties are provided with a list. The list is also available for public inspection at local election offices.	Full name, party preference, date of registration, residence address, mailing address, zip code, phone number, birth year, occupation, voting history, early voting information.	Birth month and day, any part of SSN, DL number, Indian census number, father's name or mother's maiden name, state or country of birth, signature, e-mail address.	Non-commercial purposes. Only for purposes relating to a political or political party activity, a campaign or election, for revising election district boundaries. A person in possession of information derived from voter registration forms or precinct registers shall not distribute, post or otherwise provide access to any portion of that information through the internet.	ACP participants, law enforcement officers.
Arkansas A.C.A. § 7-5-109	Anyone can request a list for a fee.	Names, addresses and precinct numbers.	Not specified.	Not specified.	ACP participants.



Domestic Violence

HOMICIDE

- 1 in 3 female murder victims and 1 in 20 male murder victims are killed by intimate partners.¹⁶
- A study of intimate partner homicides found 20% of victims were family members or friends of the abused partner, neighbors, persons who intervened, law enforcement responders, or bystanders.¹⁷
- 72% of all murder-suicides are perpetrated by intimate partners.¹⁸
- 94% of murder-suicide victims are female.¹⁹

PHYSICAL/MENTAL EFFECTS

- Victims of intimate partner violence are at increased risk of contracting HIV or other STI's due to forced intercourse and/or prolonged exposure to stress.²⁰
- Intimate partner victimization is correlated with a higher rate of depression and suicidal behavior.²¹
- Only 34% of people who are injured by intimate partners receive medical care for their injuries.²²

ECONOMIC EFFECTS

- Victims of intimate partner violence lose a total of 8,000,000 million days of paid work each year, the equivalent of 32,000 full-time jobs.²³
- Intimate partner violence is estimated to cost the US economy between \$5.8 billion and \$12.6 billion annually, up to 0.125% of the national gross domestic product.²⁴
- Between 21-60% of victims of intimate partner violence lose their jobs due to reasons stemming from the abuse.²⁵
- Between 2003 and 2008, 142 women were murdered in their workplace by former or current intimate partners. This amounts to 22% of workplace homicides among women.²⁶

¹ Black, M.C., Basile, K.C., Breiding, M.J., Smith, S.G., Walters, M.L., Merrick, M.T., Chen, J. & Stevens, M. (2011). *The national intimate partner and sexual violence survey: 2010 summary report*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/nisvs_report2010-a.pdf.

² National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Infographic based on data from the national intimate partner and sexual violence survey (nisvs): 2010-2012 state report*. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/NISVS-infographic-2016.pdf>

³ Black, M.C., Basile, K.C., Breiding, M.J., Smith, S.G., Walters, M.L., Merrick, M.T., Chen, J. & Stevens, M. (2011). *The national intimate partner and sexual violence survey: 2010 summary report*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/nisvs_report2010-a.pdf.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ National Network to End Domestic Violence (2017). *Domestic violence counts national summary*. Retrieved from https://nnedv.org/mdocs-posts/census_2016_handout_national-summary/.

⁸ Campbell, J.C., Webster, D., Koziol-McLain, J., Block, C., Campbell, D., Curry, M. A., Gary, F., Glass, N., McFarlane, J., Sachs, C., Sharps, P., Ulrich, Y., Wilt, S., Manganello, J., Xu, X., Schollenberger, J., Frye, V. & Lauphon, K. (2003). Risk factors for femicide in abusive relationships: Results from a multisite case control study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(7), 1089-1097.

⁹ Truman, J. L. & Morgan, R. E. (2014). *Nonfatal domestic violence, 2003-2012*. Retrieved from <http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/ndv0312.pdf>.

¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹ Ibid.

¹² Black, M.C., Basile, K.C., Breiding, M.J., Smith, S.G., Walters, M.L., Merrick, M.T., Chen, J. & Stevens, M. (2011). *The national intimate partner and sexual violence survey: 2010 summary report*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/nisvs_report2010-a.pdf.

¹³ Ibid.

¹⁴ Ibid.

If you are in crisis, contact The National Domestic Violence Hotline at 1-800-799-SAFE (7233) or www.TheHotline.org.

Please visit the National Coalition Against Domestic Violence's website at www.ncadv.org for more fact sheets, membership information, and valuable resources.

Attached is the list of bills we'll discuss Thursday morning.

Don't forget to attend/call into the 10 AM UAC Legislative Committee Meeting.

Thanks!

R.

--

Ezekiel Lee

Legislative Affairs Manager

Utah Association of Counties

5397 S Vine St, Murray, UT 84107

Office: 801-265-1331

52 yet been counted.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Legislative Automated Email System

<boyd@le.utah.gov>

Date: Fri, Jan 15, 2021 at 5:28 PM

Subject: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB0173

To: Maddy Orritt <moritt@le.utah.gov>, Duncan Evans <devans@utah.gov>, Evan Curtis <ecurtis@utah.gov>,
zeke@uacnet.org <zeke@uacnet.org>,
ggopez@agutah.gov <ggopez@agutah.gov>,
jbennett@utah.gov <jbennett@utah.gov>,
justinlee@utah.gov <justinlee@utah.gov>,
keilers@ulct.org <keilers@ulct.org>,
kbschmeling@agutah.gov <kbschmeling@agutah.gov>,
lshafer@utah.gov <lshafer@utah.gov>, Lincoln Shurtz <lincoln@uacnet.org>, Phil Dean
<phildean@utah.gov>,
randy.hillier@slcgov.com <randy.hillier@slcgov.com>,
sandee.moore@slcgov.com <sandee.moore@slcgov.com>,
wbradshaw@ulct.org <wbradshaw@ulct.org>

I'm guessing he wants to know how many ballots have passed vote history and sig ver, but that have not yet been scanned and tabulated. If that's the case, would you see any measurable additional costs to obtain and publish these numbers? If so, how much?

Also wondering if we should ask him if we can amend to have this be a best estimate.

Thanks,

R.

From: Zeke Lee <zeke@uacnet.org>

Sent: Friday, January 15, 2021 5:41 PM

To: Hatch, Ricky

Cc: Curtis Koch; Lincoln Shurtz

Subject: [EXTERNAL]Fwd: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB0173