

NOTICE OF PRIVILEGE/CONFIDENTIALITY: This electronic communication (including any attachments) may be privileged and/or confidential. This electronic communication is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure of this electronic communication is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender, through a reply electronic communication, and immediately delete this electronic communication.

On Fri, Feb 5, 2021 at 8:06 AM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

Thanks Curtis - I agree with you.

My asking the question, I didn't mean to imply that we abandon the argument, or that it was a temporary strategy. I just meant that if they address that concern, how do we best communicate the other concerns we have with RCV implementation? Trying to think ahead so we're prepared with a consistent voice.

From: Curtis Koch <ckoch@co.davis.ut.us>

Sent: Friday, February 5, 2021 2:35:26 AM

To: Hatch,Ricky

Cc: Brian McKenzie; Cowley,Ryan; Justin Lee; Lincoln Shurtz; Marilyn Gillette; Marla Young; Sherrie Swensen; Zeke Lee; hunter@uacnet.org

Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re: rep. teuscher's voter affiliation bill update

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

Consistency is what we have asked for as election administrators. We should hold to that. If the Legislature, Governor and Lieutenant Governor are committed to full implementation of RCV for all elected offices in the State, then the Clerks must be fully committed. This wasn't just a strategy to avoid RCV, it was a commitment. The Clerks have always been committed to administering fair, transparent, and accessible elections. Under RCV, full implementation gives us the best opportunity to do that. Naturally, we remain consistent in our belief that RCV is an extremely bad idea with significant administrative challenges and the potential for increased voter confusion. If RCV is to be forced upon the State, full implementation is the way to go for everyone's sake.

Good ideas grow organically, just like vote by mail did from 2012 to 2020. VBM never required legislative mandates in order for it to take root in the State. Interesting, that after a "successful" pilot, the only way RCV moves forward is through legislative mandates. Perhaps this is the best indication of how good of an idea RCV is.

On Thu, Feb 4, 2021 at 9:35 PM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

**Subject: 2020 Democratic Primary Results -
San Juan County**

Dear Mr. Nielson,

We tried calling your office all weekend and could not leave a message. Why?

When will the votes finally be counted in San Juan County? You have a population of 16,000 people. Why is it taking so long?

Our town that I live in has a larger population than your entire county and we count our votes in a matter of hours. Why is it taking your county DAYS?

Our next step will be to come down there to demand answers if you don't respond.

Please advise.

Thank you,

Andrew Russell

--
John David Nielson
San Juan County Clerk/Auditor
435.587.3223

--
John David Nielson
San Juan County Clerk/Auditor
435.587.3223

--
John David Nielson
San Juan County Clerk/Auditor
435.587.3223

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

These are certainly very strange. I can't find anything on this group. I forwarded this to our election security group to get their take.

Justin

On Mar 10, 2020, at 5:13 PM, Nielson, John David <jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org> wrote:

Good Evening,

Has anyone heard of this organization? Are they people with whom I should be talking to? Has anyone else been approached by them?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Andrew Aristeo Russell** <aar417@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 10, 2020 at 5:00 PM

Subject: Re: 2020 Democratic Primary Results - San Juan County

To: Nielson, John David <jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org>

Mr. Nielson:

I'm referring to myself and several other members of our nonpartisan nonprofit organization, ClearVoteUSA, which advocates for legislation and regulation that promotes accuracy, transparency and verifiability of elections. We are targeting your county and several others in rural parts of the country. "

In attendance will be three computer scientists, a statistician, two election integrity activists, and an investigative journalist. We intend to have several San Juan voters present as well, if we're able.

It is our understanding that your 136 precincts in San Juan County utilize the ES&S ExpressVote and DS200 systems. We are interested in the vulnerability of these systems, the majority of which we believe are new for 2020. We would like to discuss with you and your staff potential programming that might have occurred with the central tabulators on the night of the election.

Please advise of a suitable day/time for us to visit.

Thank you,

Andrew Russell

On Mar 10, 2020, at 6:31 PM, Nielson, John David
<jdnielson@sanjuancounty.org> wrote:

When you say we. Who are you referring to? What organization are you with?
Are you visiting other counties? What lead you to select San Juan County?

