

Voter Registration Numbering: State of Wisconsin December 2, 2021

The voter registration data was downloaded from the WEC database on 8/19/2021 and contains 7,098,446 registered voters' name and assorted information.

Definition of Terms:

WEC = Wisconsin Election Commission

Voter ID: a unique data representation attached to each voter identity for the purposes of tracking that individual's address and other identifying information and election voting history. This is typically a primary key for a computer search of a database.

String: In this context, a string is any series of characters. A string can contain alpha/numeric characters, spaces or other characters on a keyboard, such as an asterisk or apostrophe.

WEC Numeric String Voter IDs

The objective of this review is to examine if WEC applies best practices for its voter data files that promote honesty, transparency and citizen confidence. Any citizen should be able to look at the voter registration files, understand them and peruse them with traditional, commonly used, inexpensive computer programs.

The voter registration information for any state uses a unique identifier for every voter. That representation is commonly the voter identification number otherwise called a voter ID.

The voter ID provided by WEC is a numeric string.

A numeric string is NOT a number.

A numeric string is a string where the only characters that are used in the string are numeric characters (the characters 0 – 9). Strings are commonly used by computers and the use of a string in this context is not an unusual choice. Strings are often indicated by surrounding them with double quotes.

This is a number: 1345

This is a string: "1345"

Best Practices

There are best practices for the implementation of numeric strings in the context of voter rolls or other similar tabular information.

WEC does not follow best practices and their voter ID numbering system is fraught with inconsistent voter ID identifier types, sequencing variances and other data in voter ID fields that are inconsistent with data best practices. Because of this lack of best practices, there is a lack of transparency using common data analysis tools.

If one chooses to use strings, there are two best practices.

1. Create a **variable width string** with no leading zeros

Examples look like this:

"9"
"10"
"14"
"1003"
"104057"

The width (number of characters) can vary. To the left of the character string there are no zeros, spaces or other characters, visible or hidden.

They are easy for other computer programs to check. They are easy for humans to check. They make sense to both computers and humans.

2. Create a **fixed width string** and zero pad it.

Here, one determines how many instances the set is likely to contain over a reasonable period and chooses that many spaces.

For a state like Wisconsin, one might choose 100 million knowing that for the next few decades, all existing and new voter IDs would not exceed that number of zeros. The prior examples would look like this:

"000000009"
"000000010"
"000000014"
"000001003"
"022104057"

The strings are padded with zeros on the left in order to make the length of the strings consistent.

They are easy for other computer programs to check. They are easy for humans to check. They make sense to both computers and humans.

WEC Non-Best Practice Approach

Best practices exist to make data easy to understand by both common software programs and by humans. Systems that do not follow best practices produce data that is confusing for both common software programs (such as Excel) and for humans.

WEC's approach to Voter ID' is a variable width, multi-data type, optionally 0-padded string.

This choice "works" in that it is possible to write a program that works with strings of this type – but it makes the exported data from the WEC system confusing and increases the difficulty of auditing and data checking. If the data is more difficult to check and verify, it opens the door to unwanted activities that are difficult to detect.

WEC Voter ID strings can look like the following:

"717827990"
"0717827990"

This is potentially very confusing to typical software programs that the average citizen would use to examine the data.

For example, Excel will likely interpret both Voter IDs (above) as being the same ID – making the average citizen believe that two different records are referring to the same person.

In the WEC database, this results in significant confusion.


For instance, WEC has 147,537 IDs, similar to those above, that appear to be duplicates when searched with commonly used technology. Thus, citizens cannot be assured that these 147,537 IDs are duplicates or not.

In other places the Voter ID's take on an entirely different format like:

"10/10/2008"

and in another it looks like this: "12-08-2005"

According to WEC, all are voter IDs. **Exhibit 1b** below:

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ^ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|---|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|
|  | Please Choose: ▾ | Please Ch ▾ | | | | |
| 5,440,337 | WAUSHARA | 01 | 0717827990 | Macy | Catherine | Klabunde |
| 5,440,338 | BROWN | 01 | 0717828000 | Carmen | Elizabeth | Roskos |
| 5,440,339 | OUTAGAMIE | 01 | 0717828010 | Mark | Russell | Eanes |
| 5,440,340 | RACINE | 01 | 0717828020 | Kathleen | E | Musselman |
| 5,440,341 | RACINE | 01 | 0717828030 | Randy | D | Musselman |
| 5,440,342 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 0717828040 | Benjamin | Johnathan | Havens-Hansen |
| 5,440,343 | VERNON | 01 | 1 | Judith | Lee | Alf |
| 5,440,344 | KENOSHA | 01 | 10/10/2008 | Deanna | M | Williams |
| 5,440,345 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 1000064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 5,440,346 | BARRON | 01 | 107 | Marvin | Thomas | Solie |
| 5,440,347 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 11/7/2006 | James | E | Walgrave |
| 5,440,348 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 1100064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 5,440,349 | WASHBURN | 01 | 12-08-2005 | Mark | D | Peterson |
| 5,440,350 | DANE | 01 | 122 | Joan | Newbury | Oosterwyk |
| 5,440,351 | DANE | 01 | 125 | Mari | Megan | Kay |
| 5,440,352 | WASHINGTON | 01 | 136 | John | P | Aspenleiter |

7,098,446
340,022

They sometimes create a voter ID with a character that is neither alpha nor numeric. **Exhibit 3b.**

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Chr ▼ | | | | |
| 7,098,433 | TREMPEALEAU | 01 | 90 | Patricia | A | Truax |
| 7,098,434 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 900064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 7,098,435 | TREMPEALEAU | 01 | 92 | Barbara | A | Gaddy |
| 7,098,436 | TREMPEALEAU | 01 | 93 | Willis | G | Gaddy |
| 7,098,437 | MARINETTE | 01 | A | Nicolas | Foster | Brown |
| 7,098,438 | DANE | 01 | B | Daniel | Thomas | Siehr |
| 7,098,439 | GREEN LAKE | 01 | D | Kalyn | M | Meisner |
| 7,098,440 | DANE | 01 | N425-8573-0964- | Usha | | Nilsson |
| 7,098,441 | DANE | 01 | NEW | Kendal | L | Howard |
| 7,098,442 | RACINE | 01 | Q | Katelin | | Thompson |
| 7,098,443 | DUNN | 01 | U | Benjamin | N | Koerner |
| 7,098,444 | BROWN | 01 | ' | Erin | E | Schounard |
| 7,098,445 | BUFFALO | 01 | S | Abbey | Jo | Whitehead |
| 7,098,446 | WASHINGTON | 01 | wd4 | Robert | J | Hammen |

7,098,446 443,653

Look carefully, the yellow circle is NOT highlighting a spec on the reader's screen. The circle is pointing out that WEC uses an apostrophe for a voter ID number.

An apostrophe is the smallest symbol on the keyboard; it is the character between the t and s in it's. Here WEC has made an apostrophe a voter ID "number."

Data best practices exist for a reason. They make data import/export efficient. They enable computers and humans to make sense of oceans of data. They are easily auditable by humans using widely available computer software.

Most importantly, in this context best practices protect the data from unwarranted intrusion and malicious insertion of false data.

Let's take an example.


If everyone has a sequential voter ID, there is no chance anyone can insert a number between 0000123456 and 0000123457. There is no space.

However, WEC does not apply sequential numbering (strings) throughout its voter ID system.

WEC has strings where the sequencing is 1,2,3,4 increases by one digit for tens of thousands of voter IDs. Then, the sequence increases by 2 for tens of thousands of IDs. There is no apparent reason for this change. Later, the sequencing increases by 10.