State/territory/county	
2. Provide a brief description of the project/program/plan and its objectives.	
3. How does the project/program/plan contribute to the achievement of the organization's mission and vision?	
4. What are the expected outcomes and benefits of the project/program/plan?	
5. How will the project/program/plan be evaluated and monitored?	
6. What are the risks and challenges associated with the project/program/plan?	
7. How will the project/program/plan be funded and resourced?	

Trying to expand number of people who qualify which seems like a policy-decision we wouldn't get in to; Proration language removed.

Davis Co asked for this bill

Sheriffs and Attorneys have been working on this bill for 2 years. Sponsor will amend to make victim's "withheld"

Related to Hideout and West Weber situations. There will be some amendments. Fixes a few problems w/current process.

Some legal questions surrounding forcing the sponsors to send an email. Might be considered "forced speech," which is unconstitutional.

Deals mostly w/state candidates.

UAC strongly opposes & is negotiating. Has created a one-page flier. Not a tax shift, but it's a tax loss. Questions about de minimus.

SLCo working on this bill with sponsor. More of a USACCC issue.

Will amend to delay STAR voting part. We don't like pilots, but this supports our idea of looking at other options.

Similar to HB312. might go away in favor of HB312.

	Carl Albrecht	2/22: Assigned to Sen Gov Ops 2/4: Passed House unanim 1/28: House Gov Ops favorable recomm (9-0-2)
Support	Michael Kennedy	2/24: Senate introduced
Neutral	Jordan Teuscher	2/22: Sen Gov Ops Favorable Recomm (6-2) 2/16: Senate introduced 2/15: Fiscal note available 2/12: House passed (41-30-4) 2/5: House Gov Ops favorable recomm (7-3-1)
Neutral	Jordan Teuscher	2/22: Sen Gov Ops favorable recomm 2/17: Passed House unanim 2/10: House Gov Ops favorable recomm (8-0-3)
Neutral	Daniel McCay	2/23: Senate circled 2/22: Sen Rev Tax favorable recomm (7-2) 2/17: Senate introduced
Mild support	Wayne Harper	2/23: House Pol Subdiv favorable recomm 2/17: Passed Senate 2/1: Senate comm favorable recomm (7-0-1)
Neutral	Craig Hall	2/22: Sen Gov Ops favorable recomm 2/4: House passed (70-0-5) 1/28: House Gov Ops favorable recomm unanim

C/A Position	Sponsor	Current Status
Support	Melissa Ballard	House Rules
Oppose	Jeffrey Stenquist	Passed House, now in Senate Rules
Oppose	Mike Winder	House Rules. Probably won't be introduced.
Oppose	Steve Christiansen	Senate Rules - passed House
Oppose	Joel Briscoe	House Floor, #16 in line - will probably be considered on Friday.
Support	Karen Mayne	Passed Senate. Now in House Gov Ops.
Support	Craig Hall	House introduced
Neutral if amended	Lincoln Fillmore	Passed Senate unanim; House introduced
Oppose	Francis Gibson	2/26: Passed House 2/9: House circled 2/2: House Gov Ops favorable recomment unanim
Oppose	Wayne Harper	2/23: Senate passed (22-5-2); fiscal note available 2/18: Sen Gov Ops favorable recomment 1/19: Senate introduced; Assigned to Gov Ops
Support	Dan Johnson	Passed House. On Senate Floor
Support	Brian King	1/22: Fiscal note available 1/19: House introduced
Oppose	Travis Seegmiller	2/5: Fiscal note available 2/1: House introduced
Oppose	Mark Strong	2/19: Assigned to House Political Subdivisions 2/18: Fiscal note available 2/10: House introduced

<u>SB26S02</u>	Property Tax Relief Amendments	Circuit Breaker changes. Links statutory income requirements to CPI.
<u>SB104S02</u>	Tax Levy for Animal Control	County Animal Control Amendments for 2nd-5th class counties.
<u>SB73S04</u>	Vehicle Registration Fee Amendments	Prohibits collecting the uniform fee more than once per year on leased vehicle purchase by leasee
<u>HB104</u>	Victim Address Confidentiality Program	Creates a state program that protects the actual residential address of a victim of a crime. Elections would need to correspond with the "Assigned Address"
<u>HB115S03</u>	Municipal Boundary Modifications	Establishes a procedure for filing a cross-county annexation petition; requires notice & approval if annexation affects other counties. Requires a VIP.
<u>HB136S01</u>	Initiative and Referenda Modifications	Signature gatherers paid hourly, not per signature; modifies signature packets; requires sponsor to email to individual who signs a petition; requires LG or clerk to post info on website regarding an initiative or referendum (county clerk contact information for signature removal)
<u>HCR11S02</u>	Recogn Clerks & Election Workers for 2020 Election	Recognizes Utah's increase in voter turnout in the 2020 election; and recognizes the invaluable function that election workers provide to Utah
<u>HB310</u>	Campaign Finance Modifications	Clarifies what a campaign expenditure is; modifies financial reporting deadlines; modifies certain penalties for person who violates campaign & political advertisement provisions
<u>SB18S04</u>	Property Tax Exemption Amendments	Raises exemption amount on personal property from \$15K to \$25K. Sub would exclude accounts under \$25K. Full tax if >\$25K. Would prevent this from being revisited each year.
<u>HB256S02</u>	County Land Use and Development Amendments	Prohibits 1st Cl. county from entering into develop. agreement requiring incorporation or annexation of unincorporated area; modifies requirements for county to approve expansion area urban develop.
<u>SB121</u>	National Popular Vote Compact	Provides that presidential electors are elected based on candidate who receives the most popular votes nationally; enacts an agreement among states to elect the U.S. president and VP by national popular vote; provides certain procedures for election officials; provides conditions for the agreement to take effect
<u>HB174</u>	Municipal Instant Runoff Voting Amendments	Add Approval voting & STAR voting to RCV pilot.
<u>HB315</u>	Candidacy Amendments	A statement made in a declaration of candidacy is subject to the penalties of perjury; extends the deadline for challenging a declaration of candidacy.

Michelle Blue

From: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2021 11:11 AM
To: alainal@juabcounty.com; bacrowther@sevier.utah.gov; bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us; bpeart@richcountyut.org; braymond@daggettcounty.org; BrendaT@emery.utah.gov; bryan@uacnet.org; camille.moore@garfield.utah.gov; ckoch@co.davis.ut.us; clerkkj@kane.utah.gov; cyingling@utah.gov; datapro@manti.com; dianna.schaeffer@cachecounty.org; djessen@ironcounty.net; Intern,Elections; ginger McMullin@beaver.utah.gov; jdnilson@sanjuancounty.org; jevans@duchesne.utah.gov; JGranger@wasatch.utah.gov; jjhansen@utah.gov; justin.anderson@cachecounty.org; justinlee@utah.gov; jwhittaker@ironcounty.net; kgleave@piute.utah.gov; kim.hafen@washco.utah.gov; krobinson@summitcounty.org; Lannie Chapman; lori.perez@carbon.utah.gov; lshafer@utah.gov; Taylor,Lynn; markm@utah.gov; mcrook@wasatch.utah.gov; melanie.abplanalp@washco.utah.gov; mgillette@tooeleco.org; mhoward@summitcounty.org; mjackson@co.davis.ut.us; mrowley@co.millard.ut.us; mwilkins@uintah.utah.gov; myoung@boxeldercounty.org; Cowley,Ryan; rjudd@summitcounty.org; rwheeler@utah.gov; ryan@wayne.utah.gov; scwall@sevier.utah.gov; seth.marsing@carbon.utah.gov; slafitte@morgan-county.net; slyon@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Stephen Moore; sneill@sanpetecountyutah.gov; Sherrie Swensen; Scott Tingley; Stuart Tsai; swilkerson@morgan-county.net; tduncan@uintah.utah.gov; tlake@morgan-county.net; tmcMullin@beaver.utah.gov; wmcknight@wasatch.utah.gov; lincoln@uacnet.org; zeke@uacnet.org; Lindsey Parkinson; qhall@grandcountyutah.net; 'Shaneal Bess'; Brandy Grace hunter@uacnet.org
Cc:
Subject: Bills to watch / please consider contacting your legislators
Attachments: Bills-2021-final week.xlsx
Importance: High

We're down to the last week and have a fair amount of bills still out there. Please take a look at the attaches spreadsheet of bill, but especially the bills below, and if there is a bill that you're passionate about, contact your representative(s) in the appropriate chamber.