Thus, there are empty slots for voter ID insertions. In the yellow circle in **Exhibit 4**, the reader will see the digits increasing by 2 as well as some insertions in that sequence.


Exhibit 4.

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|---|------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
|  | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Ch▼ | | | | |
| 3,663,985 | CALUMET | 01 | 0057870492 | Daniel | A | Klotz |
| 3,663,986 | CHIPPEWA | 01 | 0057870493 | Robbyn | J | Schirmer |
| 3,663,987 | WOOD | 01 | 0057870494 | Pamela | Kay | De Boer |
| 3,663,988 | FLORENCE | 01 | 0057870496 | Robert | Allen | Fuller |
| 3,663,989 | DUNN | 01 | 0057870498 | Susan | C | Abitz |
| 3,663,990 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 0057870500 | Kenneth | L | Ross |
| 3,663,991 | WAUKESHA | 01 | 0057870502 | MICHAEL | A | SEARS |
| 3,663,992 | WAUPACA | 01 | 0057870504 | Ruth | B | Scherwinski |
| 3,663,993 | MARATHON | 01 | 0057870505 | Theresa | E | Wetzsteon |
| 3,663,994 | WASHBURN | 01 | 0057870506 | Brian | D | Christiansen |
| 3,663,995 | WOOD | 01 | 0057870508 | Kathleen | Ann | Ter Maat |
| 3,663,996 | WAUKESHA | 01 | 0057870509 | Brian | James | Whitney |
| 3,663,997 | OUTAGAMIE | 01 | 0057870510 | Kimberlee | K | Kane |
| 3,663,998 | SAWYER | 01 | 0057870512 | Sylvia | S | Buchanan |
| 3,663,999 | CALUMET | 01 | 0057870513 | Donald | Herbert | Goeldi |
| 3,664,000 | DUNN | 01 | 0057870514 | Gerald | Eugene | Wolf |

7,098,446
229,000

Why is this important?

If voter ID numbers go from 000001230 to 000001240, to 000001250 there are 9 slots where a third party can insert 9 new IDs without easy detection in each sequence.

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName | Su |
|---|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|----|
|  | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Cho ▼ | | | | | |
| 5,419,745 | DANE | 01 | 0717621880 | GAGE | HARRISON | MEYER | |
| 5,419,746 | KENOSHA | 01 | 0717621890 | Edvardo | | Cabrera | |
| 5,419,747 | DANE | 01 | 0717621900 | Alicia | | Wright | |
| 5,419,748 | KENOSHA | 01 | 0717621910 | Destiny | Marie | Caithamer | |
| 5,419,749 | DANE | 01 | 0717621920 | Eric | Harvey | Hochberg | |
| 5,419,750 | JEFFERSON | 01 | 0717621930 | Sandra | Kay | Midtlien | |
| 5,419,751 | SHAWANO | 01 | 0717621940 | Alisha | Ann | Konig | |
| 5,419,752 | SAWYER | 01 | 0717621950 | Elaine | Marie | Corbine | |
| 5,419,753 | SHAWANO | 01 | 0717621960 | Lisa | Marie | Lechterman | |
| 5,419,754 | SHAWANO | 01 | 0717621970 | Sherry | A | Kitchenmaster | |
| 5,419,755 | DANE | 01 | 0717621980 | Lindsey | Beyer | Albright | |
| 5,419,756 | ST CROIX | 01 | 0717621990 | Melissa | Mary | Jorgensen | |
| 5,419,757 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 0717622000 | Rachel | Annelis | Kiefer | |
| 5,419,758 | DANE | 01 | 0717622010 | Elyse | Christoff | Freiberger | |
| 5,419,759 | DANE | 01 | 0717622020 | Nicholas | J | Handrick | |
| 5,419,760 | SHAWANO | 01 | 0717622030 | Preston | L | Raasch | |

7,098,446

338,735

Exhibit 6, above shows the sequencing which skips 9 lines jumping by 10 for each row.