The bills below would especially benefit from our specific attention, but there are several other bills that we should watch and advocate for. They're in the spreadsheet.

Bill #	Title	What Bill Does	C/A Position	Sponsor
HB406	Marriage Revisions	Extends marriage license life to 32 days; Clarifies language for the form & documentation requirements for a minor's marriage.	Support	Melissa Ballard
HB75S01	Municipal Alternative Voting Methods Pilot Project Amendments	Forces a county to administer a municipality's RCV election.	Oppose	Jeffrey Stenquist
HB127	Ranked Choice Voting Amendments	Requires RCV for primary elections.	Oppose	Mike Winder
HB246S03	Ballot Measure Transparency Amendments	Requires a reference to a URL at beginning of Initiatives/Amendments section.	Oppose	Chris Lise

From: Derek Brenchley <dbrenchley@utah.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2020 9:12 AM

Cc: Sherrie Swensen <SSwensen@slco.org>; Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>; Lannie Chapman <LKChapman@slco.org>; Brian McKenzie <bmckenzie@co.davis.ut.us>; Rozan Mitchell <RozanMit@utahcounty.gov>; Cowley, Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; Jon Whittaker <jwhittaker@ironcounty.net>; Marla Young <myoung@boxeldercounty.org>; Marilyn Gillette <mgillette@tooeleco.org>; Justin Lee (Google Drive) <justinlee@utah.gov>

Subject: Data on Drive-Up Locations

Good morning,

We are trying to collect some data on drive-up locations. If you can give us data (estimates are fine), we would appreciate it if you could let us know:

- The number of individuals who used drive-up voting locations in your county.
- The breakdown of provisional ballots by the reason it was issued:
 - Address changes.
 - Mail ballot was not returned by voter / received by voter.
 - Individual was not registered to vote.
 - Any other reason(s)

Again, estimates -- or even guesstimates -- are fine. Thank you again!

Best,

Derek Brenchley

--

Deputy Director of Elections

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office

801-538-1746

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

DATE OF ACQUISITION
BY
FROM
COST

19

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

Right now we're looking at filming this Wednesday afternoon, around 3 PM. However, there's plenty of flexibility, as I just learned that RCV will likely NOT be on the Sept. 15 Gov Ops Interim Committee agenda. I think it might be nice to air the episode right before Winder's bill is heard in committee (probably October).

If we decided to film Wednesday, would you be willing/available? We would film at the UAC offices in Murray.

Thanks,
R.

--
Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#WinninginWeber

From: Derek Dowsett <derek@thecountyseat.tv>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 2:36 PM
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>
Cc: ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov; Lincoln Shurtz (lincoln@uacnet.org) <lincoln@uacnet.org>; Sasha Clark <sasha@thediciogroup.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re: Possible County Seat about Ranked Choice Voting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. Think Before You Click!

Ricky,

We'd love to cover the topic and get it on the air ASAP. When could we get together to record that program? Would it make sense to include that other point of view so we can point out the issues their proposal creates for clerks?

I also wanted to get an update mail in voting program recorded since there still seems to be a lot of misinformation about it's reliability. I think we would reair the story I did with Jon in Iron County to take people through the process.

We could shoot something as soon as this week or Sept. 1st or 2nd the following week. Please let me know what you would like to do.

Thanks,
Derek Dowsett

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020, 8:43 AM Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us> wrote:

<image007.png> **Sherrie Swensen**

Salt Lake County Clerk

sswensen@slco.org

385-468-7370

[<image008.png>](#)

[<image009.jpg>](#)

[SLCO Clerk Website](#)

The first of these is the fact that the
the first of these is the fact that the
the first of these is the fact that the
the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

the first of these is the fact that the

our voting machines being easily manipulated. I think he must have been reading some rhetoric on the Internet and it probably wasn't even about our specific voting equipment.

Part of the discussion with the South Jordan Council that seemed to impact their decision as to whether or not to have us conduct their 2015 municipal election by mail, was whether or not we will be moving toward vote by mail next year. We don't know yet, but if we offer our County Council a proposal to conduct our 2016 elections by mail, we will do so with including a number of vote centers and early voting where we will use our voting machines.

We are planning to use our DREs next year and the following year and possibly through 2020. We don't know how many of our machines we will need to deploy and that depends on the County Council's decision to move toward vote-by-mail in conjunction with vote centers. As you know, we purchased three high speed scanners and upgraded our software last year to extend the life of our voting system.

I hope this helps explain the reason Anna West is asking for this type of letter. Thanks and if you have any questions, please let me know.

Sherrie Swensen

From: Justin Lee [mailto:justinlee@utah.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 10:06 AM
To: Anna West
Cc: Rozan Mitchell; Sherrie Swensen
Subject: Re:

Anna,

Our office can certainly prepare a letter. The general gist of the letter will be that the county would be a much better resource for information about the life expectancy of their machines since they maintain and deploy the machines. From what Salt Lake County has told us the machines will likely be able to be used for the next 2 or 3 elections.

5. Discussion of bills (45 mins)
6. Other

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: [801.399.8613](tel:801.399.8613) | M: [801.668.0909](tel:801.668.0909)
#WinninginWeber

-Our office will certify all races for the June 30 primary on April 29 except the Republican Governor's race. We will certify this race on May 6.

-The judge has waived the 45 day UOCAVA deadline. Instead of transmitting ballots on May 15, ballots will be transmitted no later than May 22.

We will forward the ruling from the judge as soon as we have it. Thank you again for all of your dedication and patience as we work through all of these pandemic related issues.

Best,
Justin

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utah.gov

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://urlprotection-sjl.global.sonicwall.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202004280044170170718&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=29686DD2BD0E384B9648846C70A37A60&TT=1588034667337&ESN=qaSb4e77N4yLaSlmqFp0rQI3Cahz7HaaPrFr0sUdSn8%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fgroups.google.com%2Fa%2Futah.gov%2Fd%2Fmsgid%2Fvsug%2FCAM_AU5zmYAXE0Goe-GVWJiVd8JQvmRoLTebZakK-K0-cF1_LZg%2540mail.gmail.com&HK=6EFBF0B1F3DC430DC21B437A3ABFDACC823A3F018726A3534C099C1631581F51.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "vsug@utah.gov" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
vsug+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

To view this discussion on the web visit
<https://groups.google.com/a/utah.gov/d/msgid/vsug/94800754dd7f4c51ba19f191a32afc33%40co.weber.ut.us>.

I am good with the change.

Rozan

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 5:04:04 PM
To: Cowley,Ryan <rcowley@co.weber.ut.us>; ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov <ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov>; bmckenzie@daviscountyutah.gov <bmckenzie@daviscountyutah.gov>; Sherrie Swensen <sswensen@slco.org>; Pam Tueller <PTueller@slco.org>; Amelia Powers Gardner <AmeliaP@utahcounty.gov>; Rozan Mitchell <RozanMit@utahcounty.gov>
Cc: justinlee@utah.gov <justinlee@utah.gov>
Subject: disability language

Instead of the "reasonable notice" language for ADA voters in the bill, are you OK with this language?

The Disability Law Center doesn't like the reasonable notice language. Threatening to sue (are we surprised?).

Thanks,

R.

--

Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#WinninginWeber

From: Tom Vaughn <TomVaughn@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 3:14 PM
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatch@co.weber.ut.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]disability language

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. Think Before You Click!

We could replace the current disability language in the bill with something like:

- (iii) An election officer shall:
 - (A) provide a method of accessible voting to a voter with a disability who is not able to vote by mail; and
 - (B) include, on the election officer's website and with each ballot mailed, instructions regarding how a voter described in Subsection (6)(a)(iii)(A) may vote.

<image002.jpg>

Attached is the list of bills that we are tracking, and that we'll discuss on the Friday 10 AM. They are shown in the order in which we'll discuss them. I'm sure we won't get to all of them, but the most important/urgent ones are at the beginning.

You can click on the link to the left to see the actual bill text. I've pre-filled in the preliminary suggested position, but please know that none of the positions are set in stone – these are just suggested opinions based on feedback from just a few people.

I'll provide the conference call number and details in the morning.

Thanks,

R.

--

Ricky D. Hatch, CPA
Weber County Clerk/Auditor
Office – 801.399.8613
Mobile – 801.668.0909

#####

Be the Human Firewall!