The question with WEC is: did anyone insert numbers into these sequences? The answer is YES as the **Exhibit 5**, below, yellow circle shows.

Examining **Exhibit 5**, below, one sees that the digits (strings) grow from 0515 to 0517 growing by 2 as the last thousand such numbers grew. One would be surprised to see a new number inserted as 0518 which is the case. Here an even number is inserted in a 2 digit odd number sequence.

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Choose: ▼ | | | | |
| 3,664,001 | IOWA | 01 | 0057870515 | Cheryl | Ann | Banachowski-Fuller |
| 3,664,002 | SAWYER | 01 | 0057870517 | Joyce | Marie | Mikow |
| 3,664,003 | WAUKESHA | 01 | 0057870518 | Marilyn | C | Hopper |
| 3,664,004 | WAUKESHA | 01 | 0057870520 | Margaret | L | De Witt |
| 3,664,005 | WASHINGTON | 01 | 0057870522 | Bernice | R | Gloede |
| 3,664,006 | KENOSHA | 01 | 0057870524 | Robert | C | Vennetti |
| 3,664,007 | DANE | 01 | 0057870525 | John | D | Schneider |
| 3,664,008 | DUNN | 01 | 0057870526 | Matthew | | Raehsler |
| 3,664,009 | OUTAGAMIE | 01 | 0057870528 | Loading... | C. | Rademacher |
| 3,664,010 | JACKSON | 01 | 0057870530 | Cassandra | Marie | Johnson |
| 3,664,011 | MARQUETTE | 01 | 0057870532 | Curt | A | Gast |
| 3,664,012 | WOOD | 01 | 0057870533 | Clara | Marie | Elsen |
| 3,664,013 | MARINETTE | 01 | 0057870535 | Carl | L | Renikow |
| 3,664,014 | BUFFALO | 01 | 0057870536 | Daniel | Lee | Noll |
| 3,664,015 | BAYFIELD | 01 | 0057870538 | Jennifer | Ann | Tosch |
| 3,664,016 | OUTAGAMIE | 01 | 0057870540 | Tiffany | R | Ostenson |

7,098,446
229,050

Exhibit 5.

More interesting in Exhibit 5, one would expect to see the sequence revert back to the odd digit sequence after the insertion. That is NOT what happens. The sequence is resequenced at 0518 into an even number sequence until another insertion, where it goes back to odd.

Thus it appears that when WEC or another party inserts a new voter ID into an empty slot, all subsequent VOTER IDs resequence back to 2s or 10s.

With this approach to sequencing, it is more challenging to detect if an unauthorized party has inserted data into the sequence of records.

Merges

There are best practices for data merges and they do not appear in the WEC system.


WEC has what appear to be multiple ID schemes in the data, some are dates, some are variable width string, some are fixed width strings, some are zero-padded, some are keyboard characters.

Best practices for data merges are to map identifiers into a single consistent representation – and then use that representation on an ongoing basis.

If a data merge had followed best practices, these different ID schemes would have disappeared or they would have been segmented into one traceable such merge set.

WEC has a current voter ID system with space for over 700 million entries. There are plenty of places where WEC could find the precise number of voter IDs to assign to any type of merge. Instead, WEC has voter IDs inserted throughout its system and the different data types of date, keyboard character, digit with hidden spaces remain.


WEC not only has sequencing that is hard to follow and open to insertions, it has many different numbering sequences (strings). For instance:

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|---|------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
|  | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Ch▼ | | | | |
| 5,440,369 | LANGLADE | 01 | 200 | Elisabeth | A | Strobel |
| 5,440,370 | SAUK | 01 | 200000246 | Ingrid | Desiree | Wadsworth |
| 5,440,371 | WINNEBAGO | 01 | 200007718 | Kristan | A | Fischer |
| 5,440,372 | OCONTO | 01 | 200053337 | Jennifer | Lynn | Lynch |
| 5,440,373 | JEFFERSON | 01 | 200053452 | Jessica | | Bailey |
| 5,440,374 | BROWN | 01 | 200064201 | Laura | Jeanne | Norton |
| 5,440,375 | WASHINGTON | 01 | 200064232 | Werner | Harland Gero... | Schwabe |
| 5,440,376 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 200064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 5,440,377 | MARATHON | 01 | 200064246 | Tricia | Ann | Knetter |
| 5,440,378 | CALUMET | 01 | 200064257 | Mariah | Lynn | Tasch |
| 5,440,379 | GREEN | 01 | 200064288 | Jacob | Allan | Rhyner |
| 5,440,380 | EAU CLAIRE | 01 | 200064298 | Brittany | C | Cloud |
| 5,440,381 | FOND DU LAC | 01 | 200064307 | Rachel | Angeline | Grutza |
| 5,440,382 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 200064351 | Nicholas | Pearce | Steele |
| 5,440,383 | WAUKESHA | 01 | 200064360 | Morgan | A | Lang |
| 5,440,384 | KENOSHA | 01 | 200064376 | Courtney | Lynn | Bockrath |

Here in **Exhibit 6a**, WEC is using a sequencing of 200000246 followed by sequences with insertions, as the sequence changes from odd to even to odd.

Comparing **Exhibit 6a** with **Exhibit 6** above, looking at the left most column, one sees that the WEC sequence for WEC provided voter IDs jumps from the 700 million sequence in **Exhibit 6** to the 200000246 sequence yet both remain around the 5400000 sequence of voters.

Exhibit 7, below shows that around the same left column sequence, WEC moves to a different numbering system beginning with 575xxxxxx.

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
|  | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Choose: ▼ | | | | |
| 5,495,985 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521913 | Harvey | F | Goglin |
| 5,495,986 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521914 | Joanna | K | Gudel |
| 5,495,987 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521915 | Dolores | L | Gottschalk |
| 5,495,988 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521916 | Lewis | G | Gottschalk |
| 5,495,989 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521917 | Kelly | D | Green |
| 5,495,990 | MARATHON | 01 | 57521918 | Lee | A | Guenther |
| 5,495,991 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521919 | Dana | L | Hammond |
| 5,495,992 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521920 | Kari | L | Hanek |
| 5,495,993 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521921 | Sylvia | J | Held |
| 5,495,994 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521922 | David | L | Henrichs |
| 5,495,995 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521923 | Laurel | J | Henrichs |
| 5,495,996 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521924 | Carole | A | Hielke |
| 5,495,997 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521925 | Ronald | W | Hielke |
| 5,495,998 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521926 | Jean | M | Hilt |
| 5,495,999 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521927 | Stephen | D | Hilt |
| 5,496,000 | ONEIDA | 01 | 57521928 | Rodney | P | Huber |

7,098,446

◀


▶

343,500

▶

▶▶

Exhibit 8 demonstrates that WEC uses another sequencing approach in the general vicinity of 5400000. This sequence begins with the 300xxxxxx then reverts to voter IDs with only two or three digits.

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ▲ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|---|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
|  | Please Choose: ▼ | Please Chr ▼ | | | | |
| 5,494,033 | CLARK | 01 | 292 | Brittany | Lee | Vandeberg |
| 5,494,034 | BROWN | 01 | 300064201 | Laura | Jeanne | Norton |
| 5,494,035 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 300064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 5,494,036 | MARATHON | 01 | 300064246 | Tricia | Ann | Knetter |
| 5,494,037 | GREEN | 01 | 300064288 | Jacob | Allen | Rhyner |
| 5,494,038 | EAU CLAIRE | 01 | 300064298 | Brittany | C | Cloud |
| 5,494,039 | WINNEBAGO | 01 | 300064351 | Nicholas | P | Steele |
| 5,494,040 | WAUKESHA | 01 | 300064360 | Morgan | A | Lang |
| 5,494,041 | KENOSHA | 01 | 300064376 | Courtney | Lynn | Bockrath |
| 5,494,042 | TAYLOR | 01 | 300064461 | Evelin | | Correia |
| 5,494,043 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 300130661 | Albertina | | Dimartino |
| 5,494,044 | WAUPACA | 01 | 300294945 | Ellen | Sue | Chowning |
| 5,494,045 | ROCK | 01 | 300432055 | Shane | Lee | Niedzwecki |
| 5,494,046 | KENOSHA | 01 | 31 | Dawn | Marie | Zabroski |
| 5,494,047 | WASHINGTON | 01 | 316 | Lawrence | N | Thomas |
| 5,494,048 | WASHINGTON | 01 | 317 | Jody | L | Strupp |