To prevent malicious software and viruses, NEVER open files
or click on links from unexpected or unknown sources.

Think Before You Click!

#####

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "VSUG Leg" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
vsugleg+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "VSUG Leg" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
vsugleg+unsubscribe@utah.gov.

Justin Lee
Director of Elections
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
State of Utah
801.538.1129
justinlee@utahgov

On Fri, Jun 5, 2020 at 10:27 AM Sheila Canavan <canavansheila@citlink.net> wrote:

Dear Mr Lee,

I am reaching out to you on a matter to be heard by the State Records Committee on Thursday, June 11th at 11 a.m. at the suggestion of County Clerk Sherri Swensen. I am the Petitioner in the matter. I am reaching out because I am concerned that the decision will set a precedent on a matter that may be of interest or concern to the Election Office and thought that the Election Office might want to review the submissions and consider submitting a letter to the State Records Committee before the Hearing. Additionally, the Election Office has been expressly referenced in both the Petition and the County's response. Please note that the GRAMA denial was made before 63G-2-305 was revised (and 305.5) was enacted effective May 12, 2020, although I do not believe that the revised statute provides a lawful basis for San Juan County to classify residential addresses provided on a local referendum signature sheet as private.

I attach for your convenience the County Administrator's Denial Letter, my Petition to the State Records Committee, the County's Response to the Petition and an email exchange that references San Juan County Attorney Kendall Laws Jr., Deputy Attorney Alex Goble and yourself regarding the redaction issue.

<https://urlprotection->

[sjl.global.sonicwall.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202006091210520049084&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=E307E4CC7CA9513BF2343CC3602300DB&TT=1591704653878&ESN=VzZu8WwkaOCJQn9CSbcFwwYJ%2B7W%2Fz4Lm160y%2F6PRbu8%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.utah.gov%2Fpmn%2Fsitemap%2Fnotice%2F607757.html&HK=7A254B874F975AE504621BF4055F71A99E4C3750DCF7135B8AFAF6F3D1D3645](https://urlprotection-sjl.global.sonicwall.com/click?PV=1&MSGID=202006091210520049084&URLID=1&ESV=10.0.6.3447&IV=E307E4CC7CA9513BF2343CC3602300DB&TT=1591704653878&ESN=VzZu8WwkaOCJQn9CSbcFwwYJ%2B7W%2Fz4Lm160y%2F6PRbu8%3D&KV=1536961729279&ENCODED_URL=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.utah.gov%2Fpmn%2Fsitemap%2Fnotice%2F607757.html&HK=7A254B874F975AE504621BF4055F71A99E4C3750DCF7135B8AFAF6F3D1D3645)

If your office submits a letter, please kindly copy San Juan County Attorney Kendall Laws Jr. and myself.

Thank you very much. Sheila Canavan

Modifies language regarding preparing ballots for counting	This updates language for counting ballots at the polls and at the counting center, it removes old terminology and establishes updated procedures for transferring ballots to the counting center (current practices)	20a-4-101,102,103,
Modifies storing procedures of ballots after the canvass	Provides for general instructions to keep the ballots in storage containers which identify the content, removes "string" requirement and requirement to seal the containers	20a-4-106
Modifies procedures for Board of Canvass	This brings statute up to date with current practice. The election officer counts the ballots and prepares certified summary reports for the Board of Canvass. Also makes available election returns to the board if requested. Removes requirement for the Board to open the election returns or review/count ballots	20a-4-403
Voting instructions	Requires instructions to be provided in English and any other language as required by the Voting Rights Act	20a-5-102
Ballot Drop Boxes	This new section requires the election officer to make notice of the drop boxes similar to what we do for polling locations	20a-5-403.5
Modifies content of Official Register	This is needed to update the form of the Official register to accommodate electronic poll books	20a-5-401
Election Forms	Removes specific names from the form, requires the election officer to provide forms to track security seals, counted ballots etc.	20a-5-404
Providing Ballots	Removes terminology of replacement ballots and updates code to modern practices	20a-5-405, 406
Appointment of Poll Workers	Establishes process and procedure for appointing poll workers for two different types of elections 1. Partisan – requires a minimum of 3 poll workers with the majority party having the majority number of poll workers 2. Non Partisan – requires a minimum of 3 poll workers and political affiliation is not applicable Removes the requirement of having the legislative body appointing the election workers.	20a-5-601,602
Poll Worker Titles	Allows for titles and duties as determined by the election officer	20a-5-605
Other General Updates	There are various definitions that are updated to conform with previous mentioned modifications. Terminology is updated thorough to remove outdated terminology.	

- a. Chrome extension <https://chrome.google.com/webstore/search/utah%20law%20formatting?h1=en>
- b. www.le.utah.gov Tracking tool - <https://le.utah.gov/tracking/tracking.jsp>
- c. App – Watch Utah Legislature Bills by NIC Inc.
3. Request reminder for help reviewing bills (1 min)
4. Discussion of group emails and email lists (5 mins) VSUG, VSUGLEG, Other
5. Discussion of bills (45 mins)
6. Other

Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO

Clerk/Auditor

Weber County

2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA

E: rhatch@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909

#WinninginWeber

Michelle Blue

From:
Sent:
To:

Subject:

Importance:

High

Hatch,Ricky <rhatc@co.weber.ut.us>
Wednesday, April 15, 2020 12:48 PM
Cowley,Ryan; ckoch@daviscountyutah.gov; bmckenzie@daviscountyutah.gov; Sherrie Swensen; Pam Tuelier; Ameliap@utahcounty.gov; RozanMit@utahcounty.gov; Lincoln Shurtz (lincoln@uacnet.org); justinlee@utah.gov
Input on Fiscal Note for HB3006

Please see the fiscal analyst's comments below.
I won't talk to him until at least 1:30. Would appreciate your thoughts.
'm guessing, based on his questions, the fiscal note on this bill will be zero – additional costs will be offset by savings of not having early voting & in-person voting.
Any cost info you can provide, even rough estimates, would be super helpful here!

--
Carpe Diem
Ricky Hatch, CPA, CPO
Clerk/Auditor
Weber County
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 320 | Ogden UT | 84401 USA
E: rhatc@WeberCountyUtah.gov | P: 801.399.8613 | M: 801.668.0909
#WinningInWeber

From: Alexander R. Wilson <awilson@le.utah.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 12:41 PM
To: Hatch,Ricky <rhatc@co.weber.ut.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]RE: FN: Input on Fiscal Note for HB3006

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Weber County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and are expecting the link or attachment. **Think Before You Click!**

Hi Ricky,
'm actually working on this one since it overlaps a bit with the Federal Funds issue that 've already been dealing with. 'm going to go eat lunch, but 'll probably call you after to discuss this response. If you have a chance to put some thoughts together before I call, that is great, but if you also need to lunch, feel free. We can just hash this out in about an hour.

So, 'm thinking about your response, and it seems reasonable, except that it is missing the fact that counties should be saving money because a lot of previous requirements are eliminated. So, here are my questions:

1. No early voting should almost certainly have savings, shouldn't it? I know that every time we do an early voting bill that adds or subtracts days we make an estimate in the fiscal note.
2. No polling places the day of the election?
3. Could eliminating registration through provisional ballots have a savings?
4. Do any deadline changes reduce the compression of workflow and result as a savings?

Dear Mr. [Name],
I am writing to you regarding the [Topic].
I have reviewed the [Document] and found it to be [Description].
I am pleased to hear that you are [Action].
I will be in touch again in the near future.

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

[Name]

[Address]

I am writing to you regarding the [Topic].
I have reviewed the [Document] and found it to be [Description].
I am pleased to hear that you are [Action].
I will be in touch again in the near future.

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

I am writing to you regarding the [Topic].
I have reviewed the [Document] and found it to be [Description].
I am pleased to hear that you are [Action].
I will be in touch again in the near future.

I am writing to you regarding the [Topic].
I have reviewed the [Document] and found it to be [Description].
I am pleased to hear that you are [Action].
I will be in touch again in the near future.

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

I am writing to you regarding the [Topic].
I have reviewed the [Document] and found it to be [Description].
I am pleased to hear that you are [Action].
I will be in touch again in the near future.

I am writing to you regarding the [Topic].
I have reviewed the [Document] and found it to be [Description].
I am pleased to hear that you are [Action].
I will be in touch again in the near future.