7,098,446
343,378

Exhibit 8.

WEC does not appear to use generally accepted best practices for its voter ID system. The voter ID is the most important identifier in the entire system because it is unique to every voter, past or present, active or inactive.

The key question one must ask is whether individuals can insert voter ID numbers into the WEC voter registration system without going through its inherent number assigning program. The answer would appear to be yes as the data shows.

Let's revisit **Exhibit 1b**.

Like other states, Wisconsin assigns voter ID numbers by a machine, a computer. There is some mechanism for a central agency to assign a voter identification number to a person and it should generally be an identifier that is incremented as each new voter is registered.

Can individuals enter the WEC system and apply arbitrary identifiers to a voter?

As Exhibit 1b below demonstrates, humans can and do enter arbitrary strings:

| # | Shard | Partition | Voter Reg Number ^ | FirstName | MiddleName | LastName |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|
| | Please Choose: ▾ | Please Ch... ▾ | | | | |
| 5,440,337 | WAUSHARA | 01 | 0717827990 | Macy | Catherine | Klabunde |
| 5,440,338 | BROWN | 01 | 0717828000 | Carmen | Elizabeth | Roskos |
| 5,440,339 | OUTAGAMIE | 01 | 0717828010 | Mark | Russell | Eanes |
| 5,440,340 | RACINE | 01 | 0717828020 | Kathleen | E | Musselman |
| 5,440,341 | RACINE | 01 | 0717828030 | Randy | D | Musselman |
| 5,440,342 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 0717828040 | Benjamin | Johnathan | Havens-Hansen |
| 5,440,343 | VERNON | 01 | 1 | Judith | Lee | Alf |
| 5,440,344 | KENOSHA | 01 | 10/10/2008 | Deanna | M | Williams |
| 5,440,345 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 1000064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 5,440,346 | BARRON | 01 | 107 | Marvin | Thomas | Solie |
| 5,440,347 | MILWAUKEE | 01 | 11/7/2006 | James | E | Walgrave |
| 5,440,348 | LA CROSSE | 01 | 1100064244 | Kristen | L | Meyers |
| 5,440,349 | WASHBURN | 01 | 12-08-2005 | Mark | D | Peterson |
| 5,440,350 | DANE | 01 | 122 | Joan | Newbury | Oosterwyk |
| 5,440,351 | DANE | 01 | 125 | Mari | Megan | Kay |
| 5,440,352 | WASHINGTON | 01 | 136 | John | P | Aspenleiter |

7,098,446 340,022

A human entered the system and inserted the “apostrophe” for a voter registration number.

There is no question the WEC system is open to human intervention and from the inconsistent naming conventions seen throughout the WEC system and highlighted in **Exhibit 1b**, there appears to be neither proper security nor control.

If citizens are not able to reasonably deal with the voter rolls either by reviewing them manually or with commonly available computer programs, there will continue to be a lack of trust in the voting institution.

The danger in Wisconsin is significant that a bad actor can access the WEC system and can take advantage of the egregious poor data practices to influence an election outcome.

Report prepared by:

Jay Valentine
 President, ContingencySales
www.ContingencySales.